APPENDIX D

Policies Assessments

Contents

| As | Assessment Rationale7 | | |
|----|--|----|--|
| As | ssessment Results | 15 | |
| I | Policy SP1: Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development | 15 | |
| | - SP1 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 15 | |
| - | - SP1 Reasonable Alternative 2 | 15 | |
| I | Policy SP2: Spatial Priorities | 17 | |
| | - SP2 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 17 | |
| I | Policy SP3: Hierarchy of Settlement | 17 | |
| | - SP3 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 17 | |
| I | Policy SP4: Location of Development | 17 | |
| | - SP4 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 17 | |
| | - SP4 Reasonable Alternative 2 | | |
| - | - SP4 Reasonable Alternative 3 (SP4RA3) | | |
| - | - SP4 Reasonable Alternative 4 | | |
| - | - SP4 Reasonable Alternative 5 | | |
| I | Policy SP5 Green Belt and Safeguarded Land | | |
| - | - SP5 Reasonable Alternative 1 | | |
| | - SP5 Reasonable Alternative 2 | | |
| I | Policy SP6: Economic Growth | | |
| | - SP6 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 27 | |
| I | Policy SP7: Planning for Sustainable Transport | 27 | |
| | - SP7 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 27 | |
| I | Policy SP8: Housing Growth | | |
| - | - SP8 Reasonable Alternative 1 | | |
| - | - SP8 Reasonable Alternative 2 | | |
| | - SP8 Reasonable Alternative 3 | | |
| | - SP8 Reasonable Alternative 4 | | |
| | - SP8 Reasonable Alternative 5 | | |
| | - SP8 Reasonable Alternative 6 | | |
| | - SP8 Reasonable Alternative 7 | | |
| | - SP8 Reasonable Alternative 8 | | |
| | - SP9 Reasonable Alternative 1 | | |
| | - SP9 Reasonable Alternative 2 | | |
| - | - SP9 Reasonable Alternative 3 | | |
| I | Policy SP10: Green Infrastructure | | |
| | - SP10 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 45 | |
| | - SP10 Reasonable Alternative 2 | 45 | |
| | - SP10 Reasonable Alternative 3 | 46 | |
| I | Policy SP11: South Pennine Moors | | |

| - | SP11 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 46 |
|-----|---|----|
| Pol | icy SP12: Strategic Planning for Minerals | 46 |
| - | SP12 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 46 |
| Pol | icy SP13: Waste Management Infrastructure is a new policy | 46 |
| - | SP13 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 47 |
| Pol | icy SP14: Quality Design | 47 |
| - | SP14 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 47 |
| - | SP14 Reasonable Alternative 2 | 47 |
| Pol | icy SP15: Healthy Places | 47 |
| - | SP15 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 47 |
| - | SP15 Reasonable Alternative 2 | 47 |
| - | SP15 Reasonable Alternative 3 | 47 |
| Pol | icy SP16: Working Together is under review | 47 |
| - | SP16 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 48 |
| Pol | icy EC1: Employment Delivery and Strategic Sites | 60 |
| - | EC1 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 60 |
| - | EC1 Reasonable Alternative 2 | 60 |
| Pol | icy EC2: Employment, Business and Enterprise Zones | 60 |
| - | EC2 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 60 |
| - | EC2 Reasonable Alternative 2 | 60 |
| - | EC2 Reasonable Alternative 3 | 60 |
| - | EC2 Reasonable Alternative 4 (EC2RA4): | 60 |
| Pol | icy EC3: Employment and Skills Delivery | 61 |
| - | EC3 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 61 |
| - | EC3 Reasonable Alternative 2 | 61 |
| - | EC3 Reasonable Alternative 3 | 61 |
| Pol | icy EC4: City, Town, District and Local Centres | 61 |
| - | EC4 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 61 |
| - | EC4 Reasonable Alternative 2 | 61 |
| - | EC4 Reasonable Alternative 3 | 61 |
| Pol | icy TR1: Strategic Transport Delivery | 69 |
| - | TR1 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 69 |
| - | TR1 Reasonable Alternative 2 | 69 |
| - | TR1 Reasonable Alternative 3 | 69 |
| Pol | icy TR2: Transport and Environment | 69 |
| - | TR2 Reasonable Alternative 1 (TR2RA1): | 69 |
| Pol | icy TR3: Transport and Development | 69 |
| - | TR3 Reasonable Alternative 1 (TR3RA1): | 70 |
| Pol | icy TR4: Transport and Tourism | 70 |
| Pol | icy TR5: Parking Policy | 70 |
| - | TR5 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 70 |

| Poli | cy TR6: Freight | 70 |
|------|---|----|
| - | TR6 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 70 |
| Poli | cy TR7: Aircraft Safety | 70 |
| - | TR7 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 70 |
| Poli | cy HO1 Housing Delivery, Strategic Sites and Managing Growth | 78 |
| - | HO1 Reasonable Alternative 1 | 78 |
| - | HO2 Reasonable Alternative 2 | 78 |
| Poli | cy HO2 Housing Density | 78 |
| - | HO2 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO2RA1) | 78 |
| - | HO2 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO2RA2) | 78 |
| - | HO3 Reasonable Alternative 3 (HO2RA3) | 78 |
| Poli | cy HO3 Urban Housing | 78 |
| - | HO3 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO3RA1) | 78 |
| - | HO3 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO3RA2) | 78 |
| Poli | cy HO4 Housing Mix | 79 |
| Poli | cy HO5 Affordable Housing | 79 |
| - | HO5 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO5RA1) | 79 |
| - | HO5 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO5RA2) | 79 |
| - | HO5 Reasonable Alternative 3 (HO5RA3 | 79 |
| Poli | cy HO6 Self Build | 79 |
| - | HO6 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO6RA1) | 79 |
| Poli | cy HO7 Specialist Housing and Accommodation | 79 |
| - | HO7 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO7RA1 | 79 |
| - | HO7 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO7RA2) | 79 |
| Poli | cy HO8 Sites for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople | 79 |
| - | HO8 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO8RA1) | 79 |
| Poli | cy HO9 Housing Standards | 80 |
| - | HO9 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO9RA1) | 80 |
| - | HO9 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO9RA2) | 80 |
| Poli | cy HO10 – Overcrowding and Empty Homes | 80 |
| - | HO10 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO10RA1) | 80 |
| - | HO10 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO10RA2) | 80 |
| Poli | cy CO1 Protection and improvements in provision of Open Space and Recreation Facilities | 94 |
| - | CO1 Reasonable Alternative 1 (CO1RA1) | 94 |
| Poli | cy CO2 Community Facilities | 94 |
| _ | CO2 Reasonable Alternative 1 (CO2RA1) | |
| - | CO2 Reasonable Alternative 2 | 94 |
| - | CO3 Reasonable Alternative 1 (CO3RA1) | |
| - | CO3 Reasonable Alternative 2 (CO3RA2) | |
| - | CO3 Reasonable Alternative 3 (CO3RA3) | |
| Poli | cy EN1 Green Infrastructure Standards | |

| - | EN1 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN1RA1) | |
|------|---|-----|
| - | EN1 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN1RA2) | |
| - | EN1 Reasonable Alternative 3 (EN1RA3) | |
| Poli | cy EN2 Biodiversity and Geodiversity | |
| - | EN2 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN2RA1) | |
| - | EN2 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN2RA2) | |
| Poli | cy EN3 Trees and Woodlands | |
| - | EN3 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN3RA1 | |
| Poli | cy EN4 Historic Environment | |
| Poli | cy EN5 Landscape | |
| Poli | cy EN6 Countryside and Development | |
| - | EN6 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN6RA1) | |
| - | EN6 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN6RA2) | |
| Poli | cy EN7 Flood Risk | |
| Poli | cy EN8 Air Quality | |
| - | EN8 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN8RA1) | |
| - | EN8 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN8RA2) | |
| - | EN8 Reasonable Alternative 3 (EN8RA3) | |
| Poli | cy EN9 Environmental Protection | |
| - | EN9 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN9RA1) | |
| - | EN9 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN9RA2) | |
| Poli | cy EN10 Energy | |
| - | EN10 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN10RA) | |
| - | EN10 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN10RA2) | |
| - | EN10 Reasonable Alternative 3 (EN10RA3) | |
| - | EN10 Reasonable Alternative 4 (EN10RA4) | |
| Poli | cy EN11: Mineral Supply and Landbanks is under review. The policy | |
| Poli | cy EN12: Mineral Allocations | |
| - | EN12 Reasonable Alternative 1 | |
| Poli | cy EN13: Mineral Safeguarding | |
| EN1 | 4 - Mineral Areas of Search | |
| - | EN14 Reasonable Alternative 1 | |
| EN1 | 5 - Managing Development and Operation of Mineral Sites: The policy would | |
| - | EN15 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN15RA1 | |
| EN1 | 6 - Mineral Site Restoration and Aftercare | |
| EN1 | 7 - Energy Minerals The policy | |
| - | EN17 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN17RA1) | |
| - | EN17 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN17RA2) | 114 |
| Poli | cy EN18 Waste Management Development | |
| _ | EN18 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN1RA1 | |
| Poli | cy EN19 Waste Management Allocations | |

| _ | EN19 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN19RA1) | . 122 |
|-------|---|-------|
| Poli | cy EN20 Safeguarding Waste Management Facilities | . 122 |
| Poli | cy EN21 Waste Management Within Development | . 122 |
| Poli | cy DS1 Achieving Good Design | . 126 |
| - | DS1 Reasonable Alternative 1 (DS1RA1) | . 126 |
| - | DS1 Reasonable Alternative 2 (DS1RA2) | . 126 |
| Poli | cy DS2 Working with the Landscape | . 126 |
| _ | DS2 Reasonable Alternative 1 (DS2RA1) | . 127 |
| _ | DS2 Reasonable Alternative 2 (DS2RA2) | . 127 |
| Poli | cy DS3 Urban Character | . 127 |
| _ | DS3 Reasonable Alternative 1 (DS3RA1) | . 127 |
| _ | DS3 Reasonable Alternative 2 (DS3RA2) | . 127 |
| Polie | cy DS4 Streets and Movement | . 127 |
| _ | DS4 Reasonable Alternative 1 (DS4RA1) | . 127 |
| _ | DS4 Reasonable Alternative 2 (DS4RA2) | . 127 |
| Polie | cy DS5 Safe and Inclusive Places – | . 127 |
| DS5 | Reasonable Alternative 1 (DS5RA1) | . 127 |
| _ | DS5 Reasonable Alternative 2 (DS5RA2) | . 127 |
| Sum | mary of, and assumptions in Implementation, Delivery and Monitoring ID1-ID3 | . 136 |
| Poli | cy ID1 Infrastructure Delivery | . 136 |
| _ | ID1 Reasonable Alternative 1 (ID1RA1) | . 136 |
| _ | ID1 Reasonable Alternative 2 (ID1RA2) | . 136 |
| Polie | cy ID2 Developer Contributions | . 136 |
| Poli | cy ID3 Viability | . 136 |

Assessment Rationale

This appendix presents the results of the assessment of options being considered for the Local Plan, including preferred options and their reasonable alternatives. Table D-1 lists the options assessed in this appendix, including the preferred options and the reasonable alternatives. Reasonable alternatives were only available and identified for new policies or policies under review.

| Table D-1: Preferred | policy options | and their reasonab | le alternatives |
|----------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | poney optione | and thom roudonias | no antonnativoo |

| Local Plan Policy Ref. | Old Policy Ref. | Policy name / preferred option | Under review? | Reasonable alternatives |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|------------------|--|
| Strategic Policies | | | | |
| Development | Strategy | | | |
| SP1 | P1 | Delivering Sustainable Development | Yes | SP1RA1, SP1RA2 |
| SP2 SP3 | SC1 SC4 | Spatial Priorities Hierarchy of Settlements | Yes Yes | SP2RA1 SP3RA1 |
| SP4 | SC5 | Location of Development | Yes | SP4RA1, SP4RA2, SP4RA3, SP4RA4 |
| SP5 | SC7 | Green Belt | Yes | SP5RA1, SP5RA2 |
| Planning for l | | | | |
| SP6 | EC1, EC2 & EC4 | Economic Growth | New policy | SP6RA1 |
| SP7 | TR1 & TR3 | Planning for Sustainable Transport | New policy | SP7RA1 |
| Planning for l | Homes | | | |
| SP8 | HO1, HO2, HO4, HO3, HO6 & HO7 | Housing Growth | New policy | SP8RA1, SP8RA2, SP8RA3, SP8RA4, SP8RA5, SP8RA6, SP8RA7, SP8RA8 |
| Planning for l | Places and Comm | nunities | | |
| SP9 | SC2 | Climate Change, Environmental Sustainability and Resource Use | Yes | SP9RA1, SP9RA2, SP9RA3 |
| SP10 | SC6 | Green Infrastructure | Yes | SP10RA1, SP10RA2, SP10RA3 |
| SP11 | SC8 | Protecting the South Pennine Moors and their Zone of Influence | Yes | SP11RA1 |
| SP12 | - | Strategic Planning for Minerals | New policy | SP12RA1 |
| SP13 | Waste Management DPD | Waste Management Infrastructure | New policy | SP13RA1 |
| SP14 | SC9 | Making Great Places | Yes | SP14RA1, SP14RA2 |
| SP15 | SC10 | Creating Healthy Places | Yes | SP15RA1, SP15RA2, SP15RA3 |
| SP16 | SC3 | Working together to make Great Places | Yes | SP16RA1 |
| Thematic Policies | | | | |
| Planning for Prosperity | | | | |
| EC1 | - | Employment Land Delivery and Strategic Sites | New policy | EC1RA1, EC1RA2 |
| EC2 | - | Enterprise, Business and Employment Zones | New policy | EC2RA1, EC2RA2, EC2RA3 |
| EC3 | EC2 & EC3 | Employment and Skills Delivery | Yes | EC3RA1, EC3RA2, EC3RA3 |

| Local Plan Policy Ref. | Old Policy Ref. | Policy name / preferred option | Under review? | Reasonable alternatives |
|---------------------------|-----------------|--|------------------|--|
| EC4 | EC5 | City, Town, District and Local Centres | Yes | EC4RA1, EC4RA2, EC4RA3, EC4RA4 |
| TR1 | TR7 | Strategic Transport Delivery | Yes | TR1RA1, TR1RA2, TR1RA3 |
| TR2 | TR3 & TR7 | Transport and Environment | Yes | TRIRAT, TRIRAZ, TRIRAS |
| IKZ | ΙΚΟΦΙΚΙ | Transport and Environment | | IRZRAI |
| TR3 | - | Transport and Development | New policy | TR3RA1 |
| TR4 | TR4 | Transport and Tourism | No | No - it is proposed to carry forward the policy in its current form from the adopted Core Strategy |
| TR5 | TR2 | Parking | Yes | TR5RA1 |
| TR6 | TR6 | Freight Priorities | Yes | TR6RA1 |
| TR8 | TR8 | Aircraft Safety | Yes | TR7RA1 |
| | | 7 morale Galoty | 100 | |
| Planning for | Homes | | | |
| HO1 | HO4 | Housing Delivery and Strategic Sites | Yes | HO1RA1, HO1RA2 |
| HO2 | HO5 | Housing Density | Yes | HO2RA1, HO2RA2, HO2RA3 |
| HO3 | - | Urban Housing | New policy | HO3RA1, HO3RA2 |
| HO4 | HO8 | Housing Mix | Yes | HO4RA1, HO4RA2 |
| HO5 | HO11 | Affordable Housing | Yes | HO5RA1, HO5RA2, HO5RA3 |
| HO6 | - | Custom and Self-Build Housing | New policy | HO6RA1 |
| HO7 | - | Specialist Housing and Accommodation | New policy | HO7RA1, HO7RA2 |
| HO8 | HO12 | Sites for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople | Yes | HO8RA1, HO8RA2 |
| HO9 | HO9 | Housing Standards | Yes | HO9RA1, HO9RA2 |
| 11040 | 11040 | Overcrowding and Vacant | | |
| HO10 | HO10 | Homes | No | HO10RA1, HO10RA2 |
| Planning for | Places and Com | munities | | |
| EN1 | - | Green Infrastructure Standards | New policy | EN1RA1, EN1RA2, EN1RA3 |
| EN2 | EN2 | Biodiversity and Geodiversity | Yes | EN2RA1, EN2RA2 |
| EN3 | EN5 | Trees and woodlands | Yes | EN3RA1 |
| EN4 | EN3 | Historic Environment | No | No - the policy is considered comprehensive in scale |
| EN5 | EN4 | Landscape | No | No - the policy is succinct and grounded in the evidence base and SPD work |
| EN6 | - | Countryside and Development | New policy | EN6RA1, EN6RA2 |
| EN7 | EN7 | Flood Risk | Yes | No - the policy is strengthened relating to Surface Water run-off, SuDS and Natural Flood Management. The policy has been updated to reflect new SFRA and other flood risk management strategies. However, with a number of new river models being prepared by the Environment Agency, the SFRA will require a further update and consequentially the policy may require further changes. |
| EN8 | - | Air Quality | New policy | EN8RA1, EN8RA2, EN8RA3 |
| EN9 | EN8 | Environmental Protection | Yes | EN9RA1, EN9RA2 |
| | | | | ····, _···· |

| Local Plan Policy Ref. | Old Policy Ref. | Policy name / preferred option | Under review? | Reasonable alternatives |
|---|----------------------------|---|------------------|---|
| EN10 | EN6 | Energy | Yes | EN10RA1, EN10RA2, EN10RA3, EN10RA4 |
| EN11 | EN10 (A-D) & EN11 (A-C) | Mineral Supply and Landbanks | Yes | No - the policy approach has not been amended |
| EN12 | EN12 | Mineral Allocations | New policy | EN12RA1 |
| EN13 | EN13 | Mineral Safeguarding | Yes | No - it is not proposed to amend the policy approach. It remains broadly in line with national policy. |
| EN14 | - | Mineral Areas of Search | New policy | EN14RA1 |
| EN15 | EN13 | Managing Development and Operation of Mineral Sites | Yes | EN15RA1 |
| EN16 | - | Mineral Site Restoration and Aftercare | New policy | No - National policy requires Mineral Planning Authorities set out a planning policy framework to support the reclamation of mineral workings at the earliest opportunity, and deliver high quality restoration and aftercare of sites |
| EN17 | EN11 (C&D) | Energy Minerals | Yes | EN17RA1, EN17RA2 |
| EN18 | - | Waste Management Development | New policy | EN18RA1 |
| EN19 | - | Waste Management Allocations | New policy | EN19RA1 |
| EN20 | Waste Management DPD | Safeguarding Waste Management Facilities | Yes | No - the policy has not been amended |
| EN21 | Waste Management DPD | Sustainable Waste Management in Development | Yes | No - the policy has not been amended |
| CO1 | EN1 | Protection and improvements in provision of Open Space and Recreation Facilities | Yes | CO1RA1 |
| CO2 | - | Community Facilities | New policy | CO2RA1, CO2RA2 |
| CO3 | - | Health Impact Assessments | New policy | CO3RA1, CO3RA2, CO3RA3 |
| DS1 | DS1 | Achieving Good Design | Yes | DS1RA1, DS1RA2 |
| DS2 | DS2 | Working with the Landscape | Yes | DS2RA1, DS2RA2 |
| DS3 | DS3 | Urban Character | Yes | DS3RA1, DS3RA2 |
| DS4 | DS4 | Streets and Movement | Yes | DS4RA1, DS4RA2 |
| DS5 | DS5 | Safe and Inclusive Places | Yes | DS5RA1, DS5RA2 |
| Implementation, Delivery and Monitoring | | | | |
| ID1 ID2 | ID3 ID2 | Infrastructure Delivery Developer Contributions | Yes | ID1RA1, ID1RA2 No - the policy has been subject to a minor factual update to the reflect the adopted of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) charging schedule in July 2017 |
| ID3 | ID5 & ID8 | Viability | Yes | No - the policy seeks to ensure consistency with national planning policy |

The assessment is a prediction and evaluation of the likely effects of policies and options against each SA Objective of the SA Framework. The SA Framework provides indicators for each SA

Objective that help to guide the decision-making over whether options would make a negative or positive contribution towards each SA Objective. Effects are considered across the entire framework, so as to seek to reduce repetition.

Table D-2 details the rationale behind the assessment. In line with requirements of the SEA Regulations, the following characteristics of effects are predicted and evaluated:

- Probability;
- Duration, including short-, medium- and long-term effects;
- Frequency
- Reversibility;
- Cumulative and synergistic nature;
- Transboundary nature;
- Secondary nature;
- Permanent or temporary nature; and
- Positive or negative nature.

Table D-2: Rationale behind the appraisals of policies and options

| Characteristic | Rationale |
|----------------|---|
| | The appraisals are presented in tables (see Table D-1). Where appropriate, options and policies are grouped together in the same assessment table. The rationale for this is as follows: |
| | Policies are grouped together in the Local Plan; |
| | Policies under the same theme in the Plan, such as 'Transport' policies, are likely to result in similar effects on a number of indicators. Grouping the appraisals together facilitates less repetition of text, saving space and reducing the need for a paperchase for readers; |
| | Grouping appraisals together facilitates a more holistic appreciation of the likely cumulative effects of the Plan and the overall impact of all policies in- combination. Policies in the Plan are not going to be adopted in isolation; and |
| | Grouping policies and options together facilitates a comparison of the likely impacts of options vs their reasonable alternatives. |
| Format | The assessment text for SA Objectives 9 and 10, on air quality and transport, and the assessment text for SA Objectives 11, 12 and 13 on accessibility of services, community cohesion and culture/recreation, have also been grouped together. This is because the indicators used in the assessment for the grouped together SA Objectives are largely similar and grouping them together in this way saves space and avoids unnecessary repetition. Whilst the summary of effects text is grouped together, separate scores and characteristics of effects are provided for all SA Objectives. An appraisal is provided for every single option and alternative against every SA Objective. The predicted effects are evaluated for their probability, geographical extent, reversibility, permanence, magnitude and significance. |
| | The assessment text is intended to be comprehensive, robust and to satisfy the technical requirements of SA and SEA whilst also being accessible for the general public and avoiding unwieldy and excessively long tables or appendices. For this purpose, the writing style of assessment text adopts a slightly shorthand approach. |
| | The following symbol is used during the appraisal text: ' \rightarrow '. This is predominantly intended to mean 'would lead to'. |
| | For example, an impact of a policy on GHG emissions might usually read: |
| | "Policy SP7 would be likely to enhance public transport options for people in Bradford. This would be likely to lead to a reduction in GHG emissions from the transport sector." |
| | For such an impact, the shorthand style of assessment text would read: |

| Characteristic | Rationale |
|---|--|
| | "SP7 \rightarrow enhanced public transport \rightarrow reduction in GHG emissions from transport". |
| | There is an inherent degree of uncertainty in appraisals carried out in SA. Should it be adopted, the Local Plan would likely be in force for several years, over which time could potentially arise unforeseen circumstances as baseline data unexpectedly changes. For example, any given community facility in Bradford could potentially close down or move within a period of months, and thus an assessment which considers that a Local Plan policy would provide new residents with good access to this facility pre-development, may not do so by the time construction begins. These circumstances are impossible to predict. The planning system is generally robust enough to deal with such changes by re- |
| Probability | assessing the needs of sites/communities at the time applications are made. Uncertainties are dealt with in SA by adopting a precautionary approach, wherein the worst-case scenario is assumed unless reliable evidence suggests otherwise. Assessment tables include a column indicating whether there is considered to be a Low, |
| | Medium or High probability of the effect taking place. |
| | Where the recorded effect is 'uncertain', the probability is recorded as 'Low'. Where the recorded effect is 'neutral/negligible', the probability is recorded as 'High'. This is because a precautionary approach is adopted and, as such, unless there is a high probability of the effect being neutral/negligible then the worst-case scenario is assumed. |
| | Probability is an evaluative judgment of the SEA/SA experts carrying out the appraisals. |
| Duration and short-, medium- and long- term effects | Assessment tables include a column indicating whether the effects are considered to be Short-, Medium- or Long-term. Short-term effects reside for 0-10 years after Plan adoption; Medium-term effects reside for 10-20 years after Plan adoption; and Long-term effects last beyond the Plan period. Effects can be multiple terms, such as arising in the short-term and residing in the long-term. In the assessment tables, the longest term is used to indicate the duration i.e. the assessment indicates the duration of the effect but not necessarily when it will initially arise. |
| Reversibility | Assessment tables include a column indicating whether effects are considered to be reversible or irreversible. The intention is not to suggest whether or not the effect is going to be intentionally reversed, but rather to indicate if it is theoretically possible that the effect could be reversed. R = Reversible; and IR = Irreversible. The majority of effects caused by the Plan would typically be reversible. |
| Permanent and temporary | The assessment tables include a column to indicate whether the identified effects are considered to be permanent or temporary: T = temporary; and P = permanent. Should the Plan be adopted, it would only be in place for the Plan period and would subsequently be replaced by a new Plan. Many of the effects of policies in the proposed Plan are therefore typically temporary effects. However, it is possible for some effects to be permanent, such as if a policy were to result in new development on a greenfield site - that land will now always be previously developed land and any loss of soils from the site is considered to be permanent. |

| Characteristic | Rationale |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | The assessments identify and evaluate the sustainability effects of all policies in the Plan, |
| | including positive, negative and neutral effects. The range of predicted effects includes: |
| | Major positive - The proposal significantly contributes to the achievement of the SA Objective; |
| | Minor positive - The option contributes partially to the achievement of the SA Objective; |
| | • Uncertain – It is not possible to determine the nature of the impact; |
| | • Neutral - Relationship between the option and the SA Objective is negligible; |
| | Positive/negative – A combination of positive and negative contributions to the SA Objective; |
| | Minor negative - The option partially detracts from the achievement of the SA Objective; |
| | Major negative effects - The proposal significantly detracts from the achievement of the SA Objective. |
| | For the purpose of the SEA Directive, effects noted as 'major adverse' or 'major positive' are considered to be 'significant'. The SEA directive necessitates a focus on 'significant' effects. Determining whether an effect is significant or minor is an evaluative judgment based on expert opinion, best practice and industry standards. It is also guided by Annex II (2) of the SEA Directive, which states: |
| | "The degree to which the plan or programme sets a framework for projects and other activities, either with regard to the location, nature, size and operating conditions or by allocating resources; |
| Positive & negative effects and | The degree to which the plan or programme influences other plans and programmes including those in a hierarchy; |
| significance | • The relevance of the plan or programme for the integration of environmental considerations in particular with a view to promoting sustainable development, |
| | • Environmental problems relevant to the plan or programme; and |
| | The relevance of the plan or programme for the implementation of Community legislation on the environment (e.g. plans and programmes linked to waste-management or water protection)." |
| | Minor effects (i.e. insignificant effects) are also identified. This is because identifying minor effects assists with the identification of cumulative and synergistic effects (e.g. several minor effects combined to have a significant effect), can help to identify opportunities for enhancements (e.g. enhancing a minor positive effects to make it significant) and also better enables the Council to make a more informed decision over the sustainability performance of options. |
| | A positive effect would typically be one where the Plan proposal would be likely to contribute towards the aims of the SA Objective, whereas an adverse effect would be one where the Plan proposal conflicts with the Objective. Typically, if a proposal would be expected to have a positive effect(s) to the same extent that it would have an adverse effect(s), a +/- score is awarded. However, if it is considered to be likely that the adverse effect(s) would be of a notably greater magnitude than the positive effect(s), then an adverse score is awarded in-line with the precautionary principle. |
| | Assessments carried out in SEA operate at a very high level and so the results for options and alternatives that are only slightly different to one another can appear very similar. It might be that one option would have an effect that is of a slightly greater magnitude than the effect of another option, but the effects of both options are both significant and so they would both receive the same score. |
| | The assessment tables include a column that displays an overall score for each policy against each SA Objective that indicates the overall effect, as follows: |

Appendix D – Policies Assessments

| Characteristic | Rationa | ale | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------|---|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Major negat ive | Minor negative | Neutral / negligible | Positive & negative | Uncertain | Minor positive | Major positive | | | | | | |
| | | - | 0 | +/- | ? | + | ++ | | | | | | |
| Frequency | All effe | cts of the Plar | n are consider | ed to occur on | ce, unless ind | icated otherwis | e. | | | | | | |
| Cumulative nature and synergistic effects | adopte of all po this pur • • The cur | This SA provides an appraisal of all policies in the Plan. These policies are not going to be adopted in isolation and so it is important to identify and evaluate the cumulative impacts of all policies in-combination. A cumulative effects appraisal has also been carried out for this purpose. Cumulative and synergistic effects are defined as follows: Cumulative effects arise, for instance, where several developments each have insignificant effects but together have a significant effect, or where several individual effects have a combined effect; and Synergistic effects, so that the nature of the final impact is different to the nature of the individual impacts. The cumulative effects assessment in this report accounts for both cumulative and synergistic effects. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Transboundary nature | effects. likely to | The assessment table includes a column to indicate the likely geographical extent of effects. In most cases this extent is 'Bradford District', however, where effects would be likely to be discernible in neighbouring authorities or at a scale greater than Bradford, this is specified. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Secondary effects | assess second Second | ment text avo lary. dary effects an | ids specifically re defined as f | v signposting v ollows: are not a direc | vhether the ide | f secondary eff entified effect is cur away from | s primary or | | | | | | |
| Baseline trends | has been the bas | en carried out seline is curre | to determine | the likely futur , declining or s | e baseline trer | ed during the S nds. This indica Irds to moving t | ates whether | | | | | | |
| | | Declining | | Static | | Improv | ving | | | | | | |
| Recommendations | which, enhanc negativ | Alongside the assessment results recommendations are made. These are measures which, if adopted, would be likely to help avoid or minimise negative impacts or to enhance positive impacts. The SA seeks to make recommendations in all cases where negative impacts have been identified – where this is not feasible it is explained in the assessment boxes. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | recomm | | | | | e able to incorpo en made for po | | | | | | | |

It is important to note that the assessment results in this appendix are the assessments of individual policies and so they do not factor in the likely mitigating impact of other policies proposed in the Local Plan. For example, policy HO1 establishes the housing requirement for the District. The assessment of HO1 predicted a range of potentially negative sustainability impacts associated with the construction and occupation of these homes, such negative impacts on the Biodiversity & Geodiversity SA Objective caused by new development on greenfield land, direct losses of habitats, reduced ecological connectivity and increase recreational pressure on green spaces. It is likely that these potential negative impacts would be mitigated to some extent by other policies proposed in the

Local Plan, such as policies EN2: Biodiversity & Geodiversity which seek to protect and enhance the District's biodiversity. This is taken into consideration in detail in the cumulative effects assessment.

Table 5-6 of the Main Interim SA Report provides a summary of the policies proposed in the Local Plan that would be likely to help avoid or mitigate negative impacts, or to enhance positive impacts, identified for each SA Objective.

Assessment Results

Policy SP1: Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development is under review. The policy sets out the Council's intention to work proactively with applicants in order to find solutions that enhance the sustainability of development proposals. Proposals that conform with Plan-policies would be approved without delay, whilst proposals for which there are no relevant policies then the decision-maker will grant permission unless material considerations suggest otherwise, including when adverse impacts outweigh positive impacts when assessed against policies in the NPPF or when specific policies in the NPPF indicate that development should be restricted. The policy would be expected to help ensure that the Council supports the achievement of the three sustainable development objectives. It will support economic strength, meet the environmental objectives and support social aspects of sustainability. The Council will aim to maximise the benefits of new development while mitigating any adverse effects through an approach to site selection which applies a flood risk sequential approach; maximises use of previously developed land; prioritises sites assisting regeneration; minimises the use of green belt; and ensures the development will enable built and natural environment to be enhanced while minimising the adverse environmental impacts of growth.

SP1 reinforces the need for development to conform with these policies.

- SP1 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP1RA1): Retain the policy as adopted in the Core Strategy. Likely to have similar impacts to SP1 but of lower magnitude and certainty.
- SP1 Reasonable Alternative 2 (SP1RA2): Remove the policy as the aspects are to come extent already covered by the NPPF and allow the spatial strategy and different policies which will contribute to the 3 objectives to speak for themselves. Could have a negative impact on the SA Objectives as some detail and complexity is lost.

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | М | |
| 1. Energy & GHGs | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental conditions \rightarrow encourages low-carbon development. |
| | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | CD4 & CD4DA4 Nimerousments to environmental conditions. Nensurance sustainable waste management such |
| 2. Waste | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental conditions \rightarrow encourages sustainable waste management such as higher rates of reuse/reduce/recycle. |
| | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | as higher rates of reuse/reuse/reuse. |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental conditions \rightarrow encourages more efficient use of land and |
| 3. Land & buildings | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | -buildings. SP1RA1 similar impacts of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 4. Climate change | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental conditions \rightarrow encourages greater resilience to climate change |
| resilience | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | e.g reduced risk of flooding. SP1RA1 similar impacts of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | -SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental conditions \rightarrow encourages more efficient consumption of water + |
| 5. Water resources | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | -reduce risk of contamination or pollution of waterbodies. |
| | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 6. Biodiversity & | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | -SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental conditions \rightarrow encourages development to lead to improvements |
| geodiversity | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | to biodiversity & geodiversity. |
| goodivoloity | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-------------------------|--|--|--------|---------------------|------------|------------------------|---|--|
| 7. Landscape | liona | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental conditions \rightarrow encourages development to protect & enhance |
| townscape | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | character. |
| · · · · · | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | М | |
| 8. Cultural heritage | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental conditions \rightarrow encourages development to protect & enhance |
| Ŭ | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | -setting. |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | М | |
| 9. Air quality | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental & social conditions \rightarrow encourages development to ensure site- |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | users have good access to walking, cycling and public-transport routes, improving air quality and reducing |
| 10. Transport | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | -congestion. |
| | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | М | |
| 11. Housing | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow development conforms with Local Plan policies \rightarrow local development needs are met \rightarrow housing |
| Ŭ | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | needs in Bradford more likely to be met. |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to economic & social conditions \rightarrow likely to help encourage development that |
| 12. Accessible services | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | provides site-users with good access to key services, existing communities and amenities, communal areas and |
| | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | _cultural places. |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| 13. Social Cohesion | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow help ensure local development needs are met \rightarrow housing needs met \rightarrow reduced poverty & |
| | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | deprivation. |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | М | |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental & social conditions \rightarrow encourages the development and |
| | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | maintenance of green spaces for recreation |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| 15. Safe & secure | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | -SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental & social conditions \rightarrow likely to encourage development that |
| | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | contributes towards safe and secure businesses, neighbourhoods and homes. |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | М | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental, economic & social conditions \rightarrow likely to help encourage |
| | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | development that provides site-users with access to key health services & semi-natural habitats. |
| 16. Health | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | - | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow help ensure local development needs are met \rightarrow housing needs met \rightarrow reduced poverty & deprivation. |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental, economic & social conditions \rightarrow likely to help encourage |
| | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | development that provides site-users with access to education facilities. |
| 17. Education | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow development conforms with Local Plan policies \rightarrow local development needs are met \rightarrow employment needs met \rightarrow improved on-the-job skills learning opportunities. |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | | CD4.8 CD4D44 > development conferme with Local Dian policies > local development and the second > | |
| 18. Employment | | SP1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | | | |
| | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | | - inployment needs met. | |
| | | SP1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| 19. Economy | SP1RA1 - I Prodford district T/P I T SP1 & SP1RA1 - Improvements to environmental, economic & so | SP1 & SP1RA1 \rightarrow improvements to environmental, economic & social conditions \rightarrow development likely to enhance | | | | | | |
| | | SP1RA2 | - | Bradford District | | local economy overall. | | |

Summary of, and assumptions in the assessment of, Strategic Policies (SP2 - SP5) Development Strategy

Policy SP2: Spatial Priorities is under review. The preferred option makes reference to the updated plan period, provides a consistent approach to regeneration areas and includes reference to health and health inequalities. The reviewed policy would seek to ensure that development in Bradford is managed in order that the needs of the District to 2038 can be met and that this development contributes to a transformation of the local economic, environment, physical and social conditions of the District; enhances Bradford's role within the wider Leeds City Region as an important business location; optimises opportunities provided by the Leeds Bradford International Airport; enhances and supports the roles of Principal Towns, Local Growth Centres and Local Service Centres; protects and enhances natural and built environmental assets; ensures a move towards a green economy and resilient low carbon future, and building resilience to environmental threats; avoids increasing flood risk; encourages the shift towards sustainable and active forms of movement; contribute to inclusive built and natural environments that protect and enhance local character and settings; and ensures the contribution to the creation of healthy places, improving health and wellbeing. Integrating these principles and priorities into the heart of the Council's decision making would be expected to make a significant contribution towards the environmental, economic and social sustainability of future development in Bradford.

- SP2 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP2RA1): Update the policy but consider a less extensive range of changes. Does not deliver a comprehensive strategic approach. This alternative would be likely to have largely similar impacts as the preferred option but with less probability due to the lack of detail on the scope and scale of changes.

Policy SP3: Hierarchy of Settlement is under review. The policy establishes a hierarchy of settlements in the District by identifying Local Service Centres and Rural Areas, Local Growth Centres, Principal Towns and the Regional City. At each level of this hierarchy the policy establishes key priorities for future development in order to satisfy local needs and maximise on opportunities related to housing, employment, economic development and diversification, education, public transport, community, health, culture and the natural environment. The hierarchical approach to settlements is designed to help ensure that development can be directed towards the most sustainable locations. The approach proposed in SP3 would be expected to help ensure that the economic, social and environmental opportunities that new development can bring are effectively delivered and that development needs can be identified and met at a settlement by settlement basis.

- SP3 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP3RA1): A more limited range of changes, which so not align fully to the changing context of which the policy is drafted. Magnitude and probability of impacts is likely to be lower than the preferred option due to the limited scope.

Policy SP4: Location of Development is under review. The preferred option includes changes to Green Belt site prioritisation and a reordered movement hierarchy. The policy would seek to establish priorities for new locations of development. The first priority is the efficient and effective re-use of deliverable and developable previously developed land and buildings (that is not of high environmental value). The second priority is to sustainable greenfield land within settlements. The third priority is limited release of Green Belt land. When identifying or comparing sites, the Council will priorities opportunities that make the best use of existing transport infrastructure and capacity, maximises accessibility by walking, cycling, and creating green corridors, supports the use of public transport meeting minimum accessibility standards, considers capacity constraints and deliverable improvements, and maximises the use of rail and water for freight movements. Priorities for new sites set out in the preferred option would be expected to make a major contribution towards a sustainable approach to land-use in Bradford. Benefits to natural environment topics such as landscape and biodiversity would also be likely due to the focus on brownfield land and existing settlements. The focus on accessibility and public transport would also have a range of benefits, including reducing the carbon footprint and pollution of transport and enhancing active movement options for local people.

- SP4 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP4RA1): Do not review policy – policy would remain unchanged from the adopted Core Strategy policy. The movement hierarchy would remain unchanged and so accessibility of the strategic road network would be afforded a greater priority than access via walking and cycling as well as access to public transport. The third priority for site allocations would also remain as 'local Green Belt releases to the built-up areas of settlements in sustainable locations' as opposed to the preferred option, for which the third priority is 'limited release of Green Belt'. SP4RA1 could therefore encourage more development on Green Belt.

- SP4 Reasonable Alternative 2 (SP4RA2): Undertake more limited changes to the policy and retain current Green Belt prioritisation this may limit the opportunities for larger urban extensions to deliver ahead of smaller 'local' Green Belt development opportunities. This alternative could result in the release of more Green Belt land than the preferred option. The movement hierarchy would be the same as the preferred option, and so walking, cycling and public transport accessibility would be afforded a higher priority than access to the strategic road network.
- SP4 Reasonable Alternative 3 (SP4RA3): Undertake more limited changes to the policy and delete Green Belt prioritisation element but retain movement hierarchy as drafted in adopted Core Strategy policy does not align fully with updated transport and other policies, focused upon carbon reduction and air quality improvements. This alternative would not afford Green Belt land the same priority as the preferred option. However, the movement hierarchy would remain unchanged and so access to the strategic road network would be afforded greater priority than access via walking, cycling and public transport.
- SP4 Reasonable Alternative 4 (SP4RA4): Variations on limited changes. Impacts of this alternative are uncertain as it depends on the exact detail of the changes.
- SP4 Reasonable Alternative 5 (SP4RA5): Delete the individual policy and integrate with other policies. The preferred option sets out a clear sequential framework for development which can be read across the Local Plan. If the policy was removed it would have no impacts.

Policy SP5 Green Belt and Safeguarded Land is under review. The Council's preferred option provides a strategic overview on key changes that will be made to the Green Belt in order to deliver the requirements for housing and employment land over the plan period. The policy would be expected to help facilitate future residential and employment development on Green Belt land. This would help to ensure that Bradford's housing and employment needs can be met but it also poses a risk to the character, setting and biodiversity value of Green Belt land, though the policy does require the impact of removing land from the Green Belt to be offset through enhancement of the remaining Green Belt land.

- SP5 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP5RA1): Exclude sites from the policy listing and refer to the plan sections. This would provide less clarity to the location of the changes to the Green Belt and related sites and would result in a greater degree of uncertainty.
- SP5 Reasonable Alternative 2 (SP5RA2): Include a section on safeguarded land. The evidence is not sufficiently developed to support inclusion at this stage, but it could provide more certainty regarding longer-term development needs.

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| 1. Energy & GHGs | | SP2 | +/- | Wider Leeds Region | P/I | LT | Μ | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow support residential and employment development \rightarrow increase in associated GHGs. |
| | | SP2RA1 | +/- | Wider Leeds Region | P/I | LT | L | |
| | | SP3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow encourage the shift towards sustainable movement + renewable energy \rightarrow reduction in |
| | | SP4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | associated GHGs. SP2RA1 could result in impacts of slightly lower magnitude due to more limited scope. |
| | | SP4RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP4RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP3 \rightarrow enhancements in sustainable transport modes \rightarrow reduction in associated GHGs. |
| | | SP4RA3 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4RA4 | ? | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP4, SP4RA2, SP4RA4 → greater priority afforded to active and public transport accessibility of developments |
| | | SP4RA5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | than strategic road network \rightarrow reduction in associated GHG emissions. SP4RA4 would be of lower magnitude. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | e Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|----------|--------|--|
| | | SP5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | SP4RA1 and SP4RA3 \rightarrow greater priority afforded to strategic road network accessibility of developments than public and active transport options \rightarrow site-users likely to have higher rate of car usage \rightarrow new GHG emissions. |
| | | SP5RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP4RA5 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impacts SP5 and its alternatives \rightarrow development in Green Belt \rightarrow loss of greenfield land (carbon sink) + residents likely to need to travel longer distances e.g. to access services or employment. No discernible differences between SP5 |
| | | SP5RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | or its alternatives for this SA Objective. Recommendations: None |
| 2. Waste | | SP2 | - | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow support residential and employment development \rightarrow increase in associated waste. |
| | | SP2RA1 | - | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | SP3, SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | | SP4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 111 | SP4, SP4RA1, SP4RA2, SP4RA3 & SPRA4 \rightarrow first priority for new sites is PDL \rightarrow reduction in associated waste. |
| | | SP4RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP4RA5 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impacts |
| | | SP4RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 101 | |
| | | SP4RA4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP4RA1 &SP4RA2 $ ightarrow$ potential for greater Green Belt land release than SP4 & SP4RA2 |
| | | SP4RA5 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: SP2 could seek to incorporate waste and achieving high rates of recycling as a priority for |
| | | SP5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | new development. |
| | _ | SP5RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 3. Land & buildings | | SP2 | +/- | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow support residential and employment development \rightarrow new development on greenfield. |
| | | SP2RA1 | +/- | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | | However, there is also a focus on development of PDL. |
| | | SP3 | | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | H | SP3 \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | | SP4 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | SP4RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT LT | L | SP4 \rightarrow second and third priority to the development on greenfield and limited Green Belt land \rightarrow inherent |
| | | SP4RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | | | acceptance of some degree of development on greenfield. |
| | | SP4RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | | | |
| | | SP4RA4 SP4RA5 | | Bradford District | T/R n/a | n/a | M H | SP4, SP4RA1, SP4AR2, SP4RA3 \rightarrow prioritise the reuse of previously developed land and buildings. |
| | | SP4RA5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP5RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | | | SP4RA1 and SP4RA2 \rightarrow more Green Belt release than SP4RA3 and SP4 \rightarrow likely loss of more greenfield. |
| | | SPORAT | - | | 1/15 | LI | | |
| | | SP5RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP4RA5 → no policy→ no impacts SP5 & its alternatives → development in Green Belt → likely to require development on greenfield land. SP5RA2 → safeguarded land for future development → uncertain if this could result in more development in the Green Belt than SC7 or if development in the Green Belt would be more controlled. Recommendations: SP2 could seek to all ensure that the District's most ecologically and agriculturally valuable soils are prevented from being lost or irreversibly altered due to development. SP5 could seek to ensure that new development in the Green Belt avoids the loss of, or irreversible harm to, BMV soils. |
| 4. Climate change | | SP2 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| vulnerability | | SP2RA1 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow avoid increasing flood risk + manage land and river catchments for flood risk + increase tree |
| | | SP3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | cover \rightarrow reduction in flood risk. |
| | | SP4 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | SP4RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | | SP3 \rightarrow create new green areas, networks and corridors \rightarrow increase interception and storage of rainwater \rightarrow |
| | | SP4RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | SP4RA3 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | SP4RA4 | ? | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP4. SP4RA1, SP4RA2, SP4RA3 & SP4RA4 \rightarrow prioritise development on PDL, however permit development on |
| | | SP4RA5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | greenfield and/or Green Belt land \rightarrow potential loss of vegetation and permeable surfaces \rightarrow increase in overland |
| | | SP5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP5RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 1 | - |
| | | | | | 1/10 | | | SP4RA5 →no policy→ no impacts |
| | | SP5RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow development in the Green Belt \rightarrow likely to involve development on greenfield \rightarrow potential for negative impacts on surface run-off due to new hard standing & loss of GI & permeable soils. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: SP5 could seek to ensure that upslope Green Belt land is not developed on. |
| 5. Water resources | | SP2 | - | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow support & encourage new residential development \rightarrow increase in water consumption. |
| | | SP2RA1 | - | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP3 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | H | SP4, SP4RA1, SP4RA2, SP4RA3 & SP4RA4→ priority is for PDL but still facilitate some degree of development |
| | | SP4 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | on greenfield \rightarrow potential risk to quality of nearby waterbodies. |
| | | SP4RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP4RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP4RA5 →no policy→ no impacts |
| | | SP4RA3 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP4RA4 | ? | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP3 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP4RA5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow development in the Green Belt \rightarrow loss of greenfield & GI + development in proximity to |
| | | SP5RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | natural waterbodies → potential for negative impacts on water quality caused by construction & new hard- standing. |
| | | SP5RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: SP2 could seek to ensure that new development is resource-efficient, including water use. |
| 6. Biodiversity & | | SP2 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow protect and enhance environmental resources, such as the South Pennine Moors + manage |
| geodiversity | | SP2RA1 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | land and river catchments for biodiversity enhancement + increase tree cover \rightarrow maintain, protect and enhance |
| 0 | | SP3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | natural habitats. |
| | | SP4 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1 |
| | | SP4RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP3→seek to create new and improved existing green areas, corridors and networks → create new habitats and |
| | | SP4RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Ē | ecological corridors → enhance biodiversity. |
| | | SP4RA3 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | - |
| | | SP4RA4 | ? | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP4, SP4RA1, SP4RA2, SP4RA3 & SP4RA4 → prioritise PDL sites but would permit development on greenfield |
| | | SP4RA5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | and/or Green Belt land → potential loss of habitat, reduction in ecological connectivity + more cars causing |
| | | | | 1100 | | | | greater wildlife disturbance. Alternatives may have a more negative impact. SP4RA5 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impacts |
| | | SP5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow development in the Green Belt \rightarrow likely to involve development on greenfield \rightarrow |
| | | | | | | | | potential for negative impacts on biodiversity value of sites & local ecological connectivity. |

| SA Objective | Baseline Policy or trend RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| | | | | | | | Recommendations: SP5 could focus more strictly on its support of developments that enhance GI and biodiversity and the requirement to offset impacts. |
| | SP5RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | SP5RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| 7. Landscape & | SP2 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow ensure developments are of high quality and well-designed + protect and enhance local |
| townscape | SP2RA1 | | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | | setting and heritage \rightarrow reinforce/ create a sense of local character and distinctiveness \rightarrow create a high-quality |
| | SP3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | townscape. |
| | SP4 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | | |
| | SP4RA1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | | SP4 \rightarrow seek to develop a strong sense of place and distinct identity + seek to develop a high-quality public |
| | SP4RA2 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | | realm with well-designed buildings + create new and improved existing green areas, corridors and networks |
| | SP4RA3 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | | → enhance townscape and landscape. |
| | SP4RA4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | SP4RA5 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | SP4, SP4RA1, SP4RA2, SP4RA3 & SP4RA4 \rightarrow prioritise the reuse and development of previously developed |
| | SP5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | land and buildings $ ightarrow$ potential to regenerate PDL $ ightarrow$ potential to enhance townscape. |
| | SP5RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | | | | | | SP4, SP4RA1, SP4RA2, SP4RA3 & SP4RA4 → permit development on greenfield and/or Green Belt land → potential risk of impacts on natural landscape character. SP4 & SP4RA3 would result in fewer Green Belt sites being developed on than SP4RA1 & SP4RA2. |
| | SP5RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | SP4RA5 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impacts SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow development in the Green Belt \rightarrow likely to involve development on greenfield & open spaces \rightarrow potential for negative impacts on distinct natural landscapes. |
| | | | | | | | Recommendations: SP5 could seek to incorporate particularly stringent controls over the provision of GI and open space in proposals for development on Green Belt land in order to preserve character and setting. |
| 8. Cultural heritage | SP2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow ensure developments are of high quality and well-designed + protect and enhance local |
| - | SP2RA1 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | | LT | L | setting and heritage $ ightarrow$ reinforce/ create a sense of local character and distinctiveness |
| | SP3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | SP4 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | SP4 \rightarrow seek to develop a strong sense of place and distinct identity + seek to develop a high-quality public |
| | SP4RA1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | realm with well-designed buildings + create new and improved existing green areas, corridors and networks |
| | SP4RA2 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | \rightarrow could also change the character of areas. |
| | SP4RA3 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | |
| | SP4RA4 | ? | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP4, SP4RA1, SP4RA2, SP4RA3 & SP4RA4 \rightarrow permit development on greenfield and/or Green Belt land \rightarrow |
| | SP4RA5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | potential risk of impacts on setting of heritage assets/historic areas, particularly where open spaces are lost. SP4 & SP4RA3 would result in fewer Green Belt sites being developed on than SP4RA1 & SP4RA2. |
| | SP5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | a SEARAS would result in rewer Green beit sites being developed on than SEARA & SEARAZ. |
| | SP5RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP4RA5 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impacts |
| | SP5RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow development in the Green Belt \rightarrow likely to involve development on greenfield & open spaces \rightarrow potential for negative impacts on distinct natural landscapes, character of small rural settlements. There could potentially be some impacts on the setting of nearby heritage assets and historic areas. |

| SA Objective | | Policy or | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects |
|----------------|-------|-----------|--------|---------------------|-----|------|-------|---|
| | trend | RA | | | I/R | | | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation of enhancement |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: SP5 could seek to incorporate particularly stringent controls over the provision of GI and open space in proposals for development on Green Belt land in order to preserve character and setting. SP4 |
| | | | | | | | | could ensure that any greenfield release within settlements does not adversely affect local character. |
| 9. Air quality | | SP2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP2, SP2RA1 \rightarrow support renewable energy generation & energy efficiency \rightarrow reduction in air pollution |
| 5. All quality | | SP2RA1 | +/- | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | | associated with energy generation & consumption. |
| | | SP3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP2 & SP3 \rightarrow enhanced sustainability of patterns of development and transport \rightarrow increased uptake of |
| | | SP4RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | sustainable transport options \rightarrow reduction in air pollution associated with transport. |
| | | SP4RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow support residential and employment development \rightarrow increase in associated air pollution. |
| | | SP4RA4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | - |
| | | SP4RA5 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | -SP4 \Rightarrow more active & public transport uptake + reduced congestion \Rightarrow improved air quality. SP4RA4 has more |
| | | SP5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | limited changes so likely impacts of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP5RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | - |
| | | | | Diadioia District | 1/1 | | | SP4RA1 and SP4RA3 → would seek to maximise walking and cycling and public transport access to |
| | | | | | | | | developments \rightarrow support and encourage sustainable transport options \rightarrow reduce congestion + air pollution. |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | SP4RA5 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impacts |
| | | | | | | | | SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow development in Green Belt \rightarrow potential loss of greenfield land & GI \rightarrow reduction in their |
| | | | | | - | | | air quality improving ecosystem service. |
| | | SP5RA2 | 2 - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | | | | | | | SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow development in Green Belt \rightarrow potential for new homes to be in more rural locations that |
| | | | | | | | | require longer distances to travel to access key services & amenities + in locations where access to public |
| | | | | | | | | transport modes is more limited |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: SP5 could seek to prioritise Green Belt sites that have good walking and cycling access. |
| 10. Transport | | SP2 | +/- | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP2RA1 | | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | SP2 & SP3 \rightarrow enhanced sustainability of patterns of development and transport \rightarrow increased uptake of |
| | | SP3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | sustainable transport options \rightarrow reduction in congestion |
| | | SP4 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow support residential and employment development \rightarrow increase in associated congestion |
| | | SP4RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP4 \rightarrow more active & public transport uptake + reduced congestion. SP4RA4 has more limited changes so likely |
| | | SP4RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | -impacts of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP4RA4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4RA5 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | -SP4RA1 and SP4RA3 \rightarrow would seek to maximise walking and cycling and public transport access to |
| | | SP5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | developments \rightarrow support and encourage sustainable transport options \rightarrow reduce congestion. |
| | | SP5RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | | | | | | | SP4RA5 →no policy→ no impacts |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow development in Green Belt \rightarrow potential for new homes to be in more rural locations that |
| | S | SP5RA2 | - 2 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | require longer distances to travel to access key services & amenities + in locations where access to public |
| | | | | | | | | transport modes is more limited |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: SP5 could seek to prioritise Green Belt sites that have good walking and cycling access. |

| SA Objective | Baseline | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| 11. Housing | | SP2 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | М | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow manage the delivery of housing to meet the needs of the district + supply the associated |
| in thousing | | SP2RA1 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | | services and community facilities. |
| | | SP3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | $^{-}$ SP3 \rightarrow seek to achieve a wide range of housing and services to meet the needs of communities. |
| | | SP4RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP4, SP4RA1, SP4RA2, SP4RA3 & SP4RA4 \rightarrow set out criteria for the prioritisation of development land |
| | | SP4RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | allocation \rightarrow ensure suitable land and location allocation for housing. |
| | | SP4RA4 | ? | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4RA5 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | -SP4RA5 →no policy→ no impacts |
| | | SP5 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | - |
| | | SP5RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow help to ensure that housing needs in Bradford can be met. |
| | | | | | | | | 1 |
| | | SP5RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: None |
| 12. Range of | | SP2 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | Μ | Each policy \rightarrow support & enhance sustainable and active transport options \rightarrow improved accessibility of facilities |
| accessible | | SP2RA1 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | and services. |
| services | | SP3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow delivery of housing and community services and facilities + support local service centres \rightarrow |
| | | SP4RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | provision of a range of community services. |
| | | SP4RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | |
| | | SP4RA3 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | SP3 \rightarrow improve connectivity in the region between housing, employment and community facilities \rightarrow ensures |
| | | SP4RA4 | ? | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | accessibility to community facilities and services. |
| | | SP4RA5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | - SP4RA5 →no policy→ no impacts |
| | | SP5RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | | | | | | | SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow development in Green Belt \rightarrow potential for new homes to be situated relatively far from |
| | | | | Due décud District | | | 1 | some key services, amenities, community facilities or employment areas. |
| | | SP5RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None. |
| 13. Social Cohesion | | SP2 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | Each policy → support & enhance sustainable and active transport options → improved accessibility of |
| | | SP2RA1 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | communal spaces |
| | | SP3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP4 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow delivery of housing and community services and facilities + support local service centres \rightarrow |
| | | SP4RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | improved social cohesion |
| | | SP4RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | |
| | | SP4RA3 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | SP3 \rightarrow improve connectivity in the region between housing, employment and community facilities \rightarrow ensures |
| | | SP4RA4 | ? | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | accessibility to community facilities and services. |
| | | SP4RA5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | CP2 A develop a strong same of place and high guidity public realm |
| | | SP5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP3 \rightarrow develop a strong sense of place and high-quality public realm |
| | | SP5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | SP5RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow development in Green Belt \rightarrow expected that new homes would still be within or adjacent to existing communities. |

Appendix D – Policies Assessments

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|---|---|
| | urena | RA | | | I/R | | | Recommendations for avoidance, miligation of enhancement Recommendations: None. |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | SP2 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | N/ | Each policy \rightarrow support & enhance sustainable and active transport options \rightarrow improved accessibility of cultural |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | SP2RA1 | | Wider Leeds Region | | | | areas. |
| | | SP2RAT | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | | M | |
| | | SP4 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow protect and enhance the District's environmental resources, such as South Pennine Moors \rightarrow |
| | | SP4RA1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | | preserves the districts green/leisure spaces + encourage leisure activities and physical activity. |
| | | SP4RA2 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | | |
| | | SP4RA3 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | | SP3 \rightarrow improve connectivity in the region between housing, employment and community facilities \rightarrow ensures |
| | | SP4RA4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | accessibility to culture and leisure facilities. |
| | | SP4RA5 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | -SP3 $ ightarrow$ develop a strong sense of place and high-quality public realm + create and improve green area, networks |
| | | SP5RA1 | _ | Bradford District | T/R | | | and corridors in the district + provision of cultural activities \rightarrow provision of cultural and leisure spaces \rightarrow increase |
| | | | | | 1/1 | | | tourism. |
| | | | | | | | | SP4, SP4RA1, SP4RA2, SP4RA3 & SP4RA4 → permit development on greenfield and/or Green Belt land → potential loss and/or disturbance of open spaces/leisure areas. SP4 & SP4RA3 would be expected to result in less Green Belt sites development on than SP4RA1 & SP4RA2. |
| | | SP5RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP4RA5 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impacts SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow development in Green Belt \rightarrow potential for new homes to be situated relatively far from |
| | | | | | | | some key services, amenities, community facilities or employment areas. | |
| | | | | | | | | SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow development in Green Belt \rightarrow expected that these new homes would still be within or adjacent to existing communities. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None. |
| 15. Safe & secure | | SP2 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | Μ | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow ensure that developments are of high quality and well-designed + seeks to improve the |
| | | SP2RA1 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | economic, environmental, physical and social conditions of the District -> promote a sense of safety and security. |
| | | SP3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | SP3 \rightarrow seek to transform the District's settlements into cohesive, inclusive safe places. |
| | | SP4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP4RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP4, SP5 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP4RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP4RA4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP2, SP3 & alternatives $ ightarrow$ development likely to be accessible via walking and cycling $ ightarrow$ natural surveillance $ ightarrow$ |
| | | SP4RA5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | reduced risk of crime. |
| | | SP5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None. |
| | | SP5RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 16. Health | | SP2 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | Μ | SP2 & SP2RA1 → ensure the local plan and development contributes to the delivery of better health and |
| | | SP2RA1 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | reduction in health equalities. |
| | | SP3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | All policies \rightarrow improvements to air quality \rightarrow reduction in impacts of air pollution on health. |
| | | SP4RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP4RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | 1 |

| SA Objective | | Policy or | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects |
|----------------|-------|-----------|--------|---------------------|-----|------|-------|--|
| | trend | RA | | | I/R | | | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
| | | SP4RA3 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | | SP2 & SP3 \rightarrow increased uptake in walking and cycling \rightarrow encourages physical activity + reduce vehicle |
| | | SP4RA4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | emissions \rightarrow improve mental and physical well-being. |
| | | SP4RA5 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | SP4, SP4RA1, SP4RA2, SP4RA3 & SP4RA4 \rightarrow sites accessible via walking and cycling \rightarrow increase physical |
| | | SP5 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | SP5RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | activity \rightarrow improve mental and physical well-being. SP4 & SP4RA3 afford greater priority to walking & cycling \rightarrow greater magnitude of positive effect than SP4RA1 & SP4RA2. All alternatives are less focused on this than SP4. |
| | | | | | | | | SP4RA5 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impacts |
| | | SP5RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP5 & is alternatives \rightarrow new residential development in Green Belt \rightarrow residents here potentially have more limited access to health services & facilities but would be likely to have excellent access to the countryside and a diverse range of natural habitats. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: SP4 should ensure that any loss of urban greenspace is not of accessible recreational use to avoid harm to mental health of residents. |
| 17. Education | | SP2 | | Wider Leeds Region | | LT | M | Each policy \rightarrow support sustainable transport options \rightarrow improved accessibility of educational facilities. |
| | | SP2RA1 | | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow seek to enhance the role of the District as an important business location with a good supply |
| | | SP4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | of labour and services \rightarrow increase training and employment opportunities. |
| | | SP4RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP4RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP3 \rightarrow seek to achieve a wide range of employment opportunities \rightarrow increase training and employment |
| | | SP4RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | opportunities. |
| | | SP4RA4 | ? | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4RA5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP4RA5 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impacts |
| | | SP5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | CPF and its alternatives. Non-wave idential development in the Oregon Dath. Non-idential the base to travel |
| | | SP5RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP5 and its alternatives \rightarrow new residential development in the Green Belt \rightarrow residents potentially have to travel relatively long distances to access primary & secondary school facilities. |
| | | SP5RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| 18. Employment | | SP2 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | SP2, SP3, SP4 & alternatives →support improvements of sustainable transport options → improve access to |
| . , | | SP2RA1 | | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | employment sites |
| | | SP3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | 1 |
| | | SP4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow seek to enhance the role of the District as an important business location with a good supply |
| | | SP4RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | of labour and services + seek to establish an international business gateway in proximity to Leeds Bradford |
| | | SP4RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Airport + encourage diversification of the rural economy \rightarrow increase and employment opportunities in the District. |
| | | SP4RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | 1 |
| | | SP4RA4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP3 \rightarrow seeks to achieve a wide range of employment opportunities + improve connectivity to employment areas |
| | | SP4RA5 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | →increase employment levels |
| | | SP5 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP5RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP4RA5 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impacts |
| | | SP5RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow help to ensure that employment land needs in Bradford can be met \rightarrow more employment opportunities. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None. |
| 19. Economy | | SP2 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | Μ | |

Appendix D – Policies Assessments

| SA Objective | Baseline | e Policy or | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects |
|--------------|----------|-------------|--------|---------------------|-----|------|-------|---|
| 0/100/00/10 | trend | RA | Liloot | obographical extern | I/R | | 1100. | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
| | | SP2RA1 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | SP2, SP3, SP4 & alternatives → support improvements of sustainable transport options → improve access to |
| | | SP3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | employment sites and community and retail services. |
| | | SP4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP2 & SP2RA1 \rightarrow seek to enhance the role of the District as an important business location with a good supply |
| | | SP4RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | of labour and services + seek to establish an international business gateway in proximity to Leeds Bradford |
| | | SP4RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | airport + encourage diversification of the rural economy \rightarrow increase economic growth in the District. |
| | | SP4RA4 | ? | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP4RA5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP3 \rightarrow seeks to achieve a wide range of employment opportunities + improve connectivity to employment areas |
| | | SP5 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | → boost local economy. |
| | | SP5RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP4RA5 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impacts |
| | | | | | | | | SP5 & its alternatives \rightarrow help to ensure that employment land needs in Bradford can be met \rightarrow increase in |
| | | SP5RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | economic growth. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None. |

Summary of, and assumptions in the assessment of, Strategic Policies (SP6 – SP7) Planning for Prosperity

Policy SP6: Economic Growth is a new policy. The Council's preferred option would be to stimulate economic growth through getting more people into work and improving the skills of residents, which is based on the young and enterprising population (e.g. enhancing skills, learning and supporting small businesses); creating investment propositions through the District's unique environmental assets; building on business and sector strengths; improving transport infrastructure and digital connectivity; connecting people to opportunities through jobs, skills and learning; and implementing major regeneration initiatives across the district. Economic growth will be supported to develop the Regional City of Bradford as a global hub; build the economic strengths of the principal towns of Ilkley, Keighley and Bingley; Sustain Local Growth Centres as economic hubs; and further economic diversification in Local Service Centres. Priority business sectors and clusters would be provided appropriately located sites and premises. Development of economic enterprises in tourism, culture and leisure would be encouraged, along with developments diversifying and strengthening the rural economy and the delivery of improved communications (including the delivery of fibre optic). The preferred option would also aim to create space for growth through investment in the identified employment, business and designated enterprise zones, the delivery of at least 72ha of mixed portfolio new employment land and the encouragement of converting vacant buildings to support local economic growth while having regard to constraints such as heritage. The policy would support jobs and skills through the delivery of at least 1,600 jobs per annum to 2038, and by ensuring development proposals seek to support employment, skills development, and training and education. The Council will support developments relating to the needs of and/or expansion of the District's health care providers and higher, further and specialist education establishments.

The policy would be expected to make a major positive contribution towards social and economic SA Objectives, primarily due to its impact in enhancing the competitiveness and success of the local economy and employment opportunities that are accessible to all, are of a high-quality and diverse range, applicable for the modern economy, and which also provide skills learning opportunities. This in turn would reduce deprivation and poverty, enhance the sustainability and cohesiveness of communities, improve the social/recreational/cultural offering in the District, improve education and reduce inequalities. It may also provide a boost to the health of local people as well as improving the local offering of key services and amenities. There is a risk that the significant economic growth being sought could lead to negative impacts on SA Objectives related to the natural environment, such as an increase in operating businesses posing a risk to air quality.

- SP6 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP6RA1): Update the policy but consider a less extensive range of changes. This would not deliver a comprehensive strategic approach to a key overview policy. Impacts would likely be the same but to a lesser magnitude and probability, due to the lack of a strategic approach.

Policy SP7: Planning for Sustainable Transport is a new policy. The policy will seek to improve accessibility to jobs and services by sustainable transport by allocating land for future development and base decisions for major planning applications on the key principles of sustainable transport. This would be achieved by maximising the efficient use of land and managing the locations of new developments; incorporating a mix of uses to ensure high levels of accessibility to services; encouraging the use of sustainable transport modes through travel plans and the transformation of the transport network; reducing the need to travel (e.g. promoting home working); delivering sustainable streets and sustainable transport corridors; developing new facilities for active travel modes; and maximising opportunities to co-locate residential and employment development. It would also support the implementation of infrastructure and facilities for alternative fuel and vehicle technologies (e.g. electric cars, e-bikes, electrified rail). The efficient sharing of space and all types of vehicles would be maximised, and the delivery of coordinated freight consolidation services would be supported. The policy would be highly likely to contribute towards a reduction in congestion and pollution in Bradford and the transition towards a lower-emission and more sustainable transport system. A more integrated transport network that encourages higher rates of active travel would also be highly likely to benefit the physical and mental health and wellbeing of local people and to facilitate safer and more cohesive communities. It would also increase footfall in central areas whilst establishing attractive street scenes.

- SP7 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP7RA1): Update the policy but consider a less extensive range of changes. This would not deliver a comprehensive strategic approach to a key overview policy. Impacts would likely be the same but to a lesser magnitude and probability, due to the lack of a strategic approach.

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|------------|------|-------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Energy & GHGs | | SP6 | | Bradford District | | | Μ | A SP6 & SP6RA1 → support and encourage significant employment development and economic growth → increase in energy consumption + associated transport → increase in associated GHG emissions. SP7 & SP7RA1 → enhanced public and sustainable transport routes → reduced GHGs. | | | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | | | | | | | |
| | | SP7 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | | | | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | Recommendations : For SP6, best efforts should be made to ensure that all new employment land is highly accessible via walking and cycling. | | | | | | |
| 2. Waste | | SP6 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP6 & SP7RA1 → supports and encourages significant employment development and economic growth → increases in associated waste due to materials used during construction + waste from increased business operations | | | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | _ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow directs new employment development towards locations with opportunities for reusing buildings \rightarrow reduced waste associated with construction in some circumstances. | | | | | | |
| | | SP7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. | | | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Recommendations: SP6 could seek to encourage circular economy principles in new development that minimises the consumption of materials and enhances reuse and recycle principles. | | | | | | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effec | Geographical extent | I P/T I/R | Timel | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|------------------------|--------------|-------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3. Land & buildings | | SP6 | - | Bradford District | | LT | Μ | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow supports and encourages significant employment development and economic growth \rightarrow loss of greenfield land to employment development in some circumstances. However, also seeks to prioritise PDL where possible. | | | | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. | | | | | | | |
| | | SP7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: When assessing the sustainability of proposals, the Council should consider impacts on land and soil resource. Proposals could be required to adopt best practice measures for soil management during construction and for excavated soil and rock to be reused either as part of the development or elsewhere. | | | | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Climate change | | SP6 | ? | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow supports and encourages significant quantity of new employment and economic development \rightarrow precise location of this development is uncertain \rightarrow impacts on this SA Objective are uncertain as impacts depend on precise location and type of development in | | | | | | | |
| vulnerability | | SP6 | ! | Bradford District | T/R | | L | related to local flood risk. | | | | | | | |
| | | SP7 SP7RA1 | | n/a n/a | | | | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. Recommendations : none | | | | | | | |
| 5 M/star | | SF / KAT | 0 | 11/a | 11/a | 11/a | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Water resources | | SP6 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | LSP6 → supports and encourages significant quantity of new employment and economic development → increase in water consumption due to | | | | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | business operations. | | | | | | | |
| | | SP7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. | | | | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: SP6 could seek to require proposals to show there is adequate water resource availability for their operations with close consideration given to water use efficiency standards. | | | | | | | |
| 6. Biodiversity & Geodiversity | | SP6 | | Bradford District | P/R | LT | | | | | | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | - | Bradford District | P/R | LT | SP6 & SP6RA1 → supports and encourages significant quantity of new employment and economic development → potential land and supporting habitats + reduced ecological connectivity. However, majority of new employment and economic develop directed towards existing settlements and urban areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements and urban areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements and urban areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements and urban areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements and urban areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements and urban areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements and urban areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements and urban areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements and urban areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements areas which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological corrected towards existing settlements economic development ecological corrected towards economi | | | | | | | | |
| | | SP7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | $_{\rm H}$ SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. | | | | | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: none. | | | | | | | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | I P/T I/R | Time | | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|--------------|------|---|--|
| 7. Landscape a townscape | & | SP6 | - | Bradford District | P/R | LT | | SP6 & SP6RA1 → supports and encourages significant quantity of new employment and economic development → potential loss of greenfield land and open space → potential impacts on local landscape & townscape character |
| | | SP6RA1 | - | Bradford District | P/R | LT | | However, focuses significant majority of new economic and employment development in existing settlements and built up areas → most negative impacts on natural landscapes likely avoided. |
| | | SP7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow focusses majority of new economy and employment development in existing employment areas \rightarrow development more likely to be in-keeping with the surrounding townscape \rightarrow most negative potential impacts on townscape character likely avoided. |
| | | | | | | | | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow reduce congestion \rightarrow reduced impacts on townscape character. |
| | | SP7RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP7 & SP7RA1 → maximises placemaking opportunities of transport hubs and interchanges + seeks to create attractive places → enhance local townscape. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: SP6 could seek to ensure that new employment development is of a high-quality design. |
| 8. Cultural heritage | | SP6 | - | Bradford District | P/R | LT | Μ | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow supports and encourages significant quantity of new employment and economic development \rightarrow potential loss of greenfield land and open space \rightarrow potential impacts on setting of heritage assets & historic areas. |
| | | SP6RA1 | | Bradford District | P/R | LT | L | However, focuses significant majority of new economic and employment development in existing settlements and built up areas → most negative impacts on natural landscapes likely avoided. |
| | | SP7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP6 & SP6RA1 → encourages conversion of existing buildings → supports regard to their heritage so most negative impacts on heritage may be avoided. |
| | | | | Bradford | | | | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow enhanced sustainable transport options \rightarrow reduced congestion \rightarrow enhanced setting of Conservation Areas. |
| | | SP7RA1 | + | District | T/R | LT | Μ | Recommendations: SP6 could seek to ensure that new employment development is of a high-quality design. |
| 9. Air quality | | SP6 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow supports and encourages significant quantity of new employment and economic development \rightarrow likely increase in movements associated with the operation of businesses and people commuting to work in Bradford. However, also focusses new development in locations that are generally highly accessible via public transport \rightarrow help to limit car movements associated with employment + help to limit |
| | | SP6RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | air pollution from this. |
| | | SP7 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow improvements to communications network & fibre optic \rightarrow reduced travel need for local people and businesses \rightarrow help to limit the increase in traffic movements caused by economic & employment growth \rightarrow limits increase in air pollution |
| | | | | | | | | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow enhanced sustainable transport routes \rightarrow reduced vehicular pollution. |
| | | | | Bradford District | | | | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow reduced travel need + reduced travel times \rightarrow reduced pollution from transport. |
| | | SP7RA1 | ++ | | T/R | LT | | SP7 & SP7RA1 → encourage sustainable and/or active transport + less, shorter and increasingly efficient movements → lower-emission transport system. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographica extent | l P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------------|--------------|------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Transport | | SP6 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP6 & SP6RA1 → supports and encourages significant quantity of new employment and economic development → likely increase in movements associated with the operation of businesses and people commuting to work in Bradford. However, also focusses new | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | development in locations that are generally highly accessible via public transport → help to limit car movements associated with employment + help to limit air pollution from this. | | | | |
| | | SP7 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow improvements to communications network & fibre optic \rightarrow reduced travel need for local people and businesses \rightarrow help to limit the increase in traffic movements caused by economic & employment growth. | | | | |
| | | | | | | _ | | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow enhanced sustainable transport routes \rightarrow reduced vehicular pollution. | | | | |
| | | | | Bradford District | | | | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow reduced travel need + reduced travel times \rightarrow reduced pollution from transport. | | | | |
| | SP7RA1 | | | | T/R | LT | М | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow integrated and more efficient and sustainable transport network. | | | | |
| | | | | | | - 1 | 101 | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow encourage sustainable and/or active transport + less, shorter and increasingly efficient movements \rightarrow lower-emission transport system. | | | | |
| | | | | | | _ | | Recommendations: None. | | | | |
| 11. Housing | | SP6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H All policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts | | | | | |
| | | SP7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: none. | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | | | | | |
| 12. Range of Accessible | | SP6 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow new and improved services and employment areas in accessible locations in Bradford. | | | | |
| services | | SP6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP6 & SP6RA1 → new economic and employment development focussed in existing employment areas of the District → amenity of residential areas and neighbourhoods protected from potential harm. | | | | |
| | | SP7 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP7 & SP7RA1 $ ightarrow$ more efficient and integrated transport system $ ightarrow$ enhanced accessibility of services | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. | | | | |
| 13. Social Cohesion | | SP6 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP6 & SP6RA1 → new and improved services and employment areas in accessible locations in Bradford. | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow significant economy and employment growth \rightarrow reduction in poverty, deprivation & inequality. | | | | |
| | | SP7 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP6 & SP6RA1 → new economic and employment development focussed in existing employment areas of the District → amenity of residential areas and neighbourhoods protected from potential harm. | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow more efficient and integrated transport system \rightarrow enhanced accessibility of services as well as leisure & cultural areas. | | | | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effec | t extent | I P/T | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|----------------------|-------|------|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | CALCHA | | | | SP7 & SP7RA1 → enhanced walking and cycling options → facilitates social interactions + more permeable neighbourhoods + reduced risk of isolation. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None. | | | | |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | SP6 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP6 & SP6RA1 → new and improved services and employment areas in accessible locations in Bradford. | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP6 & SP6RA1 → support enterprise that develops or enhances tourism, culture and leisure facilities. | | | | |
| | | SP7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow significant economy and employment growth \rightarrow reduction in poverty, deprivation & inequality. | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | + | Bradford | T/R | LT | L | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow more efficient and integrated transport system \rightarrow enhanced accessibility of leisure & cultural areas. | | | | |
| 15. Safe & | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None. SP6 & SP6RA1 → no discernible impacts. | | | | |
| secure | | SP6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP7 & SP7RA1 → high-quality design → safe infrastructure for travelling | | | | |
| | | SP7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow enhanced walking and cycling options \rightarrow designated areas improve safety for walkers and cyclists. | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. | | | | |
| 16. Health | | SP6 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow significant improvement to range and quantity of employment opportunities \rightarrow reduced deprivation, poverty, and inequality + boost to mental wellbeing of local people due to improved employment offering. | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | - SP6 \rightarrow support development of the District's primary and secondary health care providers \rightarrow improved health care services to the district. | | | | |
| | | SP7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow enhanced active travel options + rates \rightarrow benefits to mental and physical wellbeing. | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: none. | | | | |
| 17. Education | | SP6 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP6 & SP6RA1 → significant improvement in employment offering for local people → increase in quality and quantity of opportunities for learning new skills applicable to modern jobs. | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP6 → major boost to local opportunities for education & skills learning, such as through apprenticeships + boost to local higher education facilities through supporting their development. | | | | |
| | | SP7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow enhanced walking, cycling and public transport options \rightarrow more sustainable access to education facilities. | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. | | | | |
| 18. Employment | | SP6 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow contribution towards a more successful & competitive economy in Bradford + increased focus on enterprise + enhancing skills learning \rightarrow improved employment offering + increased employable skills amongst local people. S6RA1 is less extensive than SP6. | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | | | | | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement | | | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|------------------------|------------|------|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | SP7 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP6 & SP6RA1 → likely to contribute to significant & regenerative economic growth in Bradford with an increasing focus on research, design, logistics, storage & distribution as well as enterprise & new start-ups → likely increase in local employment rate, average earnings, number of new start-ups, number of visitors to the District & level of local spend. | | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow jobs created in Bradford likely to satisfy local requirements. SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow enhanced walking, cycling and public transport options \rightarrow more sustainable access to employment facilities for all people. SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow more efficient and sustainable access into town and city centres \rightarrow increased footfall and enhanced viability of central areas. Recommendations: None. | | | | | |
| 19. Economy | | SP6 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow contribution towards a more successful & competitive economy in Bradford + increased focus on enterprise + enhancing skills learning \rightarrow improved employment offering + increased employable skills amongst local people. S6RA1 is less extensive than SP6. | | | | | |
| | | SP6RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP6 & SP6RA1 \rightarrow likely to contribute to significant & regenerative economic growth in Bradford with an increasing focus on research, design, logistics, storage & distribution as well as enterprise & new start-ups \rightarrow likely increase in local employment rate, average earnings, | | | | | |
| | | SP7 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | number of new start-ups, number of visitors to the District & level of local spend. SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow enhanced walking, cycling and public transport options \rightarrow more sustainable access to employment facilities for all | | | | | |
| | | SP7RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | people. SP7 & SP7RA1 \rightarrow more efficient and sustainable access into town and city centres \rightarrow increased footfall and enhanced viability of central areas. Recommendations: None. | | | | | |

Summary of, and assumptions in the assessment of, Strategic Policy (SP8) Planning for Homes

Policy SP8: Housing Growth is a new policy. The Council's preferred option is to pursue a housing requirement of 30,672 new homes over the plan period, equalling on average 1,704 new homes per annum, with a residual requirement of at least 27,672 homes over the plan period while maintaining a flexible approach to other growth opportunities that may arise. The policy specifies that housing will primarily be delivered through existing residential commitments, specific housing site allocations (identified on the Policies Map) and further supply within two broad locations identified in Keighley and the Southern Gateway area within Bradford City Centre. It also sets out, under schedule 1 of the policy, the spatial distribution of the residential housing requirement. The policy seeks to support sustainable growth through maximising the use of brownfield land and regeneration sites and maximising delivering on sites already within settlements, with at least 50% of total new housing over the plan period coming from PDL. Approximately 25% of the total housing requirement will be affordable housing and a range of specialist housing will be delivered, in accordance with Policies HO5, HO7 and HO8. Housing that is high quality and has strong environmental credentials will be encouraged.

- SP8 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP8RA1): To meet the Cities and Urban Areas uplift on the Local Housing Need, meaning an additional 10,728 homes would be required and annual average of 2,299 / annum.
- SP8 Reasonable Alternative 2 (SP8RA2): Lower the housing requirement to below the Local Housing Need figure.

- SP8 Reasonable Alternative 3 (SP8RA3): Either removing the "Components of Supply" element from the policy entirely or setting the elements of windfall and clearance at higher or lower levels.
- SP8 Reasonable Alternative 4 (SP8RA4): Changing the Spatial Distribution of Growth element to baseline population proportionate. This would assign targets based on the proportion of the existing population within that settlement area, and overall would result in substantially more Green Belt releases than the preferred option. It could also mean that the minimum housing requirement is not met.
- SP8 Reasonable Alternative 5 (SP8RA5): Changing the Spatial Distribution of Growth element to distribute the district wide housing requirement in exactly the same proportions as in the adopted Core Strategy. This would result in significantly more Green Belt releases than the preferred option and provide less support to regeneration.
- SP8 Reasonable Alternative 6 (SP8RA6): To conform with the settlement hierarchy but with no land released from the Green Belt, which would result in the provision of between 5,000 and 6,000 fewer homes and would fall significantly below the housing need. It could also undermine regeneration in some areas.
- SP8 Reasonable Alternative 7 (SP8RA7): This alternative would seek to have no land released from the Green Belt, but with meet the Cities and Urban Areas uplift. It is highly unlikely that this could be achieved, given the extent to which development is already focused on the urban areas and City Centre. If pursued and if found to be a realistic and deliverable option it would reduce the scale and number of locations for Green Belt change compared to the preferred option, however with this alternative comes greater uncertainty.
- SP8 Reasonable Alternative 8 (SP8RA8): Having greater dispersal by decreasing the concentration on the Regional City and dispersing more development to the higher value areas of the district, in the bottom two tiers of the settlement hierarchy. This would increase the overall scale of Green Belt releases and very significantly increase Green Belt releases in the Local Growth Centres and Local Service Centres.

The delivery of new housing in Bradford would be expected to make a positive contribution towards SA Objectives related to the economy and society. The provision of new homes would help to ensure that local people can live high-quality, healthy and safe lives at home situated within sustainable communities and with access to key services (such as health and education facilities) in the District. New homes would also be expected to provide a boost to the local economy whilst also enhancing the accessibility of employment opportunities in Bradford for new people. Generally speaking, it is likely that these benefits would be of a greater magnitude where a greater number of homes is delivered. SP8 and SP8RA1 would, as a minimum, ensure that the housing needs of Bradford are satisfied whereas SP8RA2, SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 would not satisfy this requirement.

The construction and occupation of new homes can pose a risk to natural environment related SA Objectives and, generally speaking, the greater the number of homes the greater the risk. This is because the construction and occupation of new homes can lead to a less sustainable approach to the use of land (it is likely that higher housing requirements would require greater amounts of greenfield land to be developed upon) whilst impacts landscape character, historic character/setting, biodiversity, and the local ecological network are more likely. SP8RA1 SP8RA4 & SP8RA5 would result in more green belt releases and/or a greater number of homes, therefore their impacts on the environment will be greater.

The provision of greater number of homes would also be likely to make it increasingly difficult to ensure a sustainable approach to the management and consumption of resources, such as construction materials, water, fuel and food. As resource consumption increases so too would the generation of waste and pollutants (including GHG emissions and particulate matter into the air as well as potentially contamination or pollution risks of waterbodies). Higher housing requirements would be likely result in the Council having less freedom or choice over where to situate new homes. For example, the highest housing requirement of SP8RA1 would be likely to necessitate more land being released from the Green Belt to accommodate the new development than SP8 and SP8RA2 would. The potentially negative impacts of new development on the

natural environment would typically be of an increasingly greater magnitude where more homes are proposed and, as such, SP8RA2 could pose a less severe risk to the natural environment than SP8. SP8 would in turn be likely to pose less of a risk to the natural environment than SP8RA1.

It is likely that many of the negative impacts associated with the construction and occupation of new homes will be mitigated to some extent by other policies in the Local Plan. For example, negative impacts on biodiversity or ecological networks are likely to be limited by the proposed policy EN2. The appraisals in this table are of SP8 and its alternatives only. The potentially mitigating impact of other policies are only factored in during the cumulative effects appraisal.

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|--|------------|----------|--|--|
| 1. Energy & GHGs | | SP8 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | М | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant increase in residential energy consumption + increase in residents' use of cars \rightarrow significant increase in GHG emissions. Greatest magnitude with SP8RA1 |
| | | SP8RA1 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow development in Green Belt \rightarrow loss of greenfield land (carbon sink) + |
| | | SP8RA2 | _ | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | residents likely to need to travel longer distances e.g. to access services or employment. |
| | | SP8RA3 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | – SP8→ majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns → facilitates high |
| | | SP8RA4 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | rates of walking, cycling & public transport + good opportunities for energy efficiency & renewable energy generation. |
| | | SP8RA5 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | SP8RA4 & SP8RA5 → largely similar impact to SP8 but of greater magnitude due to more green |
| | | SP8RA6 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | belt releases. |
| | | SP8RA7 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | SP8RA6 \rightarrow majority of development directed towards Regional City \rightarrow lower-emission travel + |
| | | SP8RA8 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | М | more opportunities for renewable & efficient energy. This option accommodates a lower quantity of development which could enable more efficient energy networks and more opportunities for renewable energy. SP8RA7 → majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns + no development on Green Belt → development likely to be in locations with good sustainable transport options + shorter distances to travel. SP8RA8 → directs less development to Regional City than preferred option and directs more to LGCs & LSCs → higher reliance on personal car use + more limited options for efficient energy networks & renewable energy generation. Also potential for additional Green Belt release. Recommendations: Use of renewable and low carbon energy, offset and mitigate emissions, ensure good sustainable and active travel links |
| 2. Waste | | SP8 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant construction + increase in residents \rightarrow increase in construction |
| | | SP8RA1 SP8RA2 | | Bradford district Bradford district | T/R T/R | LT LT | H | & residential waste. Greatest magnitude with SP8RA1, lowest with SP8QRA2. |
| | | SP8RA3 | _ | Bradford district | T/R | | | SP8 & SP8RA7 \rightarrow majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns \rightarrow |
| | | SP8RA4 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | H | housing likely to be served by kerbside collection of wider variety of recyclables than in smaller |
| | | SP8RA5 | | | LT | H | settlements & short travel distances for collection vehicles. Range of recycling facilities likely | |
| | | SP8RA6 | +/- | Bradford district | T/R | LT | Н | greater in City & Towns than it is in smaller settlements. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|------|---|
| | | SP8RA7 | +/- | Bradford district | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA6 \rightarrow majority of development directed towards Regional City \rightarrow lower-emission travel + more opportunities for renewable & efficient energy. This option accommodates a lower quantity of development which could result in less construction & household waste. |
| | | SP8RA8 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | Н | SP8RA8 \rightarrow directs more development towards LGCs and LSCs than other options \rightarrow potential for more limited recycling opportunities for local people. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: Provision of waste and recycling facilities, reusing existing housing stock where possible, following the waste hierarchy to reduce, reuse and recycle materials. |
| 3. Land & buildings | | SP8 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant number of new homes \rightarrow significant quantity in land developed |
| - | | SP8RA1 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | on, including greenfield land. Greatest magnitude with SP8RA1. Mitigated to some extent by |
| | | SP8RA2 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | commitment to reuse of PDL and existing buildings. |
| | | SP8RA3 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | _ |
| | | SP8RA4 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8RA4 \rightarrow directs more development to Green Belt than preferred option and avoids PDL sites. |
| | | SP8RA5 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | SP8RA6 | + | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8RA5 \rightarrow largely similar impact to SP8 but of a slightly lower magnitude due to less development |
| | | SP8RA7 | + | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | directed towards the Regional City. |
| | | SP8RA8 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8RA6 → majority of development directed towards Regional City → good opportunities for PDL + option avoids using any Green Belt. This option accommodates a lower quantity of development which result in a reduced quantity of land-use. SP8RA7 → majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns + no Green Belt release. SP8RA8 → less development in Regional City & Principal Towns and more development in locations where high uptake of greenfield is likely, including land containing BMV soils, to be necessary with significant Green Belt release. Recommendations: Maximising the reuse of PDL and existing housing stock where possible and minimising greenfield and Green Belt release. |
| Climate change | | SP8 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | SP8 & its alternatives $ ightarrow$ significant number of new homes $ ightarrow$ likely that some will be situated on |
| vulnerability | | SP8RA1 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | land at risk of flooding + loss of greenfield land could increase flood risk. Greatest magnitude with |
| | | SP8RA2 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | L | SP8RA1. |
| | | SP8RA3 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | L | All-list estimate that direct more development towards the Device of City and Drive in I Towards and |
| | | SP8RA4 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | L | Whilst options that direct more development towards the Regional City and Principal Towns could be considered to be less likely to alter local flood risk due less development in locations that could |
| | | SP8RA5 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | L | alter flood risk, the impacts on vulnerability to climate change largely depends on the precise |
| | | SP8RA6 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | L | location of development. There are extensive areas of Flood Zone 3 within and around the |
| | | SP8RA7 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | Regional City, as well along the Airedale and Wharfedale corridors and it is uncertain which spatial options would result in more development in these Flood Zones than others |
| | | SP8RA8 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | L | Recommendations: Inclusion of GI, SUDs and flood storage into developments and require development proposals to include measure to mitigate effects of climate change. |
| 5. Water resources | | SP8 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|------|--|
| | | SP8RA1 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | М | SP8 & alternatives → significant increase in residential water consumption. Greatest magnitude |
| | | SP8RA2 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | with SP8RA1. |
| | | SP8RA3 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP8RA4 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8 & alternatives \rightarrow significant construction \rightarrow pollution & contamination risk to water quality. |
| | | SP8RA5 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | Greatest magnitude with SP8RA1. |
| | | SP8RA6 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP8RA7 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | SP8 \rightarrow majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns \rightarrow limit the amount of development on greenfield sites in the countryside, although not entirely. |
| | | SP8RA8 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | SP8RA4 → significant majority of development directed towards Green Belt and avoids PDL. SP8RA5 → slightly more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than SP8, potentially involving more Green Belt release → greater risk of development negatively impacting the quality of natural waterbodies. SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 → majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns + no Green Belt development → slightly more limited risk of pollution or contamination of natural waterbodies than SP8. Could pose a risk to the quality of the waterbodies in the District. SP8RA8 → more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than all other options, likely with significant Green Belt release → high risk of negative impacts on water quality as a result of development on greenfield. Recommendations: Minimise runoff from developments and ensure developments use water resources sustainably. |
| 6. Biodiversity & | | SP8 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | L | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow new residents \rightarrow increase in public access associated disturbances on |
| geodiversity | | SP8RA1 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | wildlife. HRA screening has not been able to rule out an LSE on the South Pennine Moors |
| • | | SP8RA2 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | L | SAC/SPA as a result of cumulative recreation pressure, urban effects, air quality and impacts to |
| | | SP8RA3 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | L | supporting habitats as result of housing growth. |
| | | SP8RA4 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | SP8RA5 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant construction + loss of greenfield \rightarrow direct loss of wildlife + |
| | | SP8RA6 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | М | impacts on ecological connectivity. Greatest magnitude with SP8RA1 & SP8RA8 |
| | | SP8RA7 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | CD0. A projective of device langement diversity of the words. Device of City, 9. Drivering I. Terry and N. Partie d |
| | | SP8RA8 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | М | SP8 → majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns → limited development on greenfield sites although not entirely as SP8 uses some Green Belt → risk of negative impacts on designations, habitats and ecological connectivity. SP8RA4 → significant majority of development directed towards Green Belt and not PDL. SP8RA5 → slightly more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than SP8, potentially involving more Green Belt release → greater risk of development negatively impacting designations, habitats and ecological connectivity. SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 → majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns + no Green Belt development → lowest risk of negative impacts on habitats, designations & connectivity. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|------|--|
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: Maximise inclusion of GI to contribute to the District's ecological network and ensure no large scale impacts on designated sites. |
| 7. Landscape & | | SP8 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant new development \rightarrow loss of open spaces \rightarrow potential negative |
| townscape | | SP8RA1 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | impacts on natural landscape character with homes allocated in Green Belt. Greatest magnitude |
| | | SP8RA2 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | with SP8RA1. However, some mitigation as majority of development directed towards Regional |
| | | SP8RA3 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | City and Principal Towns. |
| | | SP8RA4 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | SP8RA5 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | 111 | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow redevelopment of brownfield sites \rightarrow enhancement to local character |
| | | SP8RA6 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SPRDA4 Significant majority of development directed towards Groop Balt and systed DD |
| | | SP8RA7 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | L | SP8RA4 \rightarrow significant majority of development directed towards Green Belt and avoids PDL. |
| | | SP8RA8 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8RA5 → slightly more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than HO3, potentially involving more Green Belt release → greater risk of negative impacts on character with slightly more limited options for enhancing townscapes SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 → majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns + no Green Belt development → lowest risk of negative impacts landscapes with good opportunities for enhancing townscapes + lowest risk of discording with setting combined with good opportunities for enhancing setting SP8RA8 → more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than all other options, likely with significant Green Belt release → highest risk of negative impacts natural landscape character with limited options for using PDL and enhancing townscapes. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: Ensure housing is in-keeping with the local character and setting |
| Cultural heritage | | SP8 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | |
| | | SP8RA1 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | impacts on setting of heritage assets & historic areas. Greatest magnitude with SP8RA1. However, |
| | | SP8RA2 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | some mitigation as majority of development directed towards Regional City and Principal Towns. |
| | | SP8RA3 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | SP8RA4 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8 \rightarrow majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns \rightarrow large number |
| | | SP8RA5 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | of heritage assets & historic features → good opportunities for development to enhance their setting on PDL although there would be some development in small rural settlements as well as |
| | | SP8RA6 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | setting on PDL attrough there would be some development in small rural settlements as well as |
| | | SP8RA7 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | L | Some Green Deinelease |
| | | SP8RA8 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8 & its alternatives → redevelopment of brownfield sites → enhancement to setting of heritage assets & historic areas. SP8 & its alternatives → new development → opportunities for new archaeological discoveries. SP8RA4 → significant majority of development directed towards Green Belt and avoids PDL. SP8RA5 → slightly more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than SP8, potentially involving more Green Belt release → negative impacts on the setting of heritage assets, particularly as small rural settlements have a large number of Listed Buildings. |

| SA Objective | Baseline | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects |
|----------------|----------|--------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | trend | | Enoor | ooograpmoar oxtont | I/R | | 1 100 | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement SPREAS SERVICE PROVIDENT And Annual Company discreted towards Regional City & Bringing Towards |
| | | | | | | | | SFORAD & SFORAT 7 majority of development directed towards Regional City & Fincipal Towns |
| | | | | | | | | + no Green Belt development → lowest risk of discording with setting combined with good |
| | | | | | | | | opportunities for enhancing setting |
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA8 \rightarrow more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than all other |
| | | | | | | | | options, likely with significant Green Belt release \rightarrow highest risk of negative impacts on setting of |
| | | | | | | | | heritage assets with restricted opportunities for enhancing setting. |
| | | | | | | | | ······································ |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: Ensure housing is in-keeping with the local character and setting |
| 9. Air quality | | SP8 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant increase in residential energy consumption + increase in |
| | | SP8RA1 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | residents' use of cars \rightarrow increase in air pollution \rightarrow majority of housing allocation in regional city |
| | | SP8RA2 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | and principal towns \rightarrow potential to exacerbate existing air quality issues. Greatest magnitude with |
| | | SP8RA3 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | SP8RA1. |
| | | SP8RA4 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8→ majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns → facilitates high |
| | | SP8RA5 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | rates of walking, cycling & public transport \rightarrow reduced pollution. |
| | | SP8RA6 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | SP8RA7 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8RA4 & SP8RA5 \rightarrow largely similar impact to SP8 but of a slightly lower magnitude due to less |
| | | | | | | | | development directed towards the Regional City. |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 → majority of development directed towards Regional City, even more so than |
| | | | | | | | | SP8 with no development on Green Belt \rightarrow more efficient & lower-emission travel combined with |
| | | | | | | | | reduced need to travel \rightarrow reduced pollution. |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA8 \rightarrow directs less development to Regional City than preferred option and directs more to LGCs & LSCs \rightarrow likely higher reliance on personal car use for new residents than other options |
| | | | | | | | | coupled with longer distances to travel to access some key services and amenities. |
| | | | | | | | | coupled with longer distances to traver to access some key services and amenities. |
| | | SP8RA8 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | Options that focus development in the City and Principal Towns would be likely to enable lower- |
| | | | | | | | | pollution lifestyles of new residents, such as due to good access to convenient and frequent public |
| | | | | | | | | transport options. However, air quality in Bradford is already poor in some locations, with four |
| | | | | | | | | AQMA designations, and focussing the majority of development in the City could potentially make |
| | | | | | | | | achieving air quality improvement targets at these AQMAs more difficult without significant efforts |
| | | | | | | | | to avoid and mitigate the impacts of development on air quality. |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | This assessment is to be reviewed once air quality/traffic modelling results are available. |
| | | | | | | | | Provide the state of the state |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: Use of renewable and low carbon energy, ensure good sustainable and |
| 10. Transport | | SP8 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | N/ | active travel links and provision of electric car charging points. SP8 & its alternatives → significant increase in residential energy consumption + increase in |
| | | SP8RA1 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | residents' use of cars \rightarrow increase in congestion Greatest magnitude with SP8RA1. |
| | | SP8RA2 | - | Bradford district | P/I | | M | |
| | | SP8RA3 | - | Bradford district | P/I | | M | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant increase in residents \rightarrow increase in pressure on capacity of |
| | | SP8RA4 | - | Bradford district | P/I | | M | public transport system & walking and cycling routes. Greatest magnitude with SP8RA1. |
| | | SP8RA5 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | |
| | | SP8RA6 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|------|---|
| | trena | SP8RA7 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | N/1 | SP8 \rightarrow majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns \rightarrow facilitates high |
| | | GFORA | - | | F/I | | IVI | rates of walking, cycling & public transport. |
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA4 & SP8RA5 \rightarrow largely similar impact to SP8 but of a slightly lower magnitude due to less development directed towards the Regional City. |
| | | SP8RA8 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 \rightarrow majority of development directed towards Regional City, even more so than SP8 with no development on Green Belt \rightarrow more efficient & lower-emission travel combined with reduced need to travel. |
| | | | - | | P/I | | | SP8RA8 \rightarrow directs less development to Regional City than preferred option and directs more to LGCs & LSCs \rightarrow likely higher reliance on personal car use for new residents than other options coupled with longer distances to travel to access some key services and amenities. |
| | | | | | | | | This assessment is to be reviewed once air quality/traffic modelling results are available. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: Use of renewable and low carbon energy, ensure good sustainable and active travel links and provision of electric car charging points. |
| 11. Housing | | SP8 | ++ | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Н | SP8, SP8RA1, SP8RA3. SP8RA4, AP8RA5, & SP8RA8 → accommodate a quantity of housing, as |
| | | SP8RA1 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | well as a mix of housing types and affordability, that satisfies housing requirements of the District |
| | | SP8RA2 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Н | on the whole as well as at different settlements and also enhances the viability of different areas of |
| | | SP8RA3 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Н | the District and leads to some regeneration. |
| | | SP8RA4 | ++ | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Н | |
| | | SP8RA5 | ++ | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Н | SP8RA1 \rightarrow exceed the minimum housing need in Bradford + provide buffer. |
| | | SP8RA6 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | SP8RA7 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | SP8RA8 | ++ | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | Bradford. SP8RA8 → would be likely to satisfy the overall District housing requirement + significant regeneration in smaller and more rural settlements although there is a risk of limited regeneration in the Regional City and some Principal Towns. Recommendations: None |
| 12. Range of | | SP8 | + | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant number of new homes \rightarrow new residents able to access |
| accessible services | | SP8RA1 | + | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | |
| | | SP8RA2 | + | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | new services & assets. |
| | | SP8RA3 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP8RA4 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant number of new homes \rightarrow growth in residents \rightarrow additional |
| | | SP8RA5 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | pressure potentially placed on the capacity of existing services. |
| | | SP8RA6 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8 \rightarrow majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns \rightarrow excellent |
| | | SP8RA7 | + | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | access to key services, amenities, facilities for new residents. Development could also facilitate the provision of expanded or new services and facilities. |
| | | SP8RA8 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | М | SP8 \rightarrow portion of development directed towards LGCs and LSCs \rightarrow could enable the provision of new services and amenities in these smaller settlements too. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects [•] Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|------|---|
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA4 & SP8RA5 \rightarrow slightly more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than SP8 \rightarrow new residents may have slightly more limited access to key services, amenities, facilities but development could facilitate the provision of new or expanded services in these locations to a greater extent than SP8. |
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 \rightarrow majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns + no Green Belt development \rightarrow residents likely to have excellent access to key services, amenities, facilities. However, more limited quantity of new development \rightarrow uncertain the extent to which they would facilitate the provision of new services to the same extent as other options. |
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA8 → more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than all other options, likely with significant Green Belt release → highest risk of new residents having to travel relatively far to access some services, amenities, facilities, but option could provide greatest benefit to LSCs & LGCs by facilitating the provision of new services etc. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None |
| 13. Social Cohesion | | SP8 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | SP8 & its alternatives $ ightarrow$ significant number of new homes $ ightarrow$ new residents able to access |
| | | SP8RA1 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | services and cultural assets in Bradford whilst increase in demand could validate the provision of |
| | | SP8RA2 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | new services & assets. |
| | | SP8RA3 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | SP8RA4 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant number of new homes \rightarrow growth in residents \rightarrow additional |
| | | SP8RA5 | _ | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | pressure potentially placed on the capacity of existing services. |
| | | SP8RA6 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant number of new homes including provision of affordable housing |
| | | SP8RA7 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | + employment land boosting jobs + economy \rightarrow reduced deprivation + local people able to live |
| | | SP8RA8 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | within existing communities SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant number of new homes \rightarrow risk of altering the balance or cohesion of local communities. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | SP8 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant number of new homes \rightarrow new residents able to access cultural |
| | | SP8RA1 | - | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | assets in Bradford whilst increase in demand could validate the provision of new culture and |
| | | SP8RA2 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | Μ | leisure facilities. |
| | | SP8RA3 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | SP8RA4 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow significant number of new homes \rightarrow growth in residents \rightarrow additional |
| | | SP8RA5 | | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | pressure potentially placed on the capacity of existing culture and leisure facilities |
| | | SP8RA6 | _ | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | CD2 A majority of development directed towards Deviced City & Driveland Toward A conclusion |
| | | SP8RA7 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8 \rightarrow majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns \rightarrow excellent access to cultural spaces for new residents. Development could also facilitate the provision of |
| | | | | | | | | expanded or new services and facilities. |
| | | SP8RA8 | +/- | Bradford district | P/I | LT | M | SP8 \rightarrow portion of development directed towards LGCs and LSCs \rightarrow could enable the provision of new services and amenities in these smaller settlements too. |

| SA Objective | Baseline | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects |
|---------------------|----------|---|---|--|---|--|------|---|
| SA Objective | trend | Policy of KA | Effect | Geographical extent | I/R | Time | Prob | Recommendations for avoidance, miligation or enhancement SP8RA4 & SP8RA5 → slightly more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than SP8 → new residents may have slightly more limited access to cultural spaces but development could facilitate the provision of new or expanded services in these locations to a greater extent than SP8. SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 → majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns + no Green Belt development → residents likely to have excellent access to cultural spaces. However, more limited quantity of new development → uncertain the extent to which they would facilitate the provision of new services to the same extent as other options. SP8RA8 → more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than all other options, likely with significant Green Belt release → highest risk of new residents having to travel relatively far to access some cultural spaces, but option could provide greatest benefit to LSCs & LGCs by facilitating the provision of new services etc. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None |
| 15. Safe and secure | | SP8 SP8RA1 SP8RA2 SP8RA3 SP8RA4 SP8RA5 SP8RA6 SP8RA7 SP8RA8 | +/- +/- +/- +/- +/- +/- +/- | Bradford district Bradford district Bradford district Bradford district Bradford district Bradford district Bradford district Bradford district | P/I T/R T/R T/R T/R T/R T/R T/R T/R T/R T/R | LT LT LT LT LT LT LT LT | L | SP8 & its alternatives → significant number of new homes + increase in number of residents → increase in potential victims of crime + developments that attract crime. SP8 & its alternatives → significant number of new homes → enhance the safety, security of people's lives due to reduced homelessness, deprivation, inequality & poverty → potential regenerative effects of development on PDL and urban sites. SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 → would be unlikely to accommodate enough development to satisfy requirements → more limited reduction in homelessness, deprivation, inequality & poverty. Recommendations: Ensure housing design is high quality and promotes safety and security |
| 16 Health | | SP8 SP8RA1 SP8RA2 SP8RA3 SP8RA4 SP8RA5 SP8RA6 SP8RA7 SP8RA8 | + + +/- +/- +/- | Bradford district Bradford district Bradford district Bradford district Bradford district Bradford district Bradford district Bradford district | T/R | LT LT LT LT LT LT LT | | SP8 & its alternatives → significant number of new homes → enable healthy lifestyles at home + provide good access to health facilities such as hospitals & GP surgeries. SP8 & its alternatives → increased pressure on capacity of existing health services → uncertain if growth of residential development would place the existing services under excessive pressure or if it would make the delivery of improved/new services more viable. SP8RA4 & SP8RA5 → slightly more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than SP8 → new residents may have slightly more limited access to health services but development could facilitate the provision of new or expanded services in these locations to a greater extent than SP8. Potential for new residents to also have slightly better access to open spaces/countryside/habitats than SP8. SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 → majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns + no Green Belt development → residents likely to have excellent access to health services although potentially limited access to countryside/open space/habitats. SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 → more limited quantity of new development → uncertain whether they would facilitate the provision of new services in these locations to a greater extent access to countryside/open space/habitats. SP88RA6 & SP8RA7 → more limited quantity of new development → uncertain whether they would facilitate the provision of new services to the same extent as other options. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|--|------------|------------|--------|---|
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA8 → more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than all other options, likely with significant Green Belt release → highest risk of new residents having to travel relatively far to access some health services, but option could facilitate the provision of new services at LSCs & LGCs. New residents likely to have excellent access to countryside/open space/habitats. Recommendations: Where large greenfield release is planned, development should include |
| 17 Education | | 0.00 | | Due offendedie triet | T/D | 1.7 | | provision of health services. |
| 17. Education | | SP8 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | IVI | SP8 & its alternatives → majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns → excellent access to education opportunities. Development could also facilitate the provision of |
| | | SP8RA1 | + | Bradford district Bradford district | T/R T/R | LT LT | | expanded or new education opportunities in LGCs and LSCs. SP8RA2 to a lesser extent. |
| | | SP8RA2 SP8RA3 | + + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP8RA3 SP8RA4 | +/- | Bradford district | T/R | | | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow increase in employment land \rightarrow improvement in employment offering for |
| | | SP8RA5 | +/- | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | local people \rightarrow increase in quality and quantity of opportunities for learning new skills applicable to |
| | | SP8RA6 | +/- | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | modern jobs. |
| | | SP8RA7 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | |
| 10 Englangest | | SP8RA8 | +/- | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | facilitate the provision of new education opportunities to the same extent as other options. SP8RA8 → more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than all other options, likely with significant Green Belt release → highest risk of new residents having to travel relatively far to access some education opportunities, but option could facilitate the provision of new services at LSCs & LGCs. Recommendations: Large greenfield sites should include schools as a requirement for development. |
| 18. Employment | | SP8 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow new homes, majority within the Regional City and Principal Towns \rightarrow new |
| | | SP8RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | H | residents with good access to Bradford's employment market. |
| | | SP8RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | CD0.8 its alternatives. Note to ensure that employment land needs in Dratford car be rest. |
| | | SP8RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | H | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow help to ensure that employment land needs in Bradford can be met \rightarrow |
| | | SP8RA4 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | increase in economic growth + more employment opportunities |
| | | SP8RA5 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | Greatest magnitude with SP8RA1. |
| | | SP8RA6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP8RA7 SP8RA8 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | H H | SP8RA4 & SP8RA5 \rightarrow slightly more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than SP8 \rightarrow new residents may have slightly more limited access to employment opportunities, therefore of lower magnitude than SP8. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|--|------------|-----------|------|---|
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 → majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns + no Green Belt development → residents likely to have excellent access to employment opportunities. However, unlikely that these options could accommodate enough new development to satisfy employment requirements so no impact on employment/economy. SP8RA8 → more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than all other options, likely with significant Green Belt release → residents likely to have limited access to employment opportunities with restricted sustainable transport options. Therefore, no discernible impact on employment. |
| | | | | | | | | SP8, SP8RA4 & SP8RA5 \rightarrow likely to facilitate economic regeneration of areas throughout the City as well as different Principal Towns whilst helping to enhance the economic viability of LGCs and LSCs. |
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 \rightarrow could not accommodate a level of employment and economic development that regenerates local economies or enhances the viability of smaller settlements, therefore no discernible impact on the economy. |
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA8 → would be likely to help lead to significant economic development in smaller and more rural settlements and thereby majorly enhance their viability going forwards. However, businesses in these locations may find it more difficult to compete and the more limited development in the City and Principal Towns could limit the regenerative impact of the CSPR on Bradford's economy and entrepreneurship. Therefore, likely to have little impact on the economy. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: Larger greenfield sites should also consider including mixed-use/employment development |
| 19. Economy | | SP8 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow new homes, majority within the Regional City and Principal Towns \rightarrow new |
| | | SP8RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | residents with good access to Bradford's employment market. |
| | | SP8RA2 | 0 | n/a Dradfard district | n/a | n/a LT | H | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow construction of new homes \rightarrow boost to housing market in Bradford \rightarrow |
| | | SP8RA3 SP8RA4 | ++ | Bradford district Bradford district | T/R T/R | | L | boost to local economy. |
| | | SP8RA5 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | |
| | | SP8RA6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | SP8 & its alternatives \rightarrow increase in local population of working-age \rightarrow boost to local businesses. |
| | | SP8RA7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | | | | | | | SP8 & its alternatives → help to ensure that employment land needs in Bradford can be met → increase in economic growth + more employment opportunities |
| | | | | | | | | Greatest magnitude with SP8RA1. |
| | | SP8RA8 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP8RA4 & SP8RA5 \rightarrow slightly more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than SP8 \rightarrow new residents may have slightly more limited access to employment opportunities, therefore of lower magnitude than SP8. |
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 → majority of development directed towards Regional City & Principal Towns + no Green Belt development → residents likely to have excellent access to employment |

| SA Objective | Baseline | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Proh | Summary of effects |
|--------------|----------|--------------|--------|---------------------|-----|------|------|---|
| | trend | | Lilout | | I/R | TIME | | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or ennancement |
| | | | | | | | | opportunities. However, unlikely that these options could accommodate enough new development to satisfy employment requirements so no impact on employment/economy. |
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA8 \rightarrow more development directed towards smaller and more rural settlements than all other options, likely with significant Green Belt release \rightarrow residents likely to have limited access to employment opportunities with restricted sustainable transport options. Therefore, no discernible impact on employment. |
| | | | | | | | | SP8, SP8RA4 & SP8RA5 \rightarrow likely to facilitate economic regeneration of areas throughout the City as well as different Principal Towns whilst helping to enhance the economic viability of LGCs and LSCs. |
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA6 & SP8RA7 \rightarrow could not accommodate a level of employment and economic development that regenerates local economies or enhances the viability of smaller settlements, therefore no discernible impact on the economy. |
| | | | | | | | | SP8RA8 \rightarrow would be likely to help lead to significant economic development in smaller and more rural settlements and thereby majorly enhance their viability going forwards. However, businesses in these locations may find it more difficult to compete and the more limited development in the City and Principal Towns could limit the regenerative impact of the Local Plan on Bradford's economy and entrepreneurship. Therefore, likely to have little impact on the economy. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: Larger greenfield sites should also consider including mixed-use/employment development |

Summary of, and assumptions in the assessment of, Strategic Policies (SP9 – SP16) Places and Communities

Policy SP9: Climate Change, Environmental Sustainability and Resource Uses is under review. The preferred policy outlines the aim for the District to achieve net zero carbon emissions by 2038. The reviewed policy would seek to ensure that planning decisions, strategies, investment, and programmes plan for the long-term resilience of Bradford to the impacts of climate change. This would include, where appropriate, reducing flood risk; enhancing vulnerable habitats, particularly blanket bog and woodland; incorporating Green Infrastructure into developments; securing improvements to public transport and active travel options; positioning development in a way that minimises the need to travel, and/or the need to travel by motor vehicles; and supporting the roll out of electric vehicle charging points. The policy would also factor impacts on natural resources into decision making and require new development to reduce its environmental impact by utilising natural light and solar energy; being energy efficient; taking opportunities to produce and/or access renewable energy; minimise water consumption; and make an effective use of land and buildings. Overall, SP9 would be expected to make a major contribution towards ensuring that Bradford continues with the transition towards a low-carbon economy and society whilst enhancing the resilience of the local area to the potential impacts of climate change. Indirect benefits on other topics would also be likely, such as benefits to the health of local people as a result of enhanced walking and cycling opportunities.

- SP9 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP9RA1): Do not include a specific policy on climate change, instead making reference to it within other policies of the plan. Though the option would provide an integrated approach, it would be less strategic in scope.
- SP9 Reasonable Alternative 2 (SP9RA2): Do not include a specific target within the policy. This would not provide a specific, measurable focus to achieving reductions in greenhouse gas emissions as part of new developments, resulting in less ambition in making reductions in emissions.
- SP9 Reasonable Alternative 3 (SP9RA3): Adopting a more succinct policy with technical detail referenced to a new Supplementary Planning Document (SPD). There could be a risk that the policy would provide insufficient detail and the SPD may lack a useful planning policy framework. The SPD would enable the council to develop a detailed and robust approach to climate change which would be highly likely to ensure that Bradford continues with the transition towards a low-carbon economy.

Policy SP10: Green Infrastructure is under review. The preferred option would be to ensure that plans, policies, strategies, decisions, and investment supports and encourages the protection, maintenance, enhancement and extension of multi-functional spaces, routes and key areas of GI for recreational leisure, sustainable transport and biodiversity. The South Pennine Moors and Rivers Aire and Wharfe in particular are identified as Strategic GI assets. Development would be encouraged to link together areas and to create and maintain GI corridors where gaps exist, designing and integrating new/enhanced green infrastructure; achieving biodiversity net gains; and to seek opportunities to connect to existing walking and cycling networks and greenways. Bradford's GI network provides local people with a range of essential ecosystem services such as flood risk alleviation, access to biodiversity, improving air quality and sequestering carbon (alongside other forms of nutrient cycling), whilst also providing significant benefits to the local economy, such as by increasing footfall in town centres, society, such as by providing multi-functional spaces suitable for socialisation, and individual health, such as by enhanced active travel opportunities or better access to semi-natural habitats. The preferred option for SP10 would therefore be expected to result in highly beneficial impacts for all SA Objectives with significant positive impacts on objectives related to the natural environment being highly likely.

- SP10 Reasonable Alternative 1 (S10RA1): Do not review policy this alternative would remain unchanged from the adopted Core Strategy policy. The key
 difference is that the version of the policy in the adopted Core Strategy does not require new development to identify opportunities for linking gaps in the GI
 network. It also offers less protection to green space and corridors that make a significant contribution to the GI network. Whilst SP10RA1 would have largely
 similar impacts as SP10 on most SA Objectives, these would likely be of a lower magnitude with less probability, particularly for the biodiversity themed objective.
- SP10 Reasonable Alternative 2 (SP10RA2): The policy includes a detailed map of GI in Bradford which identifies targeted areas for improvement this may form part of a revised policy approach once the Bradford Green Infrastructure Strategy has been prepared. The policy could make specific reference to supporting the

actions set out in the Strategy. This alternative would have largely similar impacts as SP10 on most SA Objectives, these could be of a higher probability due to the greater certainty that mapping could offer.

- SP10 Reasonable Alternative 3 (SP10RA3): This alternative would lead to a more succinct format of the policy but would be supported by an SPD on GI. This could facilitate a more detailed and effective strategy for management of Bradford's GI network but could lack a more useful planning policy framework. SPDs can only provide more detailed guidance to established policies and so this approach may be of limited value in planning terms.

Policy SP11: South Pennine Moors is under review, subject to the outcomes of the HRA process. The intention of the policy is to be in line with the findings and outcomes of the HRA process. The policy establishes three zones around the SPA & SAC, Zone A, B and C, which are 400m, 2.5km and 7km from the SPA & SAC's boundary respectively. Within Zone A, no development involving a net increase in dwellings would be permitted. Within Zones B and C, development will be restricted and closely managed and monitored to avoid increased recreational pressures on the sensitive biodiversity designations. SP11 would be expected to make a major contribution towards protecting and enhancing the SAC & SPA.

- SP11 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP11RA1): The alternative includes more minor changes to the policy for clarification. Effects will be the same as the preferred option, with maybe a little greater certainty.

Policy SP12: Strategic Planning for Minerals is a new policy. The policy will seek to balance the need for new mineral development and the protection of human and natural resources, while maintaining the supply of minerals to meet requirements. It will also seek to protect existing mineral extraction sites and identify new sites; safeguard key mineral resources to ensure they are not sterilised unnecessarily using Mineral Safeguarding Areas (MSAs); provide a policy for determining proposals for extraction of energy minerals; and support restoration and aftercare of mineral sites. Though the policy will help to continue to provide the minerals necessary to build homes, factories and offices, and transport infrastructure, therefore supporting economic growth and employment, mineral extraction can result in significant negative impacts on several themes of sustainability. The process can be a source of GHG emissions as well as pollution, which could be caused by the production of particulate matter affecting air quality and through the risk of contamination of nearby waterbodies. It could also result in soils of ecological value being lost and negative impacts on landscape character. Though the policy seeks to offer support for "sustainable minerals development, which meets key environmental criteria", being able to avoid or entirely mitigate a significant effect seems unlikely.

- SP12 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP12RA1): the alternative would be to not include a specific policy, but to rely on national planning policy set out in the NPPF and Planning Practice Guidance. Impacts of the alternative are likely to be similar though less certain.

Policy SP13: Waste Management Infrastructure is a new policy. The policy would seek to establish a strategic waste management network in the District that would promote sustainable management of waste and recycling. It sets out an intention for waste to be managed in accordance with the waste management hierarchy of 1. Prevention, 2. Preparing for re-use, 3. Recycling, 4. Other recovery and 5. Disposal. The policy would require the Council to work collaboratively with partners and neighbouring authorities to help ensure a network of waste management facilities is established with enough capacity for all Commercial and Industrial (C&I) and Local Authority Collected Waste (LACW) arising in the District and ensure a co-operative cross-boundary approach. The policy sets out an intention for forecast waste arising to be managed in the most sustainable and environmental effective way possible and for Bradford to become net self-sufficient in waste management. The policy refers to the process of identifying waste management facilities that would be required to deal with all the waste arisings from LACW and C&I waste. The policy establishes the principles for identifying appropriate locations for waste management facilities, including the order of priority, and would ensure that all potential sites were subject to a detailed assessment that would consider their viability and their potential impacts on the surrounding environment.

The policy would be highly likely to increase the amount of waste that is re-used, recycled and recovered and therefore reduce the amount of waste sent to landfill. It could also lead to a reduction in the consumption of materials. Becoming net self-sufficient in waste management could reduce the need for waste to be transported long distances, such as to neighbouring authorities. Achieving this would require the delivery of new waste management facilities that could potentially result in negative impacts

on the natural environment, such as on water quality, air quality, biodiversity, ecological connectivity or distinctive landscapes (particularly if some or most of new facilities would be on greenfield land), although the likelihood and magnitude of these impacts is largely uncertain as it depends on the precise location of any new waste management facilities.

- SP13 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP13RA1): Retain previous policies (WM1, WM2 and W2) in the adopted Core Strategy and Waste Management DPD. Impacts are likely to be similar, but the approach may be less integrated and would lack the strategic planning framework created by combining these previous policies.

Policy SP14: Quality Design is under review. The policy sets out the requirement to create high quality places and green, safe, inclusive and distinctive neighbourhoods. The policy would involve proposals understanding the sense of place and context of development; creating places with local identity which reflect the District's unique character and features; ensuring places are attractive for people to promote wellbeing and community life; providing well-connected green routes and spaces; ensuring buildings and places are designed in regard to accessibility and climate resilience and that there is a collaborative approach between planning and design. The policy would be highly likely to help ensure that development in Bradford has positive impacts on SA Objectives related to landscape, townscape, cultural heritage, transport and those related to communities and access.

- SP14 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP14RA1): No update to policy. This would still provide a level of policy support for the 'SPD Homes and Neighbourhoods: a guide to designing in Bradford', but it would not be as comprehensive, therefore the impacts are likely to be of lower magnitude and probability.
- SP14 Reasonable Alternative 2 (SP14RA2): A more extensive re-write of the policy to more closely follow the structure in 'SPD Homes and Neighbourhoods: a guide to designing in Bradford'. This would allow for easy cross-referencing but could overlap with other related policies and require a rethink of the plan structure.

Policy SP15: Healthy Places is under review. The preferred option is for a policy that seeks to ensure new development maximises health and wellbeing gains, with negative impacts avoided or mitigated, including by enabling and prioritising active travel; contributing towards safe, inclusive and welcoming neighbourhoods; reducing negative impacts on the causes of climate change; minimising exposure to pollutants; supporting the delivery of high quality housing, jobs and key services; protecting and improvement multi-functional green spaces, therapeutic environments, and areas allowing for intergenerational play; allowing people to create a positive food environment; developer contributions towards new or enhance health care facilities; an ensuring additional health care facilities are accessible via public transport. Major development proposals would also need to ensure the delivery of healthy places and be supported by an HIA. The preferred option for this new policy would be likely to lead to positive impacts on a range of SA Objectives beyond just the health objective due to the sought enhancements for safety, sustainable movement, green spaces, new homes, new jobs and new community services.

- SP15 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP15RA1): The first alternative would be to have no policy. This alternative would result in no impacts on the SA Objectives.
- SP15 Reasonable Alternative 2 (SP15RA2): The second alternative for SP15 would be to have a policy where the HIA is further developed and integrated within it, creating a more development management oriented policy.
- SP15 Reasonable Alternative 3 (SP15RA3): The third alternative would be to reduce the scale of the policy and refer to an SPD focussed on this topic for supporting detail. This could enable a more detailed and effective approach towards delivering healthy places in Bradford but runs the risk of lacking a useful planning policy framework.

Policy SP16: Working Together is under review. The policy sets out a commitment to effective collaboration between the Council and adjoining planning authorities, Town and Parish Councils, partners, stakeholders and communities within Bradford and the surrounding region. The intention is to ensure that planning decisions, plans, strategies, investment and programmes are effective in supporting investment and continued regeneration; addressing housing and employment needs and balancing them; realising the full potential of the West Yorkshire Devolution Deal and Leeds City Region; managing and enhancing the environment and landscape, including in regard to addressing climate change; attracting new investment into and enhancing sustainable transport modes including infrastructure; and creating conditions and opportunities for

balanced growth. SP16 also sets out a commitment to effective discharge of duty to cooperate in order to address cross-boundary issues, align development, and coordinate investment. The policy would be expected to help ensure that the economic, social and environmental opportunities that new development brings are effectively delivered at neighbourhood, district and regional scales.

- SP16 Reasonable Alternative 1 (SP16RA1): The reasonable alternative considered for this policy included a more limited range of changes, which did not align fully to the changing context within which the policy is drafted. Impacts are likely to be similar to SP16 but of lower magnitude and probability.

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|-------------------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| 1. Energy & GHGs | | SP9 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP9, SP9RA2 & SP9RA3 \rightarrow support the roll out of electric charging points + aim to reduce emissions through securing improvements to active & public transport options \rightarrow reduction in associated GHGs. |
| | | SP9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP9, SC2RA2 & SP9RA3 \rightarrow seek to maximise energy efficiency in the district + locate development where it will |
| | | SP9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | support opportunities for renewable and low carbon energy \rightarrow reduce District's energy demands and create sustainable energy sources \rightarrow reduction in associated GHGs. |
| | | SP9RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | SP10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP9 \rightarrow support carbon reduction through a defined and ambitious carbon reduction target (e.g. going carbon neutral) \rightarrow significant reduction in energy consumption and GHG emissions. |
| | | SP10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP9RA3 \rightarrow SPD on climate change \rightarrow potentially more detailed & effective strategy for reducing carbon |
| | | SP10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | footprint. |
| | | SP10RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP10, SP11 & their alternatives $ ightarrow$ protection and enhancement of GI, green spaces and greenfield which are |
| | | SP11 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 1 157 | important sequesters of carbon \rightarrow carbon sinks in Bradford protected and enhanced. SP10RA2 would likely provide greater protection than SP10 or SP10RA1. |
| | | SP11RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | SP12 \rightarrow new sites may be developed on green sites and on greenfield land which are important sequesters of |
| | | SP12 | | Bradford District/National | P/I | LT | н | carbon \rightarrow precise location of the new sites is uncertain \rightarrow impacts on this SA Objective in relation to green spaces/greenfield land are uncertain as impacts depend on where new sites are located. |
| | | SP12RA1 | | Bradford District/National | P/I | LT | н | SP12 → permits and encourages continuation of energy intensive extraction industry + increase in HGV traffic |
| | | SP13 | - | National | P/I | LT | М | for future transportation of minerals \rightarrow increase in GHG emissions. |
| | | SP13RA1 | - | National | P/I | LT | М | SP12 \rightarrow future extraction of coal and hydrocarbons \rightarrow consumption of fossil fuels \rightarrow major source of GHGs. |
| | | SP14 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow seek to establish new waste management facilities \rightarrow construction and operation of additional facilities \rightarrow increase GHG emissions. |
| | | SP14RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP14RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow reduced transportation distances for waste \rightarrow reduction in associated GHG emissions. |
| | | SP15 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP14 & its alternatives → protection & enhancement of GI in development → carbon sink. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP15RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------|--|------------|------------|------|--|
| | | SP15RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP14 & its alternatives → improved walking, cycling and public transport |
| | | | | | | L. | 101 | accessibility at new developments \rightarrow positive contribution towards District's transition to a low-carbon transport |
| | | SP16 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | Μ | sector. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | | | | | | | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | SP16 & SP16RA1 \rightarrow enhancements sustainable transport modes \rightarrow reduction in associated GHGs |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: SP12 could focus on protecting existing extractions sites to reduce need to identify new sites, which may be in greenfield areas. |
| 2. Waste | | SP9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP9, SP9RA2 & SP9RA3 \rightarrow encourage conversion of existing buildings and reuse of PDL \rightarrow waste reduction. |
| | | SP9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP9RA1 \rightarrow similar impact to SP9 but integrated with other policies, therefore it would likely have an impact of |
| | | SP9RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | less magnitude and probability. |
| | | SP10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | SP10 & its alternatives, SP11, SP11RA1, SP16 & SP16RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | SP12 \rightarrow new/continued mineral extraction \rightarrow large quantities of waste materials produced. |
| | | SP10RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP11 SP11RA1 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | H | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow increase reusing, recycling & composting \rightarrow significantly reduce waste sent to landfill. |
| | | SP12 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | - |
| | | SP12RA1 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow results in a strategic and integrated waste management plan. |
| | | SP13 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | |
| | | SP13RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP14 & alternatives \rightarrow encourage efficient use of land and materials \rightarrow reduction in waste generated from construction. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP14 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | in waste generated from construction. SF 14KAT would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP14RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | | SP14RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP15 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | Recommendations: SP9 could seek to incorporate waste and achieving high rates of recycling as a priority for |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | new development. SP15 could encourage proposals to ensure that new residents or site-users are able to |
| | | SP15RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | conveniently recycle & compost in a manner that avoids adverse health impacts. |
| | | SP15RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP16 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | _ | SP16RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 3. Land & buildings | | SP9 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP9, SP9RA1, SP9RA2 & SP9RA3 \rightarrow ensure the most effective use of land, through the conversion of existing |
| | | SP9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | buildings and reuse of previously developed land. SP9RA1 impacts would be integrates with other policies, therefore the benefit would be of less magnitude and probability. |
| | | SP9RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Interetore the benefit would be of less magnitude and probability. |
| | | SP9RA3 | _ | Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT | M | SP10 & its alternatives, SP11, SP11RA1 \rightarrow protected and enhanced GI elements and green spaces \rightarrow |
| | | SP10 SP10RA1 | + | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT LT | | greenfield land potentially protected and enhanced = efficient management of land. |
| | | SP10RA1 SP10RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | SP10RA2 SP10RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP12 \rightarrow new mineral extraction \rightarrow permanent loss of greenfield ecologically valuable land and soils. |
| | | SP10 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP11RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow development of new waste management facilities \rightarrow loss of greenfield & Green Belt land. |
| | | SP12 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | Mitigated to some extent by commitment of assessment of environmental impacts. |
| | | 0112 | | | 1/1 | | 111 | I |

| SPIRAL Enadlow District P/I LT M SPIG, SPIGRAZ, | SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|------|---|
| SP13 • Bradford District P11 LT L SP15/R42, SP15/R4 | | | | | Bradford District | | LT | М | |
| SP:16A1 Braitord District P1 LT Denotes of lower magnitude and certainty. Braitord District T/R LT Braitord District T/R LT Denotes of lower magnitude and certainty. Braitord District T/R LT SP:64 Braitord District T/R LT SP:64 SP:164 Braitord District T/R LT SP:164 SP:1674 SP:164 SP:1674 SP:1744 SP:1744< | | | SP13 | - | | | | | SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP14 & alternatives \rightarrow protected and enhanced GI in development \rightarrow potential for |
| 9:H4A1 + Bradford District T/R LT L 9:H4A3 + Bradford District T/R LT M 9:H5A3 + Bradford District T/R LT M 9:H5A3 + Bradford District T/R LT M 9:H5A3 + Bradford District T/R LT H 9:H5A3 + Bradford District T/R LT H 9:H5A3 + Bradford District T/R LT H 9:H5A1 O Ya n/a H H 9:H5A1 O Ya n/a H H 9:H5A1 Stafford District T/R LT M Stafford District H 9:H5A1 Stafford District T/R LT M Stafford District H H 9:H5A1 Stafford District T/R LT M Monthal Association Association and storage of nainwater > radiuse storage stor | | | SP13RA1 | - | | | LT | L | open space being preserved in developments → sustainable management of land. SP14RA1 would provide |
| SPH4A2 Bradford Disrict T/R LT M SPH5A3 D Na A A SPH5A4 D Na A A SPH5A4 Bradford Disrict T/R LT M SPH5A4 Bradford Disrict T/R LT M SPH5A1 D Na A A SPH5A1 Bradford Disrict T/R LT M SPH5A1 Bradford Disrict T/R LT M SPH5A1 SPH5A1 SPH5A1 SPH5A1 Bradford Disrict T/R LT M SPH5A1 SPH5A1 SPH5A1 SPH5A1 SPH5A1 Bradford Disrict T/R LT M SPH5A1 | | | SP14 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| P15 + practical Oblicity T/R LT M SP15A1 O n/a n/a M SP15A1 O n/a n/a M SP15A1 O n/a n/a M SP16A1 SP16A1 T/R LT H SP16A1 O n/a n/a M SP16A1 O n/a n/a N/a H SP16A1 O n/a n/a n/a H ACimate Stange SP16A1 FSP6A1 SP6AA1 SP6AA2 SP6AA1 SP6AA2 SP6AA1 SP6AA2 SP6AA1 SP6AA2 SP6AA1 SP6AA2 SP6AA1 SP6AA1 SP6AA1 SP6AA1 SP6AA1 SP6AA2 SP6AA1 SP6AA2 SP6AA1 SP6AA2 SP6AA1 SP6AA2 SP6AA1 SP6AA1 SP6AA1 SP6A1 SP6A1 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>SP14RA1</td> <td>+</td> <td>Bradford District</td> <td></td> <td>LT</td> <td>L</td> <td></td> | | | SP14RA1 | + | Bradford District | | LT | L | |
| SP15RA1 O Na Na Na Na Na P3 P3 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>SP14RA2</td><td></td><td></td><td>T/R</td><td>LT</td><td>Μ</td><td>SP16 & SP16RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts</td></t<> | | | SP14RA2 | | | T/R | LT | Μ | SP16 & SP16RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| A climate thange A climate + Bradiord District T/R LT + H SPI630 A a main rate in the second state in the sec | | | SP15 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| SP15RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT MR Recommendations: None 4. Climate drange O n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a H 99 •20 Bradford District T/R LT M. Sp15 eV Bradford District T/R LT M. Sp15 eV Bradford District T/R LT M. Sp16 eV Bradford District T/R LT M. Sp16 eV Bradford District T/R LT M. Nonces of floading sUDs in increase interception and storage of rainwater > reduce Sp16 eV Present Nonces of floading sUDs increase interception and storage of rainwater > reduce Sp16 eV Nonces interception and storage of rainwater > reduce Sp16 eV Nonces interception and storage of rainwater > reduce Sp16 eV Nonces interception and storage of rainwater > reduce Sp16 eV Nonces interception and storage of rainwater > reduce Sp16 eV Nonces interception and storage of rainwater > reduce Sp16 eV Nonces interception and storage of rainwater > reduce Sp16 eV Nonces interception and storage of reduce interception and storage of reduce interception and storage of rainwater > reduce Sp16 eV Nonces interception and sto | | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| SPHSUL + Bradford District I/R LI Ma Ma <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>SP15RA2</td><td>+</td><td>Bradford District</td><td>T/R</td><td>LT</td><td>Н</td><td></td></t<> | | | SP15RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | |
| SPIEAL O Iva | | | SP15RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | Recommendations: None |
| 4 Climate change witherability SP9 SP9RA1 +** Bradford District T/R LT M. SP9RA1 +** Bradford District T/R LT M. Spresses Spresses interception and storage of rainwater 3+ reduce Spresses H** Bradford District T/R LT M. Minimize the support of the support | | | SP16 | 0 | | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| SPERAL Bradford District T/R LT L Sources of flooding + support the expansion of habitats (e.g., blanket bogs and wood land) + ensure GI is stradford District SPERAL H-B Bradford District T/R LT M mplemented where appropriate + maximise SuDS - hicroses interception and storage of rainwater -> reduces flood risk. SPERAT would have similar benefits but they would be integrated into other policies, therefore magnitude and probability of impacts would be less. SP108.4 +B Bradford District T/R LT M SP108.4 +B Bradford District T/R LT M SP108.4 +B Bradford District T/R LT H Ph10 & lits alternatives & SP11, SP11RA1 -> protected and enhanced open green spaces and important habitats > above ground vegetation & permeable soils protected and enhanced sustainable SP108.4 +B Bradford District T/R LT M SP114 +B Bradford District T/R LT M SP12 -B Bradford District T/R LT M SP124 -B Bradford District T/R LT M | | | SP16RA1 | 0 | n/a | | | Н | |
| PPRA2 ++ Bradiard District T/R LT M SP10 + Bradiard District T/R LT M SP10.4 + Bradiard District T/R LT M SP10.4 + Bradiard District T/R LT M SP10.4.1 Bradiard District T/R LT M SP10.8.2 Bradiard District T/R LT M SP10.8.1 Bradiard District T/R LT H SP10.8.3 Hold risk SP10.8.1 | 4. Climate change | | SP9 | ++ | | | | Μ | |
| SP9RA3 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M | vulnerability | | | | | | | | |
| SP10 Braditor District T/R LT Magnitude and probability of impacts would be less. SP10RA1 + Braditord District T/R LT L SP10RA1 + Braditord District T/R LT L SP10RA2 + Braditord District T/R LT L SP10RA3 + Braditord District T/R LT H SP10RA3 + Braditord District T/R LT H SP10RA3 + Braditord District T/R LT H Sp111 + Braditord District T/R LT H Sp111 + Braditord District T/R LT M Sp113 + Braditord District P/I LT M Sp123 - Braditord District P/I LT M SP124 + Braditord District P/I LT M SP134 - Braditord District T/R LT M SP14RA1 - Braditord District T/R | | | | | | | | | |
| SP10RA1 + Bradford District T/R LT L SP10RA1 + Bradford District T/R LT H SP10RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT H SP10RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT H SP111 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP114 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP114 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP12 - Bradford District P/I LT M SP12 - Bradford District P/I LT M SP12 - Bradford District P/I LT M SP13RA1 - Bradford District P/I LT L SP148.4 - Bradford District T/R LT L SP148.4 - Bradford District T/R LT L SP148.4 - Bradford District T/R LT L | | | | | | | | | |
| SP10RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT H Phot& its alternatives & SP11, SP11RA1 → protected and enhanced open green spaces and important habitats → above ground vegetation & permeable solis protected and enhanced + enhanced sustainable management of flood risk. SP10RA2 would likely to do so more than SP10, SP10RA1 or SP10RA3. Sp11nt + Bradford District T/R LT M Sp11nt + Bradford District T/R LT M Sp122 Bradford District P/I LT M Sp123 Bradford District P/I LT M Sp134 Bradford District P/I LT M Sp134 Bradford District P/I LT M Sp134 Bradford District P/I LT M Sp144 Bradford District T/R LT M Sp14A1 Bradford District T/R LT M Sp14A2 Bradford District T/R LT M Sp14A2 Bradford District T/R LT M Sp14A2 Bradford District T/R LT M Sp | | | | + | | | | | magnitude and probability of impacts would be less. |
| Image: Second struct 1/R L1 1/R L1 1/R L1 1/R 1/R </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>+</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>CD10.9 its alternatives 9 CD11 CD11DA1 > protected and enhanced open graph appage and important</td> | | | | + | | | | | CD10.9 its alternatives 9 CD11 CD11DA1 > protected and enhanced open graph appage and important |
| Image: Split in the statistic intermediation intermediatintic interemediation intermediation intermediation i | | | | | | | | | |
| SP11ar + birdind District 1/R LT M SP11ar + Bradford District 7/R LT M SP12 - Bradford District P/I LT M SP12 - Bradford District P/I LT M SP12 - Bradford District P/I LT M SP123 Bradford District P/I LT M SP134 Bradford District P/I LT L SP134 Bradford District P/I LT L SP1441 Bradford District P/I LT L SP1441 Bradford District T/R LT M SP1441 Bradford District T/R LT M SP1441 Bradford District T/R LT M SP1442 Bradford District T/R LT M SP1441 Bradford District T/R LT M SP1470 Bradford District T/R LT M SP158A1 | | | | | | | | | |
| SP12Bradford DistrictP/ILTMSP12A1Bradford DistrictP/ILTMSP13R1Bradford DistrictP/ILTLSP13R1Bradford DistrictP/ILTLSP13R1Bradford DistrictP/ILTLSP144Bradford DistrictP/ILTLSP144Bradford DistrictP/ILTLSP144Bradford DistrictT/RLTMSP144Bradford DistrictT/RLTMSP144Bradford DistrictT/RLTMSP144Bradford DistrictT/RLTMSP144Bradford DistrictT/RLTMSP144Bradford DistrictT/RLTMSP144Bradford DistrictT/RLTMSP144Bradford DistrictT/RLTMSP154Bradford DistrictT/RLTMSP158A1O n/an/an/aHBradford DistrictT/RLTMSP158A2Bradford DistrictT/RLTSP16+Wider Leeds RegionT/RLTLSP16A1+Wider Leeds RegionT/RLTLSP16A1+Wider Leeds RegionT/RLTLSP16A1+Wider Leeds RegionT/RLTLSP16A1+Wider Leeds RegionT/RLTLSP16A1+W | | | | _ | | | | | |
| SP12 - Bradford District P/I LT M SP12A1 - Bradford District P/I LT M SP13 - Bradford District P/I LT LT LT M SP13 - Bradford District P/I LT L SP13 & SP13RA1 > new waste management facility sites → potential loss of vegetation and permeable SP14 + Bradford District P/I LT L surfaces → exacerbated flood risk in the local area. Mitigated to some extent by commitment of assessment of environmental impacts. SP14RA1 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP14RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15 SP16A3, SP14RA3, SP14 & alternatives → protected and enhanced GI in development → potential for SP15RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | SP12 \rightarrow new extraction sites \rightarrow loss of vegetation and permeable surfaces \rightarrow exacerbated flood risk in the local |
| SP13 - Bradford District P/I LT L SP13RA1 - Bradford District P/I LT L SP13RA1 - Bradford District P/I LT L SP14RA1 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP14RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP14RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP14RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA2 O n/a n/a n/a Plantana SP15RA2 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA2 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT M </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | | |
| SP13Ra1 Bradford District P/I LT L Surfaces → exace/bated flood risk in the local area. Mitigated to some extent by commitment of assessment of environmental impacts. SP14Ra1 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP14Ra1 + Bradford District T/R LT L SP14Ra2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M SP15 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M SP15 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP14 & alternatives → protected and enhanced GI in development → potential for SP15 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M open space being preserved in developments & incorporation of above ground vegetation → potential for SP15RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT M open space being preserved in development for proposals to contribute to 'measures that mitigate against the SP15RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA1 O n/a T/R | | | | | | | | M | |
| SP14 + Bradford District T/R LT M environmental impacts. SP14RA1 + Bradford District T/R LT L SP14RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP14RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M SP15 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA1 O n/a n/a n/a enhanced sustainable management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and spin start in the load and the management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and spin start in the load and the management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and spin start in the load and the management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and spin start in the load and the management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and spin start in the load and the management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and spin start in the load and the management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and spin start in the load and the management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and the management in the load and the management in the load and the management in the load and the management and enhancement in the l | | | | | | | | | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow new waste management facility sites \rightarrow potential loss of vegetation and permeable |
| SP14RA1 + Bradford District T/R LT L SP14RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP14RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA1 O n/a n/a H enhanced sustainable management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and sustainable management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and sustainable management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and sustainable management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and sustainable management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and sustainable management of flood risk. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and set the entities of climate change' → likely to include measures that mitigate against the effects of climate change' → likely to include measures that mitigate risk and impacts of flooding. Uncertain if this would be included in SP15RA3. SP16RA1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP16RA1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP16RA1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP16RA1 + Wider Leeds Region <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | | | | | | | | | |
| SP14RA2 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP14 & alternatives → protected and enhanced GI in development → potential for open space being preserved in developments & incorporation of above ground vegetation → potential for sP15RA1 SP15RA1 O n/a n/a n/a H SP15RA2 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA2 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA2 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA4 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT M SP16 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT M SP16RA1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP15RA2 → includes requirement for proposals to contribute to m | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | environmental impacts. |
| SP15 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M open space being preserved in developments & incorporation of above ground vegetation → potential for SP15RA1 O n/a n/a n/a n/a H SP15RA2 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA2 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP15RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT M SP16 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT M SP16 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT M SP16RA1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT M SP16RA1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP15RA1 → no policy → no discernible impacts. SP16RA1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP16 & SP16RA1 → effective environmental management and enhancement → contribute to reducing flood | | | | | | | | | |
| SP15RA1 O n/a n/a <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | | | | | | | | | |
| SP15RA2 ++ Bradford District T/R LT M certainty. SP15RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT L SP16 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT M SP15RA1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP16RA1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP15RA1 → no policy → no discernible impacts. SP16RA1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP16 & SP16RA1 → effective environmental management and enhancement in order to address climate change + ensure landscape and environmental management and enhancement → contribute to reducing flood risk. Recommendations: None Recommendations: None Recommendations: None | | | | | | | | | |
| SP15RA3 + Bradford District T/R LT L SP16 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT M SP15 & SP15RA2 → includes requirement for proposals to contribute to 'measures that mitigate against the effects of climate change' → likely to include measures that mitigate risk and impacts of flooding. Uncertain if this would be included in SP15RA3. SP16RA1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP15RA1 → no policy → no discernible impacts. SP16RA1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP16RA1 → effective environmental management and enhancement in order to address climate change + ensure landscape and environmental management and enhancement → contribute to reducing flood risk. | | | | - | | | | | |
| SP16 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT M SP15 & SP15RA2 → includes requirement for proposals to contribute to 'measures that mitigate against the effects of climate change' → likely to include measures that mitigate risk and impacts of flooding. Uncertain if this would be included in SP15RA3. SP16Ra1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP15RA1 → no policy → no discernible impacts. SP16Ra1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP15RA1 → no policy → no discernible impacts. SP16Ra1 + Wider Leeds Region T/R LT L SP16RA1 → effective environmental management and enhancement in order to address climate change + ensure landscape and environmental management and enhancement → contribute to reducing flood risk. Recommendations: None Recommendations: None | | | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}$ | | | | | | | | | SP15 & SP15RA2 \rightarrow includes requirement for proposals to contribute to 'measures that mitigate against the |
| Change + ensure landscape and environmental management and enhancement → contribute to reducing flood risk. | | | 5110 | | | | | 101 | effects of climate change' → likely to include measures that mitigate risk and impacts of flooding. Uncertain if this would be included in SP15RA3. |
| | | | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | change + ensure landscape and environmental management and enhancement → contribute to reducing flood risk. |
| | 5. Water resources | | SP9 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |

| SA Objective | Baseline | | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects |
|-------------------|----------|-----------------|--------|--|------------|----------|-------|---|
| | trend | RA | | | I/R | | | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
| | | SP9RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT LT | | SP9, SP9RA1, SP9RA2 & SP9RA3 \rightarrow ensure new developments use resources sustainably \rightarrow minimise water consumption and maximise water recycling. SP9RA1 would have similar benefits but they would be integrated |
| | | SP9RA2 | | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R | LT | | into other policies, therefore magnitude and probability of impacts would be less. |
| | | SP9RA3 SP10 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | into other policies, merelore magnitude and probability of impacts would be less. |
| | | SP10 SP10RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | IVI | SP10 & its alternatives & SP11, SP11RA1 \rightarrow protected and enhanced open green spaces and important habitats |
| | | SP10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | | | \rightarrow above ground vegetation & permeable soils protected and enhanced \rightarrow protection of quality of local |
| | | SP10RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | waterbodies and overland flow. |
| | | SP11 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP11RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP12 \rightarrow new extraction sites \rightarrow significant consumption of water at sites \rightarrow pressure on water resources |
| | | SP12 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP12RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP12 \rightarrow new extraction sites \rightarrow major pollution + contamination risk of natural waterbodies. |
| | | SP13 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP13RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow new waste management facilities could be near natural waterbodies \rightarrow potential pollution |
| | | SP14 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | & contamination risk of water during construction & operation of waste management facilities. Mitigated to some extent by commitment of assessment of environmental impacts. |
| | | SP14RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | extent by communent or assessment or environmental impacts. |
| | | SP14RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP14 & alternatives \rightarrow protected and enhanced GI in development \rightarrow potential for |
| | | SP15 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | open space being preserved in developments & incorporation of above ground vegetation \rightarrow potential for |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | protection of quality of local waterbodies and overland flow. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower |
| | | SP15RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP15RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP16 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP16RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None |
| 6. Biodiversity & | | SP9 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | N/I | SP9, SP9RA1, SP92RA2 & SP9RA3 \rightarrow facilitate the management and expansion of vulnerable habitats, which |
| geodiversity | | SP9RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | also benefits at risk species + integrate GI into new and regeneration projects \rightarrow maintain, protect and enhance |
| goodiversity | | SP9RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | natural habitats. SP9RA1 would have similar benefits but they would be integrated into other policies, therefore |
| | | SP9RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | magnitude and probability of impacts would be less. |
| | | SP10 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP10RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 1 | SP10 & its alternatives & SP11, SP11RA1 \rightarrow protected and enhanced green spaces, GI and important habitats |
| | | SP10RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | ightarrow protected and enhanced biodiversity & ecological connectivity. |
| | | SP10RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | |
| | | SP11 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP12 \rightarrow new extraction sites may be on previously undeveloped land in rural locations \rightarrow direct loss of habitat |
| | | SP11RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | & topsoil + reduction in ecological connectivity. |
| | | SP12 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | SP12 \rightarrow new extraction sites \rightarrow air and water pollution \rightarrow indirect on species & habitats. |
| | | SP12RA1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP13 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP12 \rightarrow high quality aftercare and restoration of mineral sites \rightarrow potential for after uses that are beneficial for |
| | | SP13RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | the environment. |
| | | SP14 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP14RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow potential for development to be on greenfield land \rightarrow loss of greenfield \rightarrow loss of |
| | | SP14RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | biodiversity and geodiversity & reduction in ecological connectivity. Mitigated to some extent by commitment for |
| | | SP15 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | detailed assessment on potential impacts on the surrounding environment. |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP15RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP15RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|------|---|
| | | SP16 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | М | SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP14 & alternatives \rightarrow protected and enhanced GI in development \rightarrow potential for |
| | | | | <u>_</u> | | | | development to enhance biodiversity value of sites and their role in ecological connectivity. SP14RA1 would |
| | | | | | | | | provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | | | Ŭ | | | | SP16 & SP16RA1 \rightarrow seek to ensure environmental management and enhancement. |
| | | | | | | | | SF 10 & SF 10KAT -> Seek to ensure environmental management and enhancement. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None |
| 7. Landscape & | | SP9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP9, SP9RA1, SP9RA2 & SP9RA3 → facilitate the management, enhancement, and expansion of vulnerable |
| townscape | | SP9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | habitats + integrate GI into new and regeneration projects \rightarrow protect and enhance natural and built landscapes. |
| | | SP9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP9RA1 would have similar benefits but they would be integrated into other policies, therefore magnitude and |
| | | SP9RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | probability of impacts would be less. |
| | | SP10 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP10RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP10 & its alternatives & SP11, SP11RA1 \rightarrow protected and enhanced green spaces, GI and important habitats |
| | | SP10RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | → protection and enhancement of distinctive natural landscapes. SP10RA2 likely to have slightly more beneficial impacts than SP10, SP10RA1 and SP10RA3 over time. |
| | | SP10RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | |
| | | SP11 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP12 \rightarrow potential new extraction sites on previously undeveloped land in rural locations \rightarrow |
| | | SP11RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | significantly discordant with character of natural landscapes + avoidance of impacts on townscapes. |
| | | SP12 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Н | |
| | | SP12RA1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | SP12 \rightarrow supports a traditional industry in Bradford and the wider region. |
| | | SP13 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP13RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow improved waste management \rightarrow clean environment \rightarrow protect townscape character. |
| | | SP14 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP14RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP14 & alternatives \rightarrow high-quality design of development that respects, protects, and enhances local character \rightarrow enhancements to townscape character. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of |
| | | SP14RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP15 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | SP13 % SP13RA1 \rightarrow new waste management facilities on greenfield & Green Belt \rightarrow risk of negative impacts |
| | | SP15RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | on distinctive character of natural landscapes and local townscapes e.g. due to loss of open space & GI, and |
| | | SP15RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | also on setting of nearby cultural heritage assets. |
| | | SP16 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | - |
| | | | | | | | | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP16RA1 | | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | | |
| | | SFIORAL | + | WIDELLEEUS REGION | 1/15 | LI | | SP16 & SP16RA1 \rightarrow seek to ensure environmental management and enhancement. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None |
| 8. Cultural heritage | | SP9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | SP10 & its alternatives & SP11, SP11RA1 \rightarrow protected and enhanced green spaces, GI and important habitats |
| ge | | SP9RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | → potential for protection and enhancement of setting of nearby heritage assets or historic areas. SP10RA2 |
| | | SP9RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | likely to have slightly more beneficial impacts than SP10, SP10RA1 and SP10RA3 over time. |
| | | SP9RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP10 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | <code>SP12</code> $ ightarrow$ potential new extraction sites on previously undeveloped land in rural locations $ ightarrow$ |
| | | SP10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | significantly discordant with character of natural landscapes + avoidance of impacts on townscapes. |
| | | SP10RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | CD40. Nouver entry of the self inductory in Dreafford and the subline self- |
| | | SP10RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | SP12 \rightarrow supports a traditional industry in Bradford and the wider region. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|------|---|
| | | SP11 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | SP11RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow improved waste management \rightarrow clean environment \rightarrow protect townscape character. |
| | | SP12 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | |
| | | SP12RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP14 & alternatives $ ightarrow$ high-quality design of development that respects, protects, |
| | | SP13 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | and enhances local character \rightarrow protecting and enhancing the setting of heritage assets & historic areas. |
| | | SP13RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP14 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP14RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP13 % SP13RA1 \rightarrow new waste management facilities on greenfield & Green Belt \rightarrow risk of negative impacts on distinctive character of natural landscapes and local townscapes e.g. due to loss of open space & GI, and |
| | | SP14RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | also on setting of nearby cultural heritage assets. |
| | | SP15 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | -SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP15RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP16 & SP16RA1 \rightarrow seek to ensure environmental management and enhancement. |
| | | SP16 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None |
| 9. Air quality | | SP9 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP9, SP9RA1, SP9RA2 & SP9RA3 \rightarrow support renewable energy generation & energy efficiency \rightarrow reduction in |
| | | SP9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | air pollution associated with energy generation & consumption. SP9RA1 would have similar benefits but they |
| | | SP9RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | would be integrated into other policies, therefore magnitude and probability of impacts would be less. |
| | | SP9RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP9, SP9RA1, SP9RA2 & SP9RA3 → improvements to public transport & active transport options + supports |
| | | SP10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | roll out of electric car charging points \rightarrow more sustainable movements + reduced congestion \rightarrow improved air |
| | | SP10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | quality. SP9RA1 would have similar benefits but they would be integrated into other policies, therefore |
| | | SP10RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | magnitude and probability of impacts would be less. |
| | | SP11 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | -SP10 & its alternatives, SP11, SP11RA1 & SP14 \rightarrow greenfield, habitats and GI protected and enhanced \rightarrow |
| | | SP11RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | protection and enhancement of air quality improvement ecosystem service. SP10RA2 potentially slightly greater |
| | | SP12 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | positive impact than SP10, SP10RA1 & SP10RA3. |
| | | SP12RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP13 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP14 & alternatives → encourage development that facilitates walking, cycling and |
| | | SP13RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | public transport uptake, development that provides people with good access to key services and facilities \rightarrow |
| | | SP14 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | reduced travel times, congestion + more sustainable forms of movement \rightarrow reduction in associated air pollution. |
| | | SP14RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP14RA1 & SP9RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP14RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP15, SP15RA2 & SP15RA3 \rightarrow encourage development that minimises exposure of people to air pollution \rightarrow |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | likely to include mechanisms that both protect people from impacts of air pollution as well as mechanisms that |
| | | SP15RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | improve air quality. |
| | | SP15RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP16 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow construction & operation of new waste management facilities \rightarrow increase air pollution including particulate matter pollution. |
| | | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow management facilities closer to waste arisings \rightarrow waste transported shorter distances \rightarrow reduced HGV journeys \rightarrow reduce air pollution associate with this. |
| | | | | | | | | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, miligation or enhancement |
|---------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|------|--|
| | uenu | NA | | | U/IX | | | SP12 \rightarrow new extraction sites \rightarrow energy intensive extraction + increase in HGV activity \rightarrow increased air pollution, including dust/particulates. |
| | | | | | | | | SP12 \rightarrow supports future extraction of fossil fuels \rightarrow consumption of fossil fuels \rightarrow air pollution. |
| | | | | | | | | SP16 & SP16RA1 \rightarrow enhanced sustainable transport \rightarrow increased uptake of sustainable transport options \rightarrow reduction in air pollution associated with transport |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None |
| 10. Transport | | SP9 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP9, SP9RA1, SP9RA2 & SP9RA3 → improvements to public transport & active transport options + supports |
| | | SP9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | roll out of electric car charging points \rightarrow more sustainable movements + reduced congestion. SP9RA1 would |
| | | SP9RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | have similar benefits but they would be integrated into other policies, therefore magnitude and probability of |
| | | SP9RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | impacts would be less. |
| | | SP10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP14 & alternatives \rightarrow encourage development that facilitates walking, cycling and |
| | | SP10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | public transport uptake, development that provides people with good access to key services and facilities \rightarrow |
| | | SP10RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | reduced travel times, congestion + more sustainable forms of movement. SP14RA1 & SP9RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP11 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP11RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | -SP10 & its alternatives & SP11 \rightarrow no discernible impacts on transport. |
| | | SP12 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP12RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | –SP13 & SP13RA1 $ ightarrow$ shorter journeys for waste transportation vehicles $ ightarrow$ potentially less congestion associated |
| | | SP13 | +/- | | T/R | LT | L | with waste transport vehicles. |
| | | SP13RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP14 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow Bradford self-sufficient in waste management \rightarrow waste transportation vehicles stay within |
| | | SP14RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | the District $ ightarrow$ potential increase in associated congestion. |
| | | SP14RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP15RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP12 \rightarrow supports quarrying/mineral extraction industry \rightarrow increased HGV activity \rightarrow potential impacts on |
| | | SP15RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | congestion, particularly if HGV activity increases in relatively rural locations on narrow roads or country lanes. |
| | | SP16 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | М | SP16 & SP16RA1 \rightarrow enhanced sustainable transport \rightarrow increased uptake of sustainable transport options \rightarrow reduced congestion. Recommendations: None |
| | | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | |
| 11. Housing | | SP9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP9, SP9RA1, SP9RA2 & SP9RA3 → provision of renewable energies at new developments + GI at new |
| lining | | SP9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | development \rightarrow sustainable and resilient housing and communities. SP9RA1 would have similar benefits but |
| | | SP9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | they would be integrated into other policies, therefore magnitude and probability of impacts would be less. |
| | | SP9RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP10 | Ö | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | SP10 & its alternatives, SP11, SP11RA1, SP13 & SP13RA1→ no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | 1 |
| | | SP10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | SP12 \rightarrow MSAs temporarily reduce land availability for housing developments. |
| | | SP10RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | 1 |
| | | SP11 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | 1 |

| SA Objective | Baseline | | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Droh | Summary of effects |
|---------------------|----------|--------------------|--------|--|------------|----------|------|---|
| | trend | RA | | | I/R | TITLE | 1100 | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
| | | SP11RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | SP15, SP15RAZ, SP15RA3, SP14 & alternatives \rightarrow encourage residential development + require proposals to \parallel |
| | | SP12 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | ensure people can live comfortable, high-quality, safe and healthy lifestyles at home + require development to |
| | | SP12RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | be accessible for people of all abilities. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP13 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP13RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP14 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP16 & SP16RA1 \rightarrow manage economic growth and housing growth \rightarrow ensure the balance between housing |
| | | SP14RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | and employment opportunities \rightarrow ensures there is sufficient housing to meet demand. |
| | | SP14RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP15 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: None |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP15RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP16 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | |
| 40. David (| | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | |
| 12. Range of | | SP9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP10, SP9 & alternatives \rightarrow support development with opportunities for the delivery of GI and improvements to |
| accessible services | | SP9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | public transport and facilities for walking and cycling \rightarrow ensures accessibility to services + supports active travel \rightarrow encourages physical activity \rightarrow improve fitness levels. |
| | | SP9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT LT | | - encourages physical activity - improve intress levels. |
| | | SP9RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | | M | SP11, SP11RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts on the range of accessible services. |
| | | SP10 SP10RA1 | + | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | SP10RA1 SP10RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts on range of accessible services |
| | | SP10RA2 SP10RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | SP10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | SP12 \rightarrow no discernible impact on accessible services |
| | | SP11RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP12 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | -SP10 & alternatives, SP14 & alternatives, SP15, SP15RA2 & SP15RA3 \rightarrow encourage proposals to provide well- |
| | | SP12RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | connected networks for movement + inclusive & safe neighbourhoods + multi-functional greenspaces + |
| | | SP13 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | provision of new health facilities \rightarrow future residential development likely to facilitate enhanced access to |
| | | SP13RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | services, facilities. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP14 | _ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP10 & alternatives, SP9 & alternatives & SP16 → supports & enhances sustainable and active transport |
| | | SP14RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | \rightarrow improved accessibility of facilities and services. |
| | | SP14RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP15RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP16 \rightarrow ensure the balance between housing and employment opportunities + support economic growth \rightarrow |
| | | SP15RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | ensure the provision of adequate economic networks. |
| | | SP16 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | | | | | | | SP16RA1 \rightarrow similar impacts as SP16 but of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | Decommendations Name |
| 13. Social Cohesion | | SP9 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 1 | Recommendations: None SP10, SP9 & alternatives → support development with opportunities for the delivery of GI and improvements to |
| | | SP9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | public transport and facilities for walking and cycling \rightarrow ensures accessibility to services + supports active travel |
| | | SP9RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | \rightarrow encourages physical activity \rightarrow improve fitness levels. |
| | | SP9RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts on social cohesion. |
| | | 0110 | T | Diadioiu District | 1/1 | | IVI | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|--|------------|----------|--------|--|
| | | SP10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | SP10 & alternatives & SP11, SP11RA1 \rightarrow protection and enhancement of open spaces, GI and important |
| | | SP10RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | habitats which are important areas for recreation, social interaction and cultural activities $ ightarrow$ boost to the |
| | | SP11 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | cohesiveness of communities and the culture and leisure offering for visitors and local people. |
| | | SP11RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | SP12 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP12 \rightarrow supports the quarrying and material/mineral extraction industries \rightarrow maintains/increases employment in |
| | | SP12RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | this sector in the District \rightarrow reduce levels of deprivation. |
| | | SP13 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP13RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP10 & alternatives, SP14 & alternatives, SP15, SP15RA2 & SP15RA3 → encourage proposals to provide well- |
| | | SP14 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | connected networks for movement + inclusive & safe neighbourhoods + multi-functional greenspaces + provision of new health facilities \rightarrow future residential development likely to facilitate social interaction, cohesive |
| | | SP14RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | communities. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP14RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | communities. SF 14KAT would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP15 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP15RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP16 \rightarrow ensure the balance between housing and employment opportunities + support economic growth \rightarrow |
| | | SP15RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | ensure the provision of adequate economic networks. |
| | | SP16 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | SP16RA1 \rightarrow similar impacts as SP16 but of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | 0.00 | | Due offend District | T/D | 1.7 | | Recommendations: None |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | SP9 | + | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT LT | | SP9, SP9RA1, SP9RA2 & SP9RA3 \rightarrow support the management, enhancement and expansion of vulnerable habitats, such as woodlands \rightarrow preserves the districts green/leisure spaces. |
| | | SP9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L M | nabilais, such as woodialids – preserves the districts greenneisure spaces. |
| | | SP9RA2 SP9RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP10, SP9 & alternatives \rightarrow support development with opportunities for the delivery of GI and improvements to |
| | | SP9RAS SP10 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | | | public transport and facilities for walking and cycling \rightarrow ensures accessibility to services + supports active travel |
| | | SP10RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | \rightarrow encourages physical activity \rightarrow improve fitness levels. |
| | | SP10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | SP10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | SP10 & alternatives & SP11, SP11RA1 \rightarrow protection and enhancement of open spaces, GI and important |
| | | SP10KAS | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | habitats which are important areas for recreation, social interaction and cultural activities \rightarrow boost to the |
| | | SP11RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | cohesiveness of communities and the culture and leisure offering for visitors and local people. |
| | | SP112 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP12RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP12 \rightarrow no discernible impact on culture and leisure. |
| | | SP13 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP13RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP10 & alternatives, SP14 & alternatives, SP15, SP15RA2 & SP15RA3 → encourage proposals to provide well- |
| | | SP14 | ++ | - | T/R | LT | M | connected networks for movement + inclusive & safe neighbourhoods + multi-functional greenspaces + |
| | | SP14RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | provision of new health facilities \rightarrow future residential development likely to facilitate social interaction, cohesive |
| | | SP14RA2 | ++ | | T/R | LT | | communities and enhanced access to services, facilities and cultural and recreational spaces and activities. SP14RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP14RA2 | ++ | | T/R | | M | or retrain would provide benefits of rower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | SP10 & alternatives, SP9 & alternatives & SP16 \rightarrow supports & enhances sustainable and active transport |
| | | SP15RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | options \rightarrow improved accessibility of communal spaces and cultural areas. |
| | | SP15RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP16 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | | | <u>_</u> | | | 1 | |
| | | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow potential development on greenfield \rightarrow potential loss of open recreational space. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|------|---|
| | | | | | | | | SP16 \rightarrow ensure landscape and environmental management and enhancement \rightarrow maintain and improve outdoor |
| | | | | | | | | spaces \rightarrow improve the recreational and leisure value of spaces. |
| | | | | | | | | SP16RA1 \rightarrow similar impacts as SP16 but of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None |
| 15. Safe and secure | | SP9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP9, SP10 & alternatives, SP14, SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP16 & alternatives → encourage proposals to |
| | | SP9RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | be of a high-quality design + encourage walking and cycling \rightarrow high rates of natural surveillance rates \rightarrow |
| | | SP9RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | reduced risk of crime. SP14RA1 & SP16RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP9RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP10 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP13, SP13RA1, SP11, SP11RA1 & SP12 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP10RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP11 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None |
| | | SP11RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP12 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP12RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP13 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP13RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP14 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP14RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP14RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP15RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP16 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | |
| 16 Health | | SP9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP10 & its alternatives \rightarrow protected and enhanced greenfield, open spaces and habitats \rightarrow improved access to |
| | | SP9RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | outdoor physical activity opportunities (physical & mental health benefits) and access to opportunities for |
| | | SP9RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | spending time amongst semi-natural habitats (mental health benefits) for local people. SP10RA2 potentially |
| | | SP9RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | slightly greater positive impact than SP10, SP10RA1 & SP10RA3. |
| | | SP10 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP11, SP11RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | SP12 \rightarrow permits guarrying and material/mineral extraction \rightarrow increased noise, odour and air pollution, |
| | | SP10RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | including dust, from extraction activities \rightarrow pollution and airborne particulate matter hazardous to the health of |
| | | SP11 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | workers and the public. |
| | | SP11RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP12 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | -SP12 \rightarrow support employment \rightarrow reduces poverty and deprivation \rightarrow improves mental and physical well-being. |
| | | SP12RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP13 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP13RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

| SA Objective | Baseline | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects |
|----------------|----------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | trend | SP14 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow potential increase in local HGV activity + new waste facilities \rightarrow noise, odour and air |
| | | SP14RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | pollution including dust \rightarrow potential adverse impacts on physical & mental health of local people. |
| | | SP14RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP141742 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP9, SP14, SP15, SP15RA2, SP15RA3, SP16 & their alternatives \rightarrow facilitate higher rates of active transport |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | including walking and cycling → benefits to physical health. SP9RA1, SP14RA1 &SP16RA1 would provide |
| | | SP15RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP15RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP16 | | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | SP9, SP16 & their alternatives \rightarrow improvements to air quality \rightarrow reduction in impacts of air pollution on health. |
| | | SP16RA1 | | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | | SP15, SP15RA2 & SP15RA3 → designed to ensure new development contributes towards health improvements and reducing health inequalities → new development likely to enable regular exercise, health eating, cleaner air, good access to health facilities, the provision of new health facilities and negative impacts on health to be avoided or mitigated SP15RA1 → no policy → no discernible impacts. |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: None |
| 17. Education | | SP9 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP10 & its alternatives, SP13, SP13RA1 & SP11, SP11RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP12 \rightarrow new extraction sites \rightarrow enhanced employment opportunities in this sector \rightarrow potential opportunities for |
| | | SP9RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | local people to learn new on-the-job skills. |
| | | SP10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP9, SP14, SP15, SP15RA2 SP15RA3, SP16 & alternatives → highly accessible new developments via |
| | | SP10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | walking, cycling and public transport + improvements to sustainable transport options \rightarrow residents and site users likely to have sustainable & convenient access to nearby education facilities. SP9RA1, SP14RA1 & |
| | | SP10RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP16RA1 would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP11 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP11RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP12 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP12RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: None |
| | | SP13 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP13RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP14 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP14RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP14RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | SP15RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | - |
| | | SP15RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP16 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | - |
| 10 Euclas 1 | | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | |
| 18. Employment | | SP9 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP10 & its alternatives & SP11, SP11RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP9RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP9RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP12 \rightarrow support the quarrying and material/mineral extraction industries \rightarrow maintains/increases employment in |
| | | SP9RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 1.41 | the district. |
| | | SP10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | 1 |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|---|--|
| | | SP10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP12 \rightarrow support the extraction of coal, gravel and sand where future changes in technology and commodity |
| | | SP10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | prices may make renewed extraction viable \rightarrow future employment. |
| | | SP10RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP11 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow seek to establish new waste management facilities \rightarrow enhanced employment opportunities |
| | | SP11RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | in this sector $ ightarrow$ maintains/increases employment in the District & boosts the economy. |
| | | SP12 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP12RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP9, SP14, SP15, SP15RA2 SP15RA3, SP16 & alternatives → highly accessible new developments via |
| | | SP13 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | walking, cycling and public transport + improvements to sustainable transport options → residents and site users likely to have sustainable & convenient access to employment areas. SP9RA1, SP14RA1 & SP16RA1 |
| | | SP13RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | would provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP14 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP14RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | SP15 \rightarrow requires proposals to support the delivery of jobs and key services \rightarrow boost to local employment |
| | | SP14RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | opportunities |
| | | SP15 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15RA1 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | SP16 & SP16RA1→ seeks to support economic growth + ensure housing provision is balanced with |
| | | SP15RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | employment opportunities. |
| | | SP15RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP16 | ++ | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None |
| 19. Economy | | SP9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP10 & its alternatives & SP11, SP11RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | SP9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | SP12 \rightarrow new extraction sites \rightarrow significant boost to this industry in Bradford \rightarrow boost to local economy. |
| | | SP9RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | SP12 \rightarrow boost to an unsustainable industry that is unlikely to remain competitive in the long-term given the UK's |
| | | SP10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | transition towards a low-carbon economy and energy sector. |
| | | SP10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | CD42.9 CD42DA1 A sock to establish new wests management facilities. As handed employment expertunities |
| | | SP10RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | SP13 & SP13RA1 \rightarrow seek to establish new waste management facilities \rightarrow enhanced employment opportunities in this sector \rightarrow boosts the economy. |
| | | SP11 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | SP12 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | SP9, SP14, SP15, SP15RA2 SP15RA3, SP16 & alternatives → highly accessible new developments via |
| | | SP12RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | walking, cycling and public transport + improvements to sustainable transport options \rightarrow customers able to more |
| | | SP13 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | sustainably and conveniently access businesses and shopping areas. SP9RA1, SP14RA1 & SP16RA1 would |
| | | SP13RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | provide benefits of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | SP14 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP14RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP15 \rightarrow requires proposals to support the delivery of jobs and key services \rightarrow boost to the local economy. |
| | | SP14RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | SP16 & SP16RA1→ seeks to support economic growth + ensure housing provision is balanced with |
| | | SP15RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | employment opportunities $ ightarrow$ boost the local economy. |
| | | SP15RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP15RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. | SP15RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | SP16 | | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | SP16RA1 | + | Wider Leeds Region | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None |

Summary of, and assumptions in the assessment of, Thematic Policies (EC1 - EC4) Economy

Policy EC1: Employment Delivery and Strategic Sites Is a new policy. The policy would establish the Council's commitment to deliver economic growth and support jobs within the District, meeting employment land need, through the delivery of 72ha of new employment land. 41.71ha of this would be within the Regional City of Bradford, which will be concentrated within or close to Enterprise Zones, Business Development Zones and Employment Zones and further diversify employment space and established employment zones. 8.47ha would be within Airedale, within the Keighley Business Development Zone and Bingley and Keighley transport corridor, and 5ha in Wharfedale. An additional Strategic Employment Area of 40.61ha will also be established. All areas are allocated on the Policies Map and in detail within Section 5 of the plan and would be protected for employment use. EC1 would be expected to make a significant contribution towards the economic and employment SA Objectives by supporting growth in the economy through the expansion of employment land. This in turn would reduce deprivation and poverty. However, the policy has the potential to negatively impact SA Objectives associated with the natural environment, with increased development leading to issues such as reduced air quality and increased in emissions. Furthermore, the Strategic Employment Sites of Holmewood and Apperley Bridge would be allocated from the Green Belt.

- EC1 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EC1RA1): This alternative would involve splitting the policy into separate strategic and non-strategic employment land policies. This would have similar impacts as the preferred option; however, it may be less easy to find and reference.
- EC1 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EC1RA2): Keeping a 'looser' policy structure and listing sites in a table, within the policy or referenced outside. The preferred option includes sites listing within a delivery strategy or framework, with further detail available within Section 5 of the plan. This alternative would likely have similar impacts, though they may be of a lesser certainty.

Policy EC2: Employment, Business and Enterprise Zones is a new policy The policy supports the development, regeneration, and protection of employment areas for Bclass uses within the District through the establishment of Enterprise Zones, Business Zones and Employment Zones, as designated on the Policies Map. These Zones would provide high standard premises, encourage regeneration, and support the growth of local businesses. Changes of use or redevelopment of non-B-class employment uses will be supported, while for B-class employment uses the same will only be permitted provided there are strong economic reasons; the site is unlikely to come back into B-class employment use; it would provide employment; it would not impact the function of the wider zone; and/or the site is not appropriate for the continuation of any Bclass employment use. EC2 is likely to have a positive contribution towards the social, employment and economic SA Objectives as it works to provide land to support jobs and economic growth and will also help to reduce deprivation and poverty as a consequence.

- EC2 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EC2RA1): Individual policies for each type of zone. This would complicate the layout but could provide extra detail compared to a single policy format.
- EC2 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EC2RA2): Combine zonal areas. Though there is geographical cross-over in some cases, the zones differ in function and multiple zone listing allows for a diverse approach to business retention, regeneration, and inward investment. The impacts of this alternative are likely to be similar to the preferred option but could be of lower magnitude.
- EC2 Reasonable Alternative 3 (EC2RA3): Combine employment site and zonal policy. A single policy approach could be too complex, and detail could be lost, resulting in impacts of lesser magnitude.
- EC2 Reasonable Alternative 4 (EC2RA4): Remove threshold levels and criteria for change of use. The policy introduces a degree of flexibility but also a clear focus upon protecting employment uses and activities, which may result in impacts of a lesser magnitude.

Policy EC3: Employment and Skills Delivery is under review. The policy aims to secure employment and skills plans for strategic scale infrastructure, housing (400 dwellings plus), and employment projects (5ha plus); for the construction phases of residential developments of 50+ dwellings and commercial schemes; and for the occupancy phase of commercial developments where they are estimated to create 50+ full time jobs. EC3 would be expected to make a positive contribution towards social and economic SA Objectives, primarily due to the improvement to local employment opportunities that are accessible to all and which provide skills learning opportunities. The policy would be likely to contribute towards a reduction in deprivation, poverty and inequality whilst providing a boost to the health and education of local people.

- EC3 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EC3RA1): No specific policy for EC3, maintaining a strategic policy position within SP6. No policy therefore no impacts.
- EC3 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EC3RA2): Different thresholds set with a variety of options. The current thresholds have been set to incentivise local skills and labour opportunities without compromising viability. Likely similar impacts but degree of uncertainty is high, unable to determine the exact impacts as it very much depends on what thresholds are set.
- EC3 Reasonable Alternative 3 (EC3RA3): A more limited scale policy. EC3 supports a comprehensive package of measures and a more limited policy would result in impacts of lower magnitude and probability.

Policy EC4: City, Town, District and Local Centres is under review. The Council's preferred option for the policy is for decisions, plans, strategies and programmes to sustain and enhance the vitality and viability of a network and hierarchy of defined centres in Bradford. The policy sets out the various types of development that should be the focus of each city, town, district and local centre, and where retail development, Small Shop Units, office, residential uses, healthcare, community, educational and cultural facilities and services would be permitted and/or encouraged. It further describes the sequential test for planning applications and outlines when an impact assessment for developments would be necessary. The policy would be expected to help ensure that new employment development is appropriate for the surrounding area and is able to compete and succeed. Settlements in the district would be likely to benefit from an appropriate type and level of employment growth that helps to reduce deprivation, poverty and inequalities. The character and distinct sense of place for each centre would be likely to be protected and enhanced as a result. The requirement for developments to create a "distinctive, attractive and vibrant sense of place and identity" would have a positive impact on landscape and townscape.

- EC4 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EC4RA1): No or limited updates from the CSPR. This would not fully reflect the evidence base from the Bradford District Retail and Leisure study and therefore would potentially have impacts of a lesser magnitude and probability.
- EC4 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EC4RA2): A policy greatly reduced in scale. This would fail to provide a full policy framework to the District's diverse centre and would therefore have impacts of reduced scale and certainty.
- EC4 Reasonable Alternative 3 (EC4RA3): Split the policy into individual policies covering specific topics. The single policy approach provides ease of use, but impacts would be much the same.

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| 1. Energy & GHGs | | EC1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | Each policy \rightarrow support and encourage significant employment development and economic growth \rightarrow increase in |
| | | EC1RA1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | | energy consumption + associated transport $ ightarrow$ increase in associated GHG emissions. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| | | EC1RA2 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | |
| | | EC2 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | Each policy \rightarrow new employment land in locations accessible via active and public transport \rightarrow limited GHG |
| | | EC2RA1 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Н | emissions associated with increase in movement. |
| | | EC2RA2 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | |
| | | EC2RA3 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | EC1 \rightarrow development in Green Belt \rightarrow loss of greenfield land (carbon sink). |
| | | EC2RA4 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | |
| | | EC3 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Promotion defines Desta fforte about the model to another that all new analysis and is highly accessible via |
| | | EC3RA2 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | Recommendations: Best efforts should be made to ensure that all new employment land is highly accessible via walking and cycling. |
| | | EC3RA3 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | waiking and cycling. |
| | | EC4 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | EC4RA1 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA2 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA3 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| 2. Waste | | EC1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC1RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC1RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Each policy \rightarrow support and encourage significant employment development and economic growth \rightarrow increase in |
| | | EC2RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | associated waste due to materials used during construction + waste from increased business operations. |
| | | EC2RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2RA3 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EC2 \rightarrow encourages the regeneration of existing sites \rightarrow reduced waste associated with construction in some |
| | | EC2RA4 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | circumstances. |
| | | EC3 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact. |
| | | EC3RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC3RA3 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: EC policies could seek to encourage circular economy principles in new development that |
| | | EC4 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | minimises the consumption of materials and enhances reuse and recycle principles. |
| | | EC4RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA3 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| 3. Land & buildings | | EC1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC1RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Each policy \rightarrow support and encourage significant employment development and economic growth \rightarrow loss of |
| | | EC1RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | greenfield land to employment development in some circumstances, particularly EC1 and its alternatives. |
| | | EC2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC2RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | EC1, EC2, EC4 & alternatives \rightarrow direct new employment development towards locations with opportunities for |
| | | EC2RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | using PDL as a first priority $ ightarrow$ reduced greenfield land lost to development |
| | | EC2RA3 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact. |
| | | EC2RA4 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Lookki zno polog zno impaci. |
| | | EC3 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Recommendations: When assessing the sustainability of proposals, the Council should consider impacts on |
| | | EC3RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | land and soil resource. Proposals could be required to adopt best practice measures for soil management during |
| | | EC3RA3 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | construction and for excavated soil and rock to be reused either as part of the development or elsewhere. |
| | | EC4 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | , |

| SA Objective | Baseline Policy or Effect | t Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------|------|-----|--|
| | EC4RA1 - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC4RA2 - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC4RA3 - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| 4. Climate change | EC1 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| vulnerability | EC1RA1 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC1RA2 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC2 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | EC2RA1 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | H | Each policy $ ightarrow$ support and encourage significant quantity of new employment and economic development $ ightarrow$ |
| | EC2RA2 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | precise location of this development is uncertain \rightarrow impacts on this SA Objective are uncertain as impacts depend |
| | EC2RA3 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | on precise location and type of development in relation to local flood risk. |
| | EC2RA4 ? | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC3 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | EC3RA1 O | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | EC3RA2 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC3RA3 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | EC4 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | EC4RA1 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC4RA2 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC4RA3 ? | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| 5. Water resources | EC1 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC1RA1 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC1RA2 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | Each policy \rightarrow support and encourage significant quantity of new employment and economic development \rightarrow |
| | EC2 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | increase in water consumption due to business operations. |
| | EC2RA1 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC2RA2 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | Each policy $ ightarrow$ significant new development $ ightarrow$ potential for impacts on quality of natural waterbodies during |
| | EC2RA3 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | construction and operation of businesses $ ightarrow$ largely dependent on location and type of development which is |
| | EC2RA4 - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | currently unknown $ ightarrow$ impacts on water quality are uncertain. |
| | EC3 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC3RA1 O | n/a | n/a | n/a | | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | EC3RA2 - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC3RA3 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: EC policies could seek to require proposals to show there is adequate water resource |
| | EC4 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | availability for their operations with close consideration given to water use efficiency standards. |
| | EC4RA1 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | |
| | EC4RA2 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | EC4RA3 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| C Diadius mitu 9 | EC1 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | Each policy $ ightarrow$ support and encourage significant quantity of new employment and economic development $ ightarrow$ |
| 6. Biodiversity & | EC1RA1 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | potential loss of greenfield and green belt land and supporting habitats + reduced ecological connectivity. EC1 in |
| geodiversity | EC1RA2 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | particular allocated 40.61ha of employment land within the Green Belt |
| | EC2 - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | EC2RA1 - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | Each policy \rightarrow majority of new employment and economic development likely to be directed towards existing |
| | EC2RA2 - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | settlements and urban areas as a priority which will help to limit loss of greenfield land or reduced ecological |
| | EC2RA3 - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | connectivity. |
| | EC2RA4 - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | EC3 - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | <u> </u> |

| SA Objective | | Policy or | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects |
|----------------------|-------|------------------|--------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|-------|--|
| | trend | RA EC3RA1 | | n/a | I/R | 2/0 | 2/0 | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement $EC1 \rightarrow The HRA$ screening process identified that likely significant effects are triggered. The HRA report states |
| | | EC3RA1 EC3RA2 | - | n/a Bradford District | n/a T/R | n/a LT | n/a | that this policy allocates a range of sites with likely significant effects in relation to impacts to/loss of supporting |
| | | EC3RA2 EC3RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT | | habitat and in relation to air quality. Individual allocations need further consideration regarding loss of supporting |
| | | EC3RA3 EC4 | | | T/R T/R | LT | M | habitat and likely significant effects alone. Air quality impacts will be in-combination. |
| | | | | Bradford District | | | IVI | |
| | | EC4RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | | EC4RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA3 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | Recommendations: None. |
| 7. Landscape & | | EC1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | Each policy \rightarrow support and encourage significant quantity of new employment and economic development \rightarrow |
| townscape | | EC1RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | potential loss of greenfield and Green Belt land and open space \rightarrow potential impacts on local landscape & |
| townoodpe | | EC1RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | townscape character. EC1 in particular allocated Green Belt land for employment land specifically. |
| | | EC2 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | 111 | |
| | | EC2RA1 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | Each policy \rightarrow focuses significant majority of new economic and employment development in existing settlements |
| | | EC2RA1 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | and built up areas \rightarrow most negative impacts on natural landscapes likely avoided. |
| | | EC2RA3 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | |
| | | EC2RA4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EC1, EC2, EC4 & their alternatives \rightarrow focus majority of new economy and employment development in existing |
| | | EC3 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | employment areas $ ightarrow$ development more likely to be in-keeping with the surrounding townscape $ ightarrow$ most negative |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | potential impacts on townscape character likely avoided. |
| | | EC3RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 1/4 | |
| | | EC3RA3 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | EC4 \rightarrow requires developments to create attractive places and protects local identity \rightarrow improves townscape |
| | | EC4 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA1 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | | EC4RA2 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | Recommendations: EC policies could seek to ensure that new employment development is of a high-quality |
| | | EC4RA3 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | design. |
| 8. Cultural heritage | | EC1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | Each policy \rightarrow support and encourage significant quantity of new employment and economic development \rightarrow |
| o. outara nontago | | EC1RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | potential loss of greenfield and Green Belt land and open space \rightarrow potential impacts on setting of heritage assets |
| | | EC1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | & historic areas. EC1 in particular allocated Green Belt land for employment land specifically. |
| | | EC2 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | 1 | |
| | | EC2RA1 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | Each policy ightarrow focuses significant majority of new economic and employment development in existing settlements |
| | | EC2RA2 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | and built up areas \rightarrow most negative impacts on heritage assets & historic areas likely avoided. |
| | | EC2RA3 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2RA4 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EC1, EC2, EC4 & their alternatives \rightarrow focus majority of new economy and employment development in existing |
| | | EC3 | | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | employment areas $ ightarrow$ development more likely to be in-keeping with the surrounding townscape $ ightarrow$ most negative |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | potential impacts on heritage assets & historic areas likely avoided. |
| | | EC3RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | $\Gamma_{\rm contract}$ |
| | | EC3RA3 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | -EC4 \rightarrow requires developments to create attractive places and protects local identity \rightarrow protects local character. |
| | | EC4 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | - EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | | EC4RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: EC policies could seek to ensure that new employment development is of a high-quality |
| | | EC4RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | design. |
| | | EC1 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | EC1RA1 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|--|------------|----------|-------|--|
| | | EC1RA2 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | М | |
| 9. Air quality | | EC2RA1 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | Each policy $ ightarrow$ support and encourage significant quantity of new employment and economic development $ ightarrow$ |
| | | EC2RA2 | _ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | 1 | likely increase in movements associated with the operation of businesses and people commuting to work in |
| | | EC2RA3 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | Bradford \rightarrow increase in air pollution |
| | | EC2RA4 | _ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EC1, EC2, EC4 & their alternatives → focus new development in locations that are generally highly accessible via |
| | | EC3 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | active and public transport \rightarrow help to limit car movements associated with employment + help to limit air pollution |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | from this. |
| | | EC3RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | | EC3RA3 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | LCSRAT 7 TO POILCY 7 TO IMPACT |
| | | EC4 | _ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: Best efforts should be made to ensure that all new employment land is highly accessible via |
| | | EC4RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | walking, cycling and public transport. |
| | | EC4RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA3 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EC1 | +/- | Leeds City region | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EC1RA1 | +/- | Leeds City region | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC1RA2 | +/- | Leeds City region | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2 | +/- | Leeds City region | T/R | LT | Μ | Each policy \rightarrow support and encourage significant quantity of new employment and economic development \rightarrow |
| | | EC2RA1 | +/- | Leeds City region | T/R | LT | L | likely increase in movements associated with the operation of businesses and people commuting to work in |
| | | EC2RA2 | +/- | Leeds City region | T/R | LT | L | Bradford. |
| | | EC2RA3 | +/- | Leeds City region | T/R | LT | Μ | EC1, EC2, EC4 & their alternatives → focus new development in locations that are generally highly accessible via |
| | | EC2RA4 | +/- | Leeds City region | T/R | LT | Μ | active and public transport \rightarrow help to limit car movements associated with employment. |
| 10. Transport | | EC3 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC3RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: Best efforts should be made to ensure that all new employment land is highly accessible via |
| | | EC3RA3 | - | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | walking, cycling and public transport. |
| | | EC4 | +/- | Leeds City region | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | EC4RA1 | +/- | Leeds City region | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA2 | +/- | Leeds City region | T/R | LT | L | |
| 44.11 | | EC4RA3 | +/- | Leeds City region | T/R | LT | M | |
| 11. Housing | | EC1 EC1RA1 | ++++ | Bradford district Bradford district | T/R T/R | LT LT | | Each policy $ ightarrow$ significant economic and employment growth $ ightarrow$ could make the construction of new homes in |
| | | EC1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | Bradford more viable in various locations. |
| | | EC2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | | EC2RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R T/R | LT LT | | Recommendations: None |
| | | EC2RA4 | + | Bradford district | 1/K | | L | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|--|------------|----------|-------|---|
| | | EC3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC3RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC3RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| 12. Range of accessible | • | EC1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| services | | EC1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC1RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | Μ | EC4 \rightarrow sustain and enhance the vitality and viability of city, town and district centres \rightarrow provision of services |
| | | EC2RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | All policies $ ightarrow$ new economic and employment development focussed in existing employment areas of the District |
| | | EC2RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | ightarrow amenity of residential areas and neighbourhoods protected from potential harm. |
| | | EC2RA4 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EC3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC3RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC3RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EC4 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC4RA1 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA2 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA3 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| 13. Social Cohesion | | EC1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC1RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | EC4 \rightarrow sustain and enhance the vitality and viability of city, town and district centres \rightarrow provision of retail, leisure, |
| | | EC1RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | entertainment, arts, culture, tourism, sports and recreation spaces \rightarrow facilitate social mixing and community |
| | | EC2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | cohesion. |
| | | EC2RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | All policies \rightarrow significant economy and employment growth \rightarrow reduction in poverty, deprivation & inequality. |
| | | EC2RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC2RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | All policies \rightarrow new economic and employment development focussed in existing employment areas of the District |
| | | EC3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | → amenity of residential areas and neighbourhoods protected from potential harm. |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a Dradfand district | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC3RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | | EC3RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | |
| | | EC4PA1 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R T/R | LT LT | M | |
| | | EC4RA1 | ++ | Bradford district Bradford district | T/R | LI | | Recommendations: None |
| | | EC4RA2 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LI LT | M | |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | EC4RA3 EC1 | ++ | Bradford district Bradford district | T/R | LI | | ECA Nevertein and anti-anne the vitality and vitality of site (some and district contract). Nevertein (some dist |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | | + | Bradford district | T/R | | | EC4 \rightarrow sustain and enhance the vitality and viability of city, town and district centres \rightarrow provision of retail, leisure, entertainment, arts, culture, tourism, sports and recreation spaces |
| | | EC1RA1 | + | | T/R | LI LT | | entenaimment, ans, culture, tourism, sports and recreation spaces |
| | | EC1RA2 | + | Bradford district | | | | All policies \rightarrow significant economy and employment growth \rightarrow reduction in poverty, deprivation & inequality. |
| | | EC2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | ran poncies – significant economy and employment growth – reduction in poverty, deprivation & inequality. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | | EC2RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | All policies \rightarrow new economic and employment development focussed in existing employment areas of the District |
| | | EC2RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | → amenity & culture & leisure facilities of residential areas and neighbourhoods protected from potential harm. |
| | | EC2RA4 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC3RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC3RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None |
| | | EC4 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC4RA1 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA2 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA3 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| 15. Safe & secure | | EC1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC2RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EC2RA4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC3RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC4RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC4RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| 16. Health | | EC1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | - |
| | | EC1RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 4 |
| | | EC1RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | - |
| | | EC2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | 4 |
| | | EC2RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | All policies \rightarrow significant improvement to range and quantity of employment opportunities \rightarrow reduced deprivation, |
| | | EC2RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | poverty and inequality + boost to mental wellbeing of local people due to improved employment offering. |
| | | EC2RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC2RA4 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | -EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | | EC3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | - |
| | | EC3RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Recommendations: None |
| | | EC3RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | 4 |
| | | EC4 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | 4 |
| | | EC4RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | 4 |
| | | EC4RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | - |
| | | EC4RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | 1 |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|--|------------|----------|----------|--|
| 17. Education | | EC1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | EC1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC2RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | Each policy \rightarrow significant improvement in employment offering for local people \rightarrow increase in quality and quantity |
| | | EC2RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | of opportunities for learning new skills applicable to modern jobs. |
| | | EC2RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | Μ | $= C_2 = C_2 = C_2 = A_2$ \rightarrow major boast to local appartunities for education 9 skills locating |
| | | EC2RA4 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | EC3, EC3RA2 & EC3RA3 \rightarrow major boost to local opportunities for education & skills learning. |
| | | EC3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | EC3RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impact |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | EC3RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC3RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EC4 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC4RA1 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA2 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA3 | + | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| 18. Employment | | EC1 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | H | |
| | | EC1RA1 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC1RA2 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | All policies. Nikely to contribute to complicant & reconcerctive economic growth in Dradford. Nikely increases in |
| | | EC2RA1 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | All policies \rightarrow likely to contribute to significant & regenerative economic growth in Bradford \rightarrow likely increase in ocal employment rate, average earnings, number of new start-ups |
| | | EC2RA2 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC2RA3 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | EC1, EC3 & their alternatives $ ightarrow$ jobs created in Bradford likely to satisfy local requirements |
| | | EC2RA4 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC3 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | H | EC4 \rightarrow new economic development in accordance with settlement hierarchy \rightarrow creation of new employment |
| | | EC3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | opportunities of an appropriate type in all settlements including smaller & more rural |
| | | EC3RA2 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC3RA3 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EC4 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC4RA1 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA2 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| 10. Бастани | | EC4RA3 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| 19. Economy | | EC1 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | H | 4 |
| | | EC1RA1 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | | | All policies \rightarrow likely to contribute to significant & regenerative economic growth in Bradford \rightarrow likely increase in |
| | | EC1RA2 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L M | local employment rate, average earnings, number of new start-ups, number of visitors to the District & level of |
| | | EC2 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | | local spend. |
| | | EC2RA1 EC2RA2 | ++ | Bradford district Bradford district | T/R T/R | LT LT | L | |
| | | | ++ | | | | L | EC4 \rightarrow new economic development in accordance with settlement hierarchy \rightarrow creation of new employment |
| | | EC2RA3 | ++ | Bradford district Bradford district | T/R | LT LT | M | opportunities of an appropriate type in all settlements including smaller & more rural $ ightarrow$ improved accessibility to |
| | | EC2RA4 EC3 | ++ | | T/R T/R | LT | | jobs for people throughout Bradford. |
| | | | ++ | Bradford district n/a | | | | |
| | | EC3RA1 EC3RA2 | ++ | | n/a T/R | n/a | n/a M | Recommendations: None. |
| | | | | Bradford district | _ | | | 4 |
| | | EC3RA3 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | 1 |

| SA Objective | Baseline | Policy or | Effort | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Droh | Summary of effects |
|--------------|----------|---------------------------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| SA Objective | trend | RÁ | LIIECI | Geographical extern | I/R | | FIUD. | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
| | | EC4 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EC4RA1 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EC4RA2 +++ Bradford district T/R LT L | | | | | | |
| | | EC4RA3 | ++ | Bradford district | T/R | LT | M | |

Summary of, and assumptions in the assessment of, Thematic Policies (TR1 – TR7) Transport

Policy TR1: Strategic Transport Delivery is under review. The policy would seek to support a series of strategic improvements with the aim of improving existing highways, public transport, walking and cycling networks. The transport investment and management priorities are walking and cycling, heavy rail, public transport, communications, highways and Strategic Transport Delivery. The Strategic Transport Delivery would involve supporting transport plans which improve management and maintenance of, enhance, and/or result in further investment in new or existing transport infrastructure that has the potential to support regeneration or the use of sustainable travel options. Where new or existing transport infrastructure does not have the potential to support regeneration or the use of sustainable travel plan which aim to maintain, improve and/or invest in it. The policy would be highly likely to contribute to the development of a more integrated transport network and support the District's transition towards a lower-emission and more sustainable transport system. The policy's focus on enhancing and maintaining existing transport infrastructure would be an efficient use of Bradford's resources.

- TR1 Reasonable Alternative 1 (TR1RA1): Update the policy but consider a less extensive range of changes. This would not deliver a comprehensive approach to strategic transport project delivery. Impacts likely to be similar but of lower magnitude and certainty.
- TR1 Reasonable Alternative 2 (TR1RA2): Break the policy approach into a series of smaller topics or themes. This could increase the complexity of the plan.
- TR1 Reasonable Alternative 3 (TR1RA3): Reference projects in a table outside the policy in the reasoned justification rather than linked to transport topics within the policy. This could cause uncertainty over the policy detail.

Policy TR2: Transport and Environment is under review. The policy sets out the approach to managing the interdependence of transport and the environment, ensuring that transport growth must not come at the expense of built, natural or historic environments, that transport projects must safeguard current and future quality of life, and that infrastructure must be planned in context of land-use impacts. The construction, operation and maintenance of transport schemes should manage the full range of environmental considerations and take opportunities to improve outcomes for the environment. The policy is likely to mitigate against the adverse impacts transport development can have on the environment, as well as providing a benefit to human physical and mental well-being and safety.

- TR2 Reasonable Alternative 1 (TR2RA1): Integrate policy focus within other transport or environment policies. The interdependence of transport and the environment would be less clear.

Policy TR3: Transport and Development is a new policy. The policy ensures the promotion of sustainable travel in new developments, requiring developments to be in accordance with accessibility standards, to be inclusive, and to encourage and maximise the use of both public and active modes of transport. The policy requires that developments should support enhancement to cycling and walking networks as a priority, followed by public transport networks, and facilitate sites and routes for proposed transport improvement schemes. The policy is highly likely to help facilitate the development of an improved transport network which is more integrated and sustainable, helping to lower emissions while also improving human physical health, safety and quality of life.

- TR3 Reasonable Alternative 1 (TR3RA1): Integration of the policy focus within other transport policies or within more generic development management policy. Would not provide a single point of reference for application or development related considerations.

Policy TR4: Transport and Tourism is not under review, as the policy is proposed to be carried forward in the current form. The policy would seek to ensure sustainable access to tourist destinations, heritage and cultural assets and leisure uses, and ensure that they are not adversely affected by the impact of transport. The policy also supports the development of 'transport-based' leisure attractions such as heritage railways, cycle paths and walking trails. New attractions would be required to be located in accordance with accessibility standards. The policy would be likely to contribute towards a more integrated transport network in Bradford and to help reduce congestion and pollution by enabling tourists and visitors to opt for more efficient and sustainable transport modes. TR4 would also help to enhance the recreational, cultural and leisure offering in the District and this would be likely to benefit local people and the local economy.

Policy TR5: Parking Policy is under review. The Council's preferred approach would seek to manage car parking to better control travel demand, heighten the quality of place, encourage the uptake of sustainable travel modes and cater for the needs of disabled people and other groups of society. This would primarily be achieved by reducing the long stay and temporary parking offering in town centres; using on-street parking controls in town centres; the delivery of park and ride facilities and infrastructure; improvement in quality of parking for short-stay uses; charges; and new developments taking a design-led approach to parking. New developments would be assessed against indicative parking standards and encouraged where they minimise the need for parking and actively manage down parking levels. TR2 would be likely to encourage local people to travel into town and city centres via walking, cycling or public transport and this would be likely to lead to a reduction in congestion and pollution. Higher rates of walking and cycling would also be beneficial to the mental and physical wellbeing of local people whilst also increasing footfall in central areas. The requirement for new parking facilities to be well integrated into to the design of proposals would help to establish safe and pleasant pedestrian environments.

- **TR5 Reasonable Alternative 1 (TR5RA1)**: Integration of policy focus within other transport policies or within more generic development management policy. Would not provide a single stand-alone reference for application or development related considerations.

Policy TR6: Freight is under review. The Council's preferred approach would encourage the development of a freight distribution system that makes the most efficient and effective use of all modes of transport, subject to environmental considerations. The policy sets out a range of statements under the categories of Avoid, Plan, Shift, Consolidate, Improve and Mitigate, which planning and development decisions as well as transport policies should aim to do. Achieving this would be likely to contribute to the transition towards a more integrated transport system in Bradford with reduced congestion and pollution, particularly due to the commitment to 'support measures to reduce the adverse impact of freight movements on air quality', reduce freight movements and use low or zero carbon emission vehicles. However, the policy would 'encourage the development of sites for new secure HGV parking facilities' and provide 'appropriate levels of freight parking within new developments', and this would be likely to result in a net increase in HGV movements in Bradford as well as any congestion or pollution associated with this. TR6 would seek to improve security at HGV park facilities and would thus contribute towards improving safety and security for people and property. The policy would also be likely to improve employment and investment opportunities in the freight industry in Bradford.

- TR6 Reasonable Alternative 1 (TR6RA1): Update the policy but include a narrow range of policy considerations or reduced range of changes. This would present a less focused approach to the policy topic.

Policy TR7: Aircraft Safety is under review. The policy would prevent development that creates a hazard to the safe operation of aircraft, aerodromes or aircraft navigation facilities, thereby facilitating continued safe operation of the airport. For new development within the safeguarded areas, the Council will consider height and design; potential hazard to birds; and likely impact on air traffic control methods or systems and aircraft movements. It is unclear if this would lead to an increase in flight operations at the airport, but the impact of airport and flight operations on local GHG emissions and air quality would be likely to continue.

- TR7 Reasonable Alternative 1 (TR7RA1): Do not update the policy and include reference to Part A of the policy only, with further planning application considerations within the reasoned justification to the policy. The inclusion of planning applications considerations outside of the policy would not provide sufficient weighting.

| SA Objective | Baseline Policy trend RA | | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| 1. Energy & GHGs | TR | 1 | + | Bradford District | T/I | LT | Μ | TR1, TR2, TR3, TR4 and TR5 \rightarrow enhanced public and sustainable transport routes \rightarrow reduced GHGs. |
| | TR1F | A1 | + | Bradford District | T/I | LT | L | |
| | TR1F | A2 | + | Bradford District | T/I | LT | L | TR1 \rightarrow reduced travel need through improvements to communications infrastructure \rightarrow reduced GHG emissions |
| | TR1F | | + | Bradford District | T/I | LT | L | from transport. |
| | TR | _ | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | TR2F | | + | Bradford District | T/I | LT | L | TR6 \rightarrow increased freight activity \rightarrow increase GHG emissions \rightarrow mitigated to some extent by more efficient and |
| | TR | _ | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | sustainable freight options as well as air quality protection measures. |
| | TR3F | | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR7 \rightarrow safeguards the operation of the airport \rightarrow continued GHG emissions associated with air travel. |
| | TR | | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | TR | _ | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | TR5F | _ | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR1RA1, TR1RA2, TR1RA3, TR2RA1, TR3RA1 & TR5RA1 → similar impacts to preferred options but likely of |
| | TR | - | - | Bradford District | T/I | LT | L | lower magnitude and/or with less probability. |
| | TR6F | | - | Bradford District | T/I | LT | L | |
| | TR | 7 | - | Bradford District | T/I | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | TR7F | A1 | - | Bradford District | T/I | LT | L | |
| 2. Waste | TR | 1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | TR1F | A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | TR1F | A2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | TR1F | | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | TR | 2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | TR1, TR1RA1, TR1RA2, TR1RA3 \rightarrow prioritise the enhancement of existing infrastructure before developing new |
| | TR2F | - | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | infrastructure \rightarrow makes efficient use of resources \rightarrow reduces waste. TR1 likely to have impacts of greater |
| | TR | 3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | magnitude and certainty. |
| | TR3F | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | TR | 4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | TR3, TR4, TR5, TR6, TR7 and their alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impact. |
| | TR | 5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | TR5F | A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | Recommendations: None. |
| | TR | 6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | TR6F | RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | TR | 7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | TR7F | A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 3. Land & buildings | TR | _ | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | TR1, TR1A1, TR2RA2, TR1RA3 \rightarrow prioritise the enhancement of existing infrastructure before developing new |
| | TR1F | | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | infrastructure → efficient use of existing buildings and infrastructure. TR1 likely to have impacts of greater |
| | TR1F | | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | magnitude and certainty. |
| | TR1F | _ | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | TR | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | TR2F | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | TR2, TR3, TR4, TR5, TR6, TR8 and their alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | TR | 3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|--------------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | | TR3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 4. Climate change | | TR1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| vulnerability | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR1, TR2, TR3, TR4 and TR5 & alternatives \rightarrow enhanced public and sustainable transport \rightarrow reduction in the |
| | | TR1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | need for road building \rightarrow help to prevent increase in built development and the potential for more active travel |
| | | TR2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | routes/GI \rightarrow aid climate change adaptation |
| | | TR2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | TR2 & TR2RA1 \rightarrow developments must take into account environmental considerations \rightarrow may mean |
| | | TR3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | development on greenfield or ecological land is avoided where possible + impacts of development are mitigated. |
| | | TR4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR2RA1 likely to have impact of a lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR6, TR7 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impact |
| | | TR6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 5. Water resources | | TR1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | TR1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR1, TR2, TR3, TR4 and TR5 & alternatives \rightarrow enhanced public and sustainable transport \rightarrow reduction in the |
| | | TR2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | need for road building $ ightarrow$ help to prevent increase in built development and the potential for more active travel |
| | | TR3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | routes/GI $ ightarrow$ may help to manage flood risks |
| | | TR3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | TR4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | TR6, TR7 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impact |
| | | TR5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | TR6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR7 TR7RA1 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | | - | | n/a | n/a | | |
| 6 Diadivarsity | | TR1 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a | n/a | | TR1, TR3, TR5, TR7 & their alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| 6. Biodiversity & geodiversity | | TR1RA1 | - | | n/a | n/a | H | |
| geodiversity | | TR1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | TR2 & TR2RA1 \rightarrow developments must take into account environmental considerations \rightarrow may mean development on greenfield or ecological land is avoided where possible + impacts of development are mitigated. |
| | | TR1RA3 | 0 | n/a Bradfard District | n/a | n/a | | TR2RA1 likely to have impact of a lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | | | I NZINA E IIKEIY to nave impact of a lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

| SA Objective | Baseline | Policy or | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects |
|----------------------|----------|-----------|--------|---------------------|-----|-------|-------|--|
| | trend | RA | LIICOL | Ocographical extent | I/R | TITIC | 1100. | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
| | | TR3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | TR4 \rightarrow increased visitor numbers at biodiversity sites e.g. South Pennine Moors \rightarrow ecotourism opportunities & |
| | | TR3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | investment for protection/enhancement of sites (positive) vs. increase in disturbances of habitats (negative). |
| | | TR4 | +/- | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | |
| | | TR5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | TR6 & TR6RA1 \rightarrow increased freight activity \rightarrow increased atmospheric nitrogen deposition \rightarrow potential impacts on |
| | | TR5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | nitrogen sensitive habitats $ ightarrow$ mitigated to some extent by focus on using low or carbon neutral vehicles. |
| | | TR6 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | TDC & TDCDA1 Nonviteight infractructure Nontential loss of graphiald or disruption to coolegical connectivity |
| | | TR6RA1 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | TR6 & TR6RA1 \rightarrow new freight infrastructure \rightarrow potential loss of greenfield or disruption to ecological connectivity. |
| | | TR7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| 7. Landscape & | | TR1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| townscape | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR5 \rightarrow design led approach to integrating parking developments \rightarrow improve townscape. |
| | | TR1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR1, TR2, TR3, TR4, TR5 & their alternatives \rightarrow reduce congestion \rightarrow reduced impacts on townscape character. |
| | | TR2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Impacts of alternatives likely to be of a lesser magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | TR2 \rightarrow protection of built, natural and historic environments \rightarrow maintains an attractive and pleasant townscape + |
| | | TR3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | potential to maintain greenfield landscape. TR2RA1 to have a similar impact but lesser magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR4 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | potential to maintain greenneid landscape. TRZRAT to have a similar impact but lesser magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | TR4, TR6, & TR6RA1 \rightarrow potential for new transport infrastructure in rural areas \rightarrow risk of negative impact on local |
| | | TR5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | andscape and townscape character. |
| | | TR6 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | TR6RA1 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 8. Cultural heritage | | TR1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR1, TR2, TR3, TR4, TR5 & their alternatives \rightarrow enhanced sustainable transport options \rightarrow reduced congestion |
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | \rightarrow enhanced setting of Conservation Areas. Impacts of alternatives likely to be of lesser magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR2 \rightarrow protection of built, natural and historic environments \rightarrow cultural heritage assets are protected and |
| | | TR2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | enhanced. TR2RA1 to have a similar impact but lesser magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | TR5 & TR5RA1 $ ightarrow$ design led approach to parking developments $ ightarrow$ improved street scene $ ightarrow$ enhanced setting of |
| | | TR3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | heritage assets. |
| | | TR4 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | - |
| | | TR5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | TR4 → improved accessibility of visitor attractions including heritage assets + development and maintenance of |
| | | TR5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | attractions, such as heritage railways \rightarrow protected and enhanced heritage assets and local people more able to |
| | | TR6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | access and appreciate them. |
| | | TR6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | - |
| | | TR7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None |
| 0. 41 | | TR7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 9. Air quality | | TR1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | TR1, TR2, TR3, TR4, TR5 & their alternatives \rightarrow enhanced sustainable transport routes \rightarrow reduced vehicular |
| | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | pollution. Alternatives to have similar impacts but of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TD4.8 alternatives. Nandurand travel and due to improve the survey of a first state of the state |
| | | TR1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR1 & alternatives \rightarrow reduced travel need due to improved communications + reduced travel times due to |
| | | TR2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | increased efficiency \rightarrow reduced pollution from transport. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|-----------|-------|---|
| | | TR2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | TR6 \rightarrow increased freight activity \rightarrow increased vehicular pollution \rightarrow mitigated to some extent by more efficient and |
| | | TR3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | sustainable freight options as well as air quality protection measures. |
| | | TR4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | TR5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | TR8 \rightarrow safeguards the operation of the airport \rightarrow continued air pollution associated with airport & flights. |
| | | TR5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR6 | - | Bradford District | T/I | LT | L | Recommendations: None |
| | | TR6RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/I | LT | L | |
| | | TR7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | TR7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | |
| | | TR1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| 10. Transport | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Each Transport policy \rightarrow integrated and more efficient and sustainable transport network. |
| | | TR1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | TR1, TR2, TR3, TR4, TR5 & alternatives → encourage sustainable and/or active transport + fewer, shorter and |
| | | TR2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | increasingly efficient movements $ ightarrow$ lower-emission transport system. Alternatives likely to have a similar impact |
| | | TR3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | of lesser magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR4 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | TR6 \rightarrow ensures the most efficient modes for freight transport are used + encourages a reduction of freight |
| | | TR5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | movements by surface transport \rightarrow encourages rail freight \rightarrow reduces congestion and demand of HGV. |
| | | TR5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | TR6 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | TR7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | |
| | | TR7RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 11. Housing | | TR1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | _ |
| | | TR1RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | No discernible impacts from any of the Transport policies or their alternatives. |
| | | TR3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR7 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 10 Denge of ecoresity | • | TR7RA1 | 0 | | n/a | n/a LT | | |
| 12. Range of accessibl | e | TR1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | | M | TR1, TR2, TR3, TR4, TR5 & alternatives \rightarrow more efficient and integrated transport system \rightarrow enhanced |
| services | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | accessibility of services. Alternatives to have similar impacts of lesser magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | - |
| | | TR1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------------|-------|---|
| | uenu | TR2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | TR6, TR6RA1. TR7 & TR7RA1 → no discernible impacts |
| | | TR2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | TR3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR3RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | TR4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | TR5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | TR5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 13. Social Cohesion | | TR1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | TR2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | TR2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR1, TR2, TR3, TR4, TR5 & alternatives \rightarrow enhanced walking and cycling options \rightarrow facilitates social interactions + more permeable neighbourhoods + reduced risk of isolation. Alternatives to have similar impacts |
| | | TR3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | of lesser magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | or resser magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | TR5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | TR6, TR6RA1. TR7 & TR7RA1 → no discernible impacts. |
| | | TR5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | no, norval na a nana i no discrimble impacts. |
| | | TR6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | TR1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | TR1, TR2, TR3, TR4, TR5 & alternatives \rightarrow more efficient and integrated transport system \rightarrow enhanced |
| | | TR2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | accessibility of leisure & cultural areas. Alternatives to have similar impacts of lesser magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | TR3RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR4 \rightarrow sustainable access to + creation of tourist destinations and leisure spaces \rightarrow enhanced access to |
| | | TR4 | | Bradford District | T/R | | M | leisure & culture areas. |
| | | TR5 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | | M | |
| | | TR5RA1 | + + | Bradford District | T/R | | L | TR6, TR6RA1. TR7 & TR7RA1 \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | | TR5RAT | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR7RA1 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | H | |
| 15. Safe & secure | | TR1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| 10. Sale & Secure | | | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | IVI | TR1 & alternatives \rightarrow improvements to infrastructure + regeneration \rightarrow safe infrastructure for travelling |
| | | TR1RA1 | + | | T/R | | | |
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | I/K | LT | | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | urona | TR1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | TR1, TR2, TR3 and TR4 & alternatives \rightarrow enhanced walking and cycling options \rightarrow designated areas improve |
| | | TR2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | safety for walkers and cyclists + increased surveillance. |
| | | TR2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 1 | |
| | | TR3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | TR5, TR6 & alternatives → parking development specifically designed to ensure safety and security for people |
| | | TR3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | and property. |
| | | TR4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | TR5 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | TR7 & alternatives \rightarrow prevents development that would cause a hazard to aircraft \rightarrow ensures safe operation of |
| | | TR5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | aircraft. |
| | | TR6 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | TR6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Alternatives \rightarrow similar impacts to preferred options but likely of lower magnitude with less probability. |
| | | TR7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR7RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| 16. Health | | TR1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR1, TR3, TR4 & alternatives → enhanced active travel options + rates + better access to culture and leisure |
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | facilities $ ightarrow$ benefits to mental and physical wellbeing. Alternatives to have impacts of lesser magnitude and |
| | | TR1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | certainty. |
| | | TR2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | TR2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR2 & alternatives \rightarrow enhanced environment + focus on active travel \rightarrow benefits to mental and physical |
| | | TR3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | wellbeing. TR2RA1 to have impacts of lesser magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR5, TR7 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | TR4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | 1K3, 1K7 & alternatives 7 to discernible impacts. |
| | | TR5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | TR6 & TR6RA1 \rightarrow increased freight activity \rightarrow increased particulate matter pollution \rightarrow adverse impacts on |
| | | TR5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | human health, mitigated to some extent by focus on sustainable options and inclusion of air quality protection |
| | | TR6 | - | Bradford District | T/I | LT | M | measures. TR6RA1 impacts similar but of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR6RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/I | LT | L | |
| | | TR7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 17. Education | | TR1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR1, TR2, TR3 & alternatives \rightarrow enhanced walking, cycling and public transport options \rightarrow more sustainable |
| | | TR2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | access to education facilities. Alternatives impacts similar but of lower magnitude and certainty. |
| | | TR2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | TR1, TR3 & alternatives \rightarrow development of integrated transport system \rightarrow improves connectivity to/from isolated |
| | | TR3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | areas \rightarrow improves access to education facilities. Alternatives impacts similar but of lower magnitude and |
| | | TR4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | certainty. |
| | | TR5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | TR7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 18. Employment | | TR1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 1 | TR1, TR2, TR3, TR4, TR5 & alternatives \rightarrow enhanced walking, cycling and public transport options \rightarrow more |
| | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | sustainable access to employment facilities for all people |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|--|------------|----------|-------|---|
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR6 & TR6RA1 \rightarrow development of Bradford's freight industry \rightarrow increased employment opportunities and growing |
| | | TR2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | revenues in the freight sector. |
| | | TR2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | TR7 & TR7RA1 \rightarrow safeguards the operation of Leeds-Bradford airport \rightarrow continued economic viability of the |
| | | TR3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | airport. |
| | | TR4 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | Recommendations: None. |
| | | TR5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations. None. |
| | | TR5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR6 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | TR6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | TR7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | TR7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 19. Economy | | TR1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | TR1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR1, TR2, TR3, TR4, TR5 & alternatives \rightarrow more efficient and sustainable access into town and city centres \rightarrow |
| | | TR1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | increased footfall and enhanced viability of central areas. |
| | | TR1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | TD4. Nimprovide economic to tourist sites a devisionment of transport board tourist facilities. Ningrouped factfall |
| | | TR2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | TR4 \rightarrow improves access to tourist sites + development of transport-based tourist facilities \rightarrow increased footfall at tourist attractions \rightarrow increased revenue from tourism sector. |
| | | TR2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | at tourist attractions 7 increased revenue norm tourism sector. |
| | | TR3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | TR5 & TR5RA1 \rightarrow replacement of long-stay parking with short-stay and enhance park & ride facilities \rightarrow more |
| | | TR3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | permeable town and city centres \rightarrow increased footfall and more viable centres. |
| | | TR4 TR5 | ++ | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT LT | IVI | |
| | | TR5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | | | TR6 & TR6RA1 → development of Bradford's freight industry → increased employment opportunities and |
| | | TR6 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | | | growing revenues in the freight sector. |
| | | TR6RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | TR7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | | | TR7 & TR7RA1 \rightarrow safeguards the operation of Leeds-Bradford airport \rightarrow continued economic viability of the |
| | | | Ť | | 1/1 | | | airport. |
| | | TR7RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |

Summary of, and assumptions in the assessment of, Thematic Policies (HO1 - HO10) Planning for Homes

Policy HO1 Housing Delivery, Strategic Sites and Managing Growth is under review. The preferred Option would seek to ensure that the required housing is carefully managed and co-ordinated with infrastructure provisions. Housing will be developed on a range of sites, including a variety of locations, types and sizes in order to best meet the demands of the District. The Council will aim to work with key stakeholders and proactively monitor development activity trends in order to support housing delivery plans. The release of land within the Local Plan will be managed and phased in order to deliver housing growth in a sustainable way, while prioritising urban regeneration. Site allocations will reflect the overall spatial strategy of the Local Plan and will aim to ensure there is a wide choice of housing and affordable housing options in higher value areas of the district. The Council will maintain a 5 year supply of sites in order to support future housing delivery.

- HO1 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO1RA1) Delete the policy as there is no specific requirement in the NPPF to include such a policy or to phase sites there is however still a need to ensure that sites are delivered in a sustainable manner and housing delivery is a key Government and Council goal. Therefore the policy must be included.
- HO2 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO1RA2) Phase the release of site for development, with the second phase held until the second half of the plan period. due to the reduced scale of planned growth it is considered less essential for such an approach. While retention of such a policy may have the benefit of supporting the delivery of brownfield land this would be by no means certain to happen given the nature of the housing market, low land values and marginal viability in many such cases.

Policy HO2 Housing Density is under review. Bradford faces a significant challenge in the identification and delivery of sufficient number of homes to meet demand. Policy HO2 seeks to protect land supply from inefficient scheme layouts that provide only a limited form of development and do not provide for the needs of the local community. Developments should aim to achieve a density of 35 dwellings per hectare, with developments in urban areas or with good transport links expected to achieve a density of 50 dwellings per hectare.

- HO2 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO2RA1) would delete the policy. Will leave a gap in the Plan in terms of other development potential which could come forwad from further recycling or windfall site including small infill sites (less than 0.2ha)
- HO2 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO2RA2) would rewrite the policy with minimum density requirements only. Would provide insufficient flexibility in the plan in relation to site and local constraints
- HO3 Reasonable Alternative 3 (HO2RA3) would specify which locations in the District are required to provide high density housing. Would make the policy long and overly complicated.

Policy HO3 Urban Housing is a new policy. The policy aims to ensure high density housing is high quality, and integrates into the existing urban fabric, and particularly support housing developments in City and Town Centre locations. The policy aims to encourage contemporary design of a range of housing schemes, in order to support the needs of the population and connect residents through provision of facilities and sustainable transport.

- HO3 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO3RA1) would include a specific policy on urban housing schemes.
- HO3 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO3RA2) would not include a specific housing policy on urban housing schemes and would rely on other Local Plan policies to achieve high quality housing.

Policy HO4 Housing Mix – Policy HO4 is under review. The policy is designed to ensure new residential developments provide for a range of housing types to meet the needs of the District's growing population. All developments of more than 10 homes will be expected to incorporate a mix of housing types, depending on market demand and evidence of local housing need. The policy aims to support the provision of specialist housing for older people and those with disabilities, in line with Policies HO7 and HO8.

- HO4 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO4RA1) would set out specific targets for the housing mix and types, thereby ensuring a range of housing and specialist facilities for those that need it.
- HO4 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO4RA2) would delete the policy. This may result in a poor mix of housing unsuitable for the demands of the region.

Policy HO5 Affordable Housing – Policy HO5 is under review. The policy aims to provide affordable housing and sets out targets for the affordable housing provision on brownfield and greenfield sites. It also sets out the approach to determining affordable housing tenure mix as well as rural affordable housing.

- HO5 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO5RA1) would require district wide affordable housing targets
- HO5 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO5RA2) would require housing targets below the current policy targets and may not result in enough affordable housing for the population.
- HO5 Reasonable Alternative 3 (HO5RA3) is an alternative approach to the tenure type and split of the affordable housing required.

Policy HO6 Self Build- Policy HO6 is a new policy. It requires developers to supply at least 5% of plots in developments over 100 homes to be for sale for self-builders. The plots would be required to be on sale and marketed appropriately and may be built on by the developer if no buyer is found.

- HO6 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO6RA1) - A reasonable alternative for this policy would integrate it within another policy but may not be as well developed if integrated.

Policy HO7 Specialist Housing and Accommodation – Policy HO7 is a new policy. It seeks to ensure the delivery of specialist housing for older people, vulnerable people and people with disabilities across the district. Developments designed to meet these accommodation needs will be supported where they meet an identified need, are well designed with supporting infrastructure to meet particular needs, and are in accessible locations within town centres or close to public transport and services.

- HO7 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO7RA1) would include the policy with specific requirements for settlements and sub areas
- HO7 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO7RA2) would delete the policy and rely on other Local Plan policies to support delivery of specialist housing.

Policy HO8 Sites for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople – Policy HO8 is under review. The policy sets out the minimum pitches required for Gypsy and Traveller communities and ensures their provision on suitable sites throughout the District. Sites should be accessible to services and will meet the needs of the community.

- HO8 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO8RA1) would raise the minimum number of pitches from 26 to the full cultural need.

Policy HO9 Housing Standards – This policy is under review and seeks to ensure new housing developments are of high quality, and ensure genuine choice for the residents of the district. All new homes should, as a minimum, meet the Nationally Described Space Standards (NDSS). Houses should be accessible for wheelchair users and should provide private outdoor spaces where possible.

- HO9 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO9RA1) would maintain the current approach and not set out detailed requirements for accessibility and technical standards.
- HO9 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO9RA2) would not require technical standards for housing in the District

Policy HO10 – Overcrowding and Empty Homes – This policy is not under review. The policy sets out the Council's aims to work with stakeholders to improve the quality of existing housing stock, in particular seeking to address the problems of overcrowding and the proportion of empty homes, with the aid of the Council's Empty Homes Action Plan.

- HO10 Reasonable Alternative 1 (HO10RA1) would integrate the policy within others in the Local Plan.
- HO10 Reasonable Alternative 2 (HO10RA2) would not include a specific policy on overcrowding and empty homes and would rely on the Council's housing strategy only.

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|--|------------|----------|---|--|
| 1. Energy & GHGs | | HO1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO1RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO2 \rightarrow encourage higher density housing \rightarrow energy saving benefits. |
| | | HO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | ······································ |
| | | HO2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO3 & alternatives \rightarrow seek to locate sites with accessibility to services, facilities and public transport + support |
| | | HO2RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | site opportunities for decentralised and renewable/ low carbon energies \rightarrow reduce need for car travel + increase |
| | | HO3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | renewable energy generation \rightarrow reduce residential energy consumption + reduce potential GHG emissions. |
| | | HO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO3RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO9 \rightarrow encouraging housing quality \rightarrow energy efficient improvements |
| | | HO4 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO4RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO10 →improving quality of housing stock →energy efficiency |
| | | HO4RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO5 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT | M | |
| | | HO5RA1 HO5RA2 | 0 | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R | LT LT | M | HO1 \rightarrow support the delivery of housing growth \rightarrow increase in residential energy consumption + increase in residents' |
| | | HO5RA3 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | | | use of cars \rightarrow increase in GHG emissions. |
| | | HO6 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO6RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO7 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO7RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | - | |
| | | HO7RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

| SA Objective | Baseline Policy or | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects |
|---------------------|--------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | trend RA HO9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement Recommendations: Encourage use of renewables at domestic level, ensure good sustainable and active travel |
| | HO9RAZ HO10 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | links. |
| | HO10RA1 | + + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | HO10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | | | |
| 2. Waste | HO1 | - T | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| Z. Waste | HO1RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | HO1RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | HO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | HO2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | HO2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | HO2RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | HO3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | HO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO1 \rightarrow support the delivery of housing growth \rightarrow significant construction + increase in residents \rightarrow increase in |
| | HO3RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | | | construction & residential waste. |
| | HO4 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | 1 |
| | HO4RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Policies HO2+HO3 $ ightarrow$ higher density housing $ ightarrow$ increased efficiency of material use |
| | HO4RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | HO5 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO9 $ ightarrow$ ensure adequate provision of innovative waste collection systems $ ightarrow$ reduction in domestic waste sent to |
| | HO5RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | landfill |
| | HO5RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | HO5RA3 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | HO6 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | HO6RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | HO7 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | HO7RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | HO7RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | HO8 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Recommendations: Ensure provision of adequate recycling and waste management facilities for domestic and |
| | HO8RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | construction waste. Include waste management plans. |
| | HO9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | HO9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | HO9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1 |
| | HO10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1 |
| | HO10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1 |
| | HO10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 3. Land & buildings | HO1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO1, HO4 \rightarrow support the delivery of significant number of new homes \rightarrow significant quantity of land to |
| Ŭ | HO1RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | be developed on |
| | HO1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | HO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | HO2+HO3 \rightarrow increase in density of housing in urban areas \rightarrow less land to be developed on for more homes than |
| | HO2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | other policies. |
| | HO2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | HO2RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO6 $ ightarrow$ potential for development on greenfield sites and further loss of Green Belt land |
| | HO3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1 |
| | HO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO10 \rightarrow repurpose empty properties for housing \rightarrow reduce building on Green Belt and greenfield sites. HO10RA2 |
| | HO3RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | would not include a specific policy on this, thus would not see significant impact. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| | | HO4 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | HO4RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO4RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | HO5RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO5, HO7, HO8, HO9 \rightarrow no discernible impacts on SA Objective. |
| | | HO5RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA3 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: Reuse of PDL and existing housing stock where possible and minimising greenfield and |
| | | HO9RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | greenbelt release. |
| | | HO10 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 4. Climate change | | HO1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO6, HO7, HO8 \rightarrow support significant residential development \rightarrow likely loss of greenfield \rightarrow increase in |
| vulnerability | | HO1RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | impermeable surfaces could alter flood risk. |
| | | HO1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L L | HO2, HO2RA1, RA2, RA3 \rightarrow lower housing densities \rightarrow greater opportunity for SUDs and GI \rightarrow lower flood risk |
| | | HO2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO3 & alternatives \rightarrow higher density housing \rightarrow housing has lower footprint and lower area of impermeable surfaces |
| | | HO2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | - |
| | | HO2RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO10 →promote reuse of brownfield sites→prevent further loss of greenfield and natural flood protection |
| | | HO3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO4 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO4RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO4RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: Inclusion of GI, SUDs and flood storage into developments and require development |
| | | HO5 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | proposals to include measure to mitigate effects of and contribution to climate change. |
| | | HO5RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA3 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

| SA Objective | Baselin trend | e Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| | | HO8RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 5. Water resources | | HO1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All options and alternatives $ ightarrow$ significant residential development $ ightarrow$ increase in residential water consumption. |
| | | HO1RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All options and alternatives \rightarrow significant residential development \rightarrow risk of pollution or contamination of natural |
| | | HO2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | waterbodies, particularly due to runoff, during construction & operation. |
| | | HO2RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2RA3 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO2+HO3 & alternatives increased housing density and urban housing \rightarrow increased water efficiency |
| | | HO3RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO9 \rightarrow encouraging high standards of housing \rightarrow increasing water efficiency of residential properties. |
| | | HO4 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO4RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO4RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA3 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: Impacts likely to be mitigated by SP9. Inclusion of water use minimisation tools could be |
| | | HO7RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | installed into new homes. |
| | | HO7RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 6. Biodiversity & | | HO1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All options and alternatives \rightarrow support significant residential development \rightarrow potential increase in public access |
| geodiversity | | HO1RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | associated disturbances at sensitive habitats + potential loss of greenfield → direct loss of wildlife & supporting |
| | | HO1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | habitat + impacts on ecological connectivity. |
| | | HO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | The HRA screening process identified that likely significant effects are triggered in relation to HO1 due to the overall |
| | | HO2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | housing numbers and risks relating to urban effects, impacts to supporting habitat, recreation and air quality. |
| | | HO2RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

| SA Objective | Baseline | | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects |
|----------------|----------|---------|--------|---------------------|-----|------|-------|---|
| | trend | RA | | | I/R | | | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
| | | HO3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO3 & alternatives \rightarrow focus on urban sites and brownfield \rightarrow less impact on biodiversity and geodiversity than other |
| | | HO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | policies \rightarrow may include provisions for green spaces on previously developed land. |
| | | HO3RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | 102 % 102 Σ isolude apparturbition for CL Σ and to reduce impact upon biodiversity L increase application |
| | | HO4 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO2 & HO3 \rightarrow include opportunities for GI \rightarrow seek to reduce impact upon biodiversity + increase ecological connectivity. Potential less space for GI opportunities to reduce significance of effects. |
| | | HO4RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO4RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA3 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | HO8RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | HO9 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: Policies should seek to incorporate greenspaces into housing and community design (HO3, |
| | | HO10 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EN1, SP9, CO1) |
| | | HO10RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 7. Landscape & | | HO1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All policies & alternatives \rightarrow delivery of new housing \rightarrow positive or negative changes to local and District character |
| townscape | | HO1RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | depending on location and type. |
| | | HO1RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO2, HO3 and all alternatives \rightarrow support higher density housing \rightarrow less land take up \rightarrow reduced impact on heritage setting and Conservation Areas. |
| | | HO2RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO2, HO3 and all alternatives \rightarrow support higher density housing \rightarrow less land take up \rightarrow increased vertical |
| | | HO2RA3 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | development→greater impact on settings. |
| | | HO3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO3+HO10 \rightarrow regeneration of empty housing + redevelopment of brownfield sites \rightarrow supporting enhancement to |
| | | HO3RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | townscape and town character |
| | | HO4 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Policies HO4- HO9 \rightarrow nature of impacts likely to be dependent on integration of GI, design of development and proximity to heritage assets. |
| | | HO4RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | provinity to heritage assets. |
| | | HO4RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

| SA Objective | Baselin trend | e Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|--|------------|----------|-------|---|
| | | HO5RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | HO5RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA3 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: Ensure provision of GI in all developments (CO1, EN1, DS1) |
| | | HO6 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 8. Cultural heritage | | HO1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All options and alternatives \rightarrow support significant residential development \rightarrow potential positive or negative impacts |
| | | HO1RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | on setting of heritage assets + historic areas, including Saltaire World Heritage Site. |
| | | HO1RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Policies HO4- HO9 \rightarrow nature of impacts likely to be dependent on integration of GI, design of development and |
| | | HO2 HO2RA1 | +/- +/- | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R | LT LT | L | proximity to heritage assets |
| | | HO2RA1 HO2RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO2, HO3 and all alternatives \rightarrow support higher density housing \rightarrow less land take up \rightarrow reduced impact on heritage |
| | | HO3 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | setting and Conservation Areas. |
| | | HO3RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO3RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO2, HO3 and all alternatives \rightarrow support higher density housing \rightarrow less land take up \rightarrow increased vertical |
| | | HO4 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | development \rightarrow greater impact on settings. |
| | | HO4RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1 |
| | | HO4RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1 |
| | | HO5 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA3 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | - |
| | | HO7RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | - |
| | | HO8 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1 |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | e Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|--|------------|----------|-------|---|
| | | HO9 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | | HO9RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 9. Air quality | | HO1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All options and alternatives \rightarrow significant residential development \rightarrow increase in residential energy consumption + |
| | | HO1RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | increase in residents' use of cars $ ightarrow$ increase in air pollution + potential to exacerbate existing AQMAs. |
| | | HO1RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All options and policies \rightarrow increase urban housing + density \rightarrow more residents with access to facilities and public |
| | | HO2RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | transport $ ightarrow$ reduced car usage and associated traffic congestion. |
| | | HO2RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2RA3 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | $HO7 \rightarrow$ seek to locate sites with accessibility to services, facilities, and public transport + support site opportunities |
| | | HO3 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | for decentralised and renewable/ low carbon energies \rightarrow reduce potential air pollution. |
| | | HO3RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO4 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | HO4RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO4RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: Ensure new housing sites have adequate sustainable transport links to reduce car usage. |
| | | HO5 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | (TR1 & TR2) |
| | | HO5RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO5RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO5RA3 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO6 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | _ |
| | | HO6RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | _ |
| | | HO7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | _ |
| | | HO7RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | | | _ |
| | | HO7RA2 | + +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | - |
| | | HO8 | | Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT | | - |
| | | HO8RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | - | LT | | - |
| | | HO9 HO9RA1 | +/- +/- | Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT LT | | 4 |
| | | HO9RA1 HO9RA2 | +/- | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R | LT | | 4 |
| | | HO9RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | | | - |
| | | HO10RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | - |
| | | HO10RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| 10. Transport | | HOTOKA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Policies HO1 – HO3 \rightarrow significant residential development \rightarrow potential net increase in total number of local people |
| ro. Hansport | | HO1RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | using cars for regular travel purposes \rightarrow potential negative impact on congestion. |
| | | HO1RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HOTKA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO2RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Policies HO1 – HO3 \rightarrow significant residential development \rightarrow increase in residents with access to sustainable |
| | | HO2RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | transport methods → increase in use of public transport + reduction on congestion or increased pressure on capacity |
| | | HO2RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | of public transport system & walking and cycling routes. |
| | | HO2RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | | | - |
| | | | +/- | Diauloiu District | 1/1 | | | 1 |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | e Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------------|-------|---|
| | | HO3RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO4 | 0 | n/at | n/a | n/a | L | Policies HO4 – HO10 & all alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impact on SA Objective. |
| | | HO4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | Folicies $HO4 - HO10$ was an alternatives \rightarrow ho discernible impact on SA Objective. |
| | | HO4RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | HO5 | 0 | n/at | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | HO5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | HO5RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | HO5RA3 | 0 | n/at | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | HO6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | HO6RA1 HO7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | HO7RA1 | 0 | n/at n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | | |
| | | HO7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | HO8 | 0 | n/at | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | HO8RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Recommendations: Encourage sustainable travel options and electric car charging points (TR1, TR2 and TR3). |
| | | HO9 | 0 | n/at | n/a | n/a | L | Develop Traffic Management Plan. To prevent increased congestion affecting developments. |
| | | HO9RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | HO9RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | HO10 | 0 | n/at | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | HO10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | HO10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| 11. Housing | | HO1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All options and alternatives $ ightarrow$ support, encourage and help to make more likely significant residential development |
| | | HO1RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | that satisfies housing needs across the District for Bradford's varied and growing population. |
| | | HO1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | HO1RA2 \rightarrow phasing of some sites to second half of plan period \rightarrow will ensure provision of housing, but may be too |
| | | HO2RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | slow to supply housing demand |
| | | HO2RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | HO4, HO4RA1 \rightarrow provision of sites with mixed housing types \rightarrow ensure suitability of housing for all residents |
| | | HO2RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | 104, 104RAT > provision of sites with mixed housing types > ensure suitability of housing for all residents |
| | | HO3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO5 all policy alternatives $ ightarrow$ provision of affordable housing $ ightarrow$ suitable housing for all residents |
| | | HO3RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | HO6 \rightarrow provision of CSB plots \rightarrow opportunity for housing to suit an individual's needs. |
| | | HO3RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | |
| | | HO4 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | HO7+HO9 \rightarrow ensure provision of housing for older and disabled populations and those with complex care needs. |
| | | HO4RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | | | $\square \square \square$ |
| | | HO4RA1 HO4RA2 | ++ | | | | | HO9 \rightarrow ensure housing is to a high standard and appropriate for needs |
| | | | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO8 & HO8RA1 \rightarrow provide pitches for travellers and travelling people \rightarrow ensure provision of housing for all |
| | | HO5 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | communities |
| | | HO5RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | HO5RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO10 $ ightarrow$ repurposing empty houses to new homes $ ightarrow$ ensuring maximum housing site capacity |
| | | HO5RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | |
| | | HO6RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------|-------------------|------------------|------------|--|------------|----------|-------|--|
| | | HO7 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | |
| | | HO7RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | HO8RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: None |
| | | HO9 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO10 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 12. Range of | | HO1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All options and alternatives \rightarrow significant residential development \rightarrow new residents able to access services |
| accessible | | HO1RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | and cultural assets in Bradford \rightarrow increase in demand could validate the provision of new services & assets. |
| services | | HO1RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT | | HO2+HO3 & alternatives \rightarrow provide more housing in urban areas \rightarrow greater number of people with easier access to services. |
| | | HO2RA1 HO2RA2 | + + | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R | LT LT | | |
| | | HO2RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | All options and alternatives \rightarrow significant residential development \rightarrow significant number of new homes \rightarrow growth in |
| | | HO3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | residents \rightarrow additional pressure potentially placed on the capacity of existing services. |
| | | HO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO7 & alternatives \rightarrow more facilities for older and vulnerable people \rightarrow greater accessibility of services |
| | | HO4 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1000 \$ 1000041 > provision of sites for two values community. Second constants according to the |
| | | HO4RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO8 & HO8RA1 \rightarrow provision of sites for travelling community \rightarrow ensure greater accessibility to services for the community. |
| | | HO4RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO9 \rightarrow provision of secure cycle storage + wheelchair access \rightarrow improve accessibility for all residents |
| | | HO5RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO5RA2 | +/- +/- | Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA3 HO6 | +/- | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R | | L | - |
| | | HO6RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO7RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L |] |
| | | HO8RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | - |
| | | HO9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|--|------------|----------|-----------|--|
| | | HO10 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | HO10RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | | | | | | | Recommendations: Prioritise urban sites with access to services and services with capacity for new users. |
| 13. Social Cohesion | | HO1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | All options and alternatives \rightarrow significant residential development \rightarrow potential risk of altering the balance or |
| | | HO1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | cohesion of local communities and creating new communities |
| | | HO1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO4, HO4RA1 \rightarrow mix of housing types in locations \rightarrow mix of communities \rightarrow increase in social cohesion |
| | | HO2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO5 all alternatives \rightarrow provision of affordable housing \rightarrow increased social cohesion + reduction of inequality |
| | | HO2RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO6, HO7, HO8 & HO8RA1 \rightarrow provision of housing for range of populations with range of needs \rightarrow reduces |
| | | HO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | isolation for affected populations |
| | | HO3RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO9 \rightarrow provision of secure cycle storage + wheelchair access \rightarrow improve accessibility for all residents |
| | | HO4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DO 7 provision of secure cycle storage + wheelchair access 7 improve accessibility for all residents |
| | | HO4RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO10 \rightarrow reduce proportion of empty houses \rightarrow fill neighbourhoods \rightarrow improve community |
| | | HO4RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO10RA2 \rightarrow no prioritisation of filling empty houses \rightarrow leaving communities empty |
| | | HO5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | _ |
| | | HO7RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | _ |
| | | HO7RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | - |
| | | HO8 HO8RA1 | + + | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT LT | | Recommendations: None. |
| | | HO8RA1 | + + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO10RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | HO1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | All options and alternatives → significant residential development in accessible areas + provision of housing for |
| | | HO1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | vulnerable populations \rightarrow new residents able to access culture and leisure facilities in Bradford \rightarrow increase in |
| | | HO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Γ <u></u> | demand could validate the provision of facilities + improve quality of life or increase demand on existing facilities. |
| | | HO2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1 |
| | | HO2RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1 |
| | | HO3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1 |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | e Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------------|---|--|
| | | HO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO4RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO4RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | | HO7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | _ | HO10RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 15. Safe & secure | | HO1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Policies HO2 – HO7, HO10 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impact. |
| | | HO1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO2 | 0 | n/at | n/a | n/a | | Policy HO1, HO9 & alternatives \rightarrow significant residential development \rightarrow provision of safe accommodation for local |
| | | HO2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | people and natural surveillance |
| | | HO2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | HO8 & HO8RA2 \rightarrow provision of sites for travelling communities \rightarrow safe accommodation for the community with |
| | | HO2RA3 | 0 | n/at | n/a | n/a | | access to utilities \rightarrow increased housing security. HO8RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no benefits. |
| | | HO3 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | HO3RA1 HO3RA2 | 0 | n/at | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | HO3RAZ | 0 | | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | HO4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | HO4RA1 | 0 | n/a n/at | n/a n/a | n/a | | |
| | | | | | | n/a | | |
| | | HO5 HO5RA1 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | | |
| | | HO5RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | HO5RA2 | 0 | n/at | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | HO6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | HO6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | HO7 | 0 | n/at | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | HO7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | HO7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | I IOTRAZ | 0 | ju/a | 11/d | n/d | | 1 |

| SA Objective | | e Policy or | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Prob | Summary of effects |
|---------------|-------|-------------|--------|--------------------------|-----|------|------|--|
| 0,100,000 | trend | RA | | | I/R | | | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
| | | HO8 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | HO9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | | HO9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | _ |
| | | HO10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | - |
| 16 Lleolth | | HO10RA2 | 0 | n/a Dradfard District | n/a | n/a | L | |
| 16. Health | | HO1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Policies HO1, HO4, HO5, & HO8 - HO10 & all alternatives \rightarrow increase housing provision in accessible |
| | | HO1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | locations + facilitate quality housing + proximity to jobs $ ightarrow$ increase access to health care $ ightarrow$ |
| | | HO2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L L | improvements to mental and physical health + strengthen communities. |
| | | HO2RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO2RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Policies HO2 + HO3 & alternatives $ ightarrow$ increased housing density $ ightarrow$ reduction in space for GI per |
| | | HO2RA3 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | person \rightarrow fewer benefits seen for mental health and access to activity space |
| | | HO3 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3RA2 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO7 & alternatives provision of housing of older and disabled people improved access to health |
| | | HO4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | facilities and professionals for those populations. HO7RA1 may achieve this more positively with |
| | | HO4RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | accurate targets for provision of housing. |
| | | HO4RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | HO5RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | HO6 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 17. Education | | HO1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Policies HO1 -HO6, HO9 & HO10 and alternatives \rightarrow significant residential development \rightarrow increased pressure on |
| | | HO1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | capacity of existing education facilities \rightarrow uncertain if growth of residential development would place the existing |
| | | HO1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | services under excessive pressure or if it would make the delivery of improved/new services more viable. |
| | | HO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1 |
| | | HO2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | I IOZINAZ | T | | 1/1 | | | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | uenu | HO2RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | All policies \rightarrow increase housing provision in accessible locations \rightarrow greater access to education for |
| | | HO3RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | more people |
| | | HO4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO4RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: Encourage provision of educational facilities (CO2) or transportation to local facilities (TR1, |
| | | HO4RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | TR2) |
| | | HO5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | HO7RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | HO7RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | HO8 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | HO8RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | HO9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 18. Employment | | HO1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Policies HO1 – HO8 & alternatives \rightarrow significant residential development \rightarrow significant number of new |
| | | HO1RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | homes $ ightarrow$ new residents with good access to Bradford's employment market + larger pool of potential employees for |
| | | HO1RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | local businesses to employ from. |
| | | HO2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | HO2RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO2RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | $407 \rightarrow \text{provision of agree facilities} \rightarrow \text{areation of isbe in agree agrees a supporting staff}$ |
| | | HO2RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | HO7 \rightarrow provision of care facilities \rightarrow creation of jobs in care sector + supporting staff |
| | | HO3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO3RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | - |
| | | HO3RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO9 + HO10 & alternatives \rightarrow No discernible impact |
| | | HO4 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | HO4RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | - |
| | | HO4RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | HO5RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | - |
| | | HO5RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | - |
| | | HO5RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: None |
| | | HO6 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | 4 |
| | | HO6RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | - |
| | | HO7 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | <u> </u> |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|--|------------|----------|-------|--|
| | | HO7RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | HO9RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | HO9RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | HO10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | HO10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | HO10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 19. Economy | | HO1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Policies HO1 - HO8 & alternatives \rightarrow support the delivery of housing growth \rightarrow additional homes and |
| | | HO1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | residents \rightarrow increase in local population of working-age \rightarrow boost to local businesses. + increased access to jobs for |
| | | HO1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | residents |
| | | HO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | 101 100 setupotives Severatives delivery of bounces growth Secretiveties of new horners Secret |
| | | HO2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO1 - HO8 & alternatives \rightarrow support the delivery of housing growth \rightarrow construction of new homes \rightarrow boost to housing market in Bradford \rightarrow major boost to local economy + employment. |
| | | HO2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO2RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO5 all alternatives \rightarrow provision of affordable housing \rightarrow more disposable income for residents \rightarrow boost to local |
| | | HO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | economy |
| | | HO3RA2 HO4 | + | Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT LT | | |
| | | HO4RA1 | + + | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | HO4RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO5 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | HO5RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | HO9, HO10 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impact |
| | | HO5RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | HO5RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | HO6 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | HO6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | HO7RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO7RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | | HO8 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO8RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | HO9 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | HO9RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | HO9RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | HO10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | HO10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | HO10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |

Summary of, and assumptions in, Planning for Place and Community CO1-CO3

Policy CO1 Protection and improvements in provision of Open Space and Recreation Facilities is under review. The policy seeks to ensure that land identified as open space is protected from development. These open spaces include allotments, cemeteries, green and blue corridors, outdoor sports facilities, parks and gardens and areas of water that offer sport and recreation opportunities. Residential developments will be required to provide new or improved open spaces, and this open space must meet the relevant standards and adequately serve the intended population. Where residential developments would contribute to recreational pressure upon the South Pennines Moor SPA and SAC, these effects will need to be mitigated through the provision of new recreational facilities.

- CO1 Reasonable Alternative 1 (CO1RA1) - This alternative would involve separating the policy components into a range of individual policies – possibly splitting them between open space protection and requirements. The policy as drafted presents a focused approach to the policy topic.

Policy CO2 Community Facilities - is under review. The policy confirms the Council's commitment to providing or enhancing community facilities. Proposals for new or enhanced facilities will be supported where they prioritise access by sustainable transport methods, are accessible for all members of society and are compatible with their surroundings. Any loss of community facilities will only be permitted when alternative provision is made or when sufficient evidence can be provided that proves the facilities are no longer needed. Education infrastructure will be allocated to support housing growth. The delivery of health infrastructure will be supported and incorporated into comprehensive development strategies.

- CO2 Reasonable Alternative 1 (CO2RA1) This policy would not be included, but national policy requires Local Plans to address community infrastructure.
- CO2 Reasonable Alternative 2 (CO2RA2) This alternative would separate the specific community infrastructure types into individual policies. This may result in more comprehensive coverage of the policy, but the Preferred Option provides quick and clear access to material.

Policy CO3 Health Impact Assessments is under review. The policy expects all major developments to positively design for creating healthy places. A screening assessment as a minimum will be required on major development sites, and where applicable a Health Impact Assessment (HIA) will be required as part of the planning application. Where the HIA indicates a negative impact on health, this must be mitigated. The policy requires applications for hot food takeaways to be accompanied by a HIA.

- CO3 Reasonable Alternative 1 (CO3RA1) This policy would set out specific dwelling and commercial threshold for health impact assessments, which are already partly included in the proposed policy.
- CO3 Reasonable Alternative 2 (CO3RA2) This proposed policy would exclude hot food takeaways.
- CO3 Reasonable Alternative 3 (CO3RA3) This policy would integrate the proposed policy within Policy SP15 but may not result in as beneficial outcomes.

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------------|-------|--|
| 1. Energy & GHGs | | CO1 | + | Bradford District | Т | LT | L | |
| | | CO1RA1 | + | Bradford District | Т | LT | L | CO1 \rightarrow provision of green spaces \rightarrow act as a carbon sink \rightarrow reduction in GHG volumes |
| | | CO2 | - | Bradford District | Т | LT | L | |
| | | CO2AR1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | CO2→ increased infrastructure development→ increased energy usage in the District. CO2RA1 would not include |
| | | CO2RA2 | - | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | a separate policy here. |
| | | CO3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | CO3 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | CO3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | CO3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | CO3RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 2. Waste | | CO1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | CO1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | CO2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | CO2 \rightarrow provision of education or health infrastructure \rightarrow increased production of waste and materials used |
| | | CO2AR1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | CO2RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | CO3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | CO1, CO3 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | | CO3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: CO1 could incorporate measures to include secondary materials or construction waste (if |
| | | CO3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | safe) into landscaping. |
| | | CO3RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 3. Land & buildings | | CO1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | CO1RA1 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a | n/a n/a | H | |
| | | CO2 CO2AR1 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a | n/a n/a | | |
| | | CO2RA2 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | H | All policies & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible alternatives. |
| | | CO2RA2 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | | Recommendations: None. |
| | | CO3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Recommendations. None. |
| | | CO3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | CO3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 4. Climate change | | CO3RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| vulnerability | | CO1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| - a | | CO2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | CO1 \rightarrow provision of open space and green infrastructure \rightarrow increased filtration time + areas for flood |
| | | CO2AR1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | water storage $ ightarrow$ reduced likelihood for flooding resulting from climate change |
| | | CO2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | CO3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | CO2, CO3 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | | CO3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | CO3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None. |
| | | CO3RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |

| 5. Water resources | CO1 + Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------|------------|---|---|
| J. Water resources | COTRAT + Bradford District | T/R | | | CO1& alternative \rightarrow provision of green spaces \rightarrow increased water filtration \rightarrow increased water quality |
| | CO2 - Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | - |
| | CO2AR1 - Bradford District | T/R | LT | | $-CO2 \rightarrow$ increased facilities \rightarrow increased strain on water resources |
| | CO2RA2 - Bradford District | T/R | LT | | - |
| | CO3 O n/a | n/a | n/a | H | $-CO3$ & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | CO3RA1 O n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | CO3RA2 O n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None. |
| | CO3RA3 O n/a | n/a | n/a | H | - |
| 6. Biodiversity & | CO1 ++ Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | CO1 & alternative \rightarrow provision of green, open spaces + protection of South Pennines \rightarrow improved |
| geodiversity | CO1RA1 ++ Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| geouversity | CO2 - n/a | n/a | n/a | H | ecological connectivity → improved biodiversity + geodiversity |
| | CO2AR1 - n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | CO2RA2 - n/a | n/a | n/a | H | -CO2 \rightarrow increased development \rightarrow increased land lost to urban development \rightarrow potential loss of habitat |
| | | | n/a | H | and reduction in ecological connectivity. |
| | CO3 O n/a CO3RA1 O n/a | n/a | | H | 4 |
| | CO3RA2 O n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | H | -CO3 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | | | i | | 4 |
| | CO3RA3 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| 7. Landscape & | CO1 ++ Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | $-CO1 \rightarrow$ provision of green spaces \rightarrow improved landscape + townscape setting |
| townscape | CO1RA1 ++ Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | CO2 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO2AR1 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | -CO2, CO3 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | CO2RA2 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA1 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA2 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA3 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| 8. Cultural heritage | CO1 ++ Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | $-CO1 \rightarrow$ provision of open spaces \rightarrow improved setting for heritage assets + improved views to/from |
| | CO1RA1 ++ Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Saltaire World Heritage Site |
| | CO2 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO2AR1 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | $-CO1 \rightarrow$ protection of parks and gardens \rightarrow preservation of heritage assets |
| | CO2RA2 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | CO2 CO2 & alternatives a padiagarnible impacts |
| | CO3RA1 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | CO2, CO3 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | CO3RA2 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA3 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| 9. Air quality | CO1 ++ Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | $_CO1 \rightarrow$ protection of parks and gardens + open spaces \rightarrow improved air filtration \rightarrow improved air quality |
| | CO1RA1 ++ Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | CO2 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | _CO2 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | CO2AR1 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO2RA2 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | $CO3 + all alternatives \rightarrow$ HIA may indicate air quality impacts on health \rightarrow mitigation for potential air quality |
| | CO3 + Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | impacts |
| | CO3RA1 + Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | CO3RA2 + Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | CO3RA3 + Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| 10. Transport | CO1 O n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |

| | | 0 | - 1- | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|----|-------------------|-----|-----|---|---|
| | CO1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impact on Transport SA Objective. |
| | CO2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO2AR1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | CO2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 11. Housing | CO1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | CO1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | CO1 \rightarrow provision of open spaces in new developments \rightarrow higher quality housing better serving the |
| | CO2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | population |
| | CO2AR1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | CO2, CO3 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | CO3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | CO3RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 12. Range of | CO1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | CO1 \rightarrow provision of recreational spaces + outdoor sports facilities \rightarrow increased range of services available |
| accessible | CO1RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| services | CO2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | CO2AR1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | CO2 & reasonable alternatives $ ightarrow$ supporting provision of new leisure, healthcare and education facilities $ ightarrow$ |
| | CO2RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | increase range of services available for communities |
| | CO3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | CO3 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | CO3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| 13. Social Cohesion | CO1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | CO1 \rightarrow provision of recreational facilities \rightarrow improved chance for social mixing + improved social cohesion |
| | CO1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | CO2 & alternatives \rightarrow increase services and community facilities \rightarrow encourage socialising and increase social |
| | CO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | cohesion |
| | CO2AR1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | CO2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | \bigcirc CO3 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | CO3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | 1 |
| | CO3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | CO3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | 1 |
| | CO3RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | 1 |
| 14. Culture & leisure | CO1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | CO1 \rightarrow provision of recreational facilities \rightarrow greater opportunity for leisure. |
| | CO1RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | CO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | CO2AR1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | CO2 & alternatives \rightarrow provision of community facilities + ensure accessibility \rightarrow increased opportunities for local |
| | CO2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | communities |
| | CO3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | CO3 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | CO3RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | | | | | | Neconiniendations. Notice. |

| 15. Safe & secure | CO1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | CO3 + all alternatives \rightarrow inclusion of HIA \rightarrow ensure no negative impacts on health \rightarrow ensure safety of all |
|-------------------|------------|----|--------------------------|-----|-----|---|---|
| | CO1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | residents from potential negative health |
| | CO2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | CO2AR1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | CO2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | CO1, CO2 & all alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | CO3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | CO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: None. |
| | CO3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | | M | |
| | CO3RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| 16. Health | CO1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | $CO1 \rightarrow provision$ of sport and recreational facilities \rightarrow increased opportunities for improved physical + |
| | CO1RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | CO2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | mental health |
| | CO2AR1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | CO2 & alternatives \rightarrow provision of sport and health facilities \rightarrow raise activity levels + improve |
| | CO2RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | access to healthcare \rightarrow positive impact on health. CO2RA2 may be more significant than other |
| | CO2RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | alternatives. |
| | CO3RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | CO3 + all alternatives \rightarrow inclusion of HIA \rightarrow confirmation that the development will not negatively |
| | CO3RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | impact on local health |
| | | | Bradford District | | | | |
| | CO3RA3 | ++ | | T/R | LT | | Recommendations: None. |
| 17. Education | CO1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | CO2 & alternatives \rightarrow ensure provision of education facilities with increased housing provision \rightarrow improve |
| | CO1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | access to education |
| | CO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | CO2AR1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | CO2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | CO1, CO3& all alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | CO3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | CO3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | CO3RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 18. Employment | CO1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | CO1 & CO2 & alternatives \rightarrow provision of sports, health + education facilities \rightarrow opportunities for local |
| | CO1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | employment |
| | CO2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | CO2AR1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | CO3 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | CO2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: None. |
| | CO3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | CO3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | 4 |
| | CO3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | 4 |
| 10 Feener | CO3RA3 | 0 | n/a Dradfard District | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 19. Economy | CO1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | CO1 & alternative \rightarrow protection of open space from development \rightarrow increased opportunity for |
| | CO1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | visitors to District \rightarrow boost to local economy |
| | CO2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | CO2AR1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | CO2 & alternatives, CO3 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | CO2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | CO3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None. |
| | CO3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | CO3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | CO3RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |

Summary of, and assumptions in the assessment of Planning for Place and Communities EN1- EN10

Policy EN1 Green Infrastructure Standards is a new policy. The preferred option for EN1 would aim to provide new green spaces and consider the provision of a wider range of green infrastructure assets. The policy would be in line with Government's 25 year Environment Plan, which aims to ensure new developments include accessible green spaces. One possible way the district could include this would be through the Urban Greening Factor (UGF) approach, which could improve the GI provision in already highly urbanised areas.

- EN1 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN1RA1) This alternative would not include a specific policy on Green Infrastructure standards
- EN1 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN1RA2) The Green Infrastructure standards policy would be incorporated into Policy SP10 Strategic Green Infrastructure. It may therefore have a lesser impact than a standalone policy on standards for GI.
- EN1 Reasonable Alternative 3 (EN1RA3) This option would rely on the open space standards as set out in Policy CO1. This may not result in adequate GI in the District.

Policy EN2 Biodiversity and Geodiversity is a new policy. The policy aims to promote the protection, enhancement, restoration and expansion of biodiversity and geodiversity in the District. The policy does not permit development where it may interfere with a European Site of Protection or Site of Special Scientific Interest unless there is an assessment under the Habitat Regulations or where the benefits would outweigh the adverse impacts. All developments should deliver a measurable biodiversity net gain that is relevant to local biodiversity priorities. Development proposals that would result in a net loss of biodiversity will be refused. The policy ensures that biodiversity net gains are secured through the development of a biodiversity checklist to provide guidance to the appropriate level of ecological assessment. Any development deemed to have a negative impact on habitats and species is required to offset its impacts. For household applications, the provisions of this policy do not apply, but there is still an expectation that an element of biodiversity gain should be incorporated – for example, bird boxes, insect 'hotels' or bat boxes.

- EN2 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN2RA1) This alternative would separate the policy into two policies. The single policy format presents the information clearly and succinctly.
- EN2 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN2RA2) This policy alternative would further reduce the detail of coverage, which may result in confusion in a complex policy area.

Policy EN3 Trees and Woodlands – This policy is under review. The policy seeks to protect and enhance the contribution that trees and woodlands make to the District. Developments which result in the loss of irreplaceable habitats should be refused, and proposals that contribute towards the character or setting of an area or biodiversity and wildlife habitats would be preferred. New trees will be planted on all new developments, matching the site and climatic conditions, with a view to protecting and enhancing biodiversity and tackling the climate emergency. The council will continue to make Tree Preservation Orders where necessary.

- EN3 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN3RA1) - This alternative would include fewer alterations to the original policy, which may not be as clear as the policy presented.

Policy EN4 Historic Environment is not under review. Through the policy, the Council aims to preserve, protect and enhance the character and historic value of the District and its heritage assets. All proposals for development will be required to conserve, and where appropriate enhance the heritage significance and settings of the District's assets. Heritage-led regeneration initiatives will be encouraged.

Policy EN5 Landscape is not under review. The policy outlines that Plans and proposals should make a contribution towards the conservation, management and enhancement of the diversity of the District's landscapes. Where there is potential for adverse landscape and/or visual effects, a landscape and visual impact assessment or appraisal will be required.

Policy EN6 Countryside and Development is under review. The policy limits development in the defined countryside to that needed for agriculture, renewable energy projects, or the reuse or rebuild of existing dwellings. The policy also outlines that there will be no loss of existing public rights of way (PRoW) that form part of the core walking or cycling routes.

- EN6 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN6RA1) would delete the policy, potentially leaving some areas of the district and countryside unprotected.
- EN6 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN6RA2) would integrate the policy within landscape or other policy area, which may lose some of the protections as stated in policy EN6.

Policy EN7 Flood Risk is under review. This policy seeks to proactively manage flood risk through selective development and plan making. Development proposals will be required to address all sources of flooding and ensure they do not increase the risk of flooding elsewhere. Applications will need to be accompanied by site-specific flood risk assessments, and if the development is to be in Flood Zones 2 and 3a, it should include flood mitigation measures. Surface run-off from new developments should be reduced as much as possible through the use of SUDs and drainage techniques. Developments in the functional flood plains (Flood Zone 3b) will not be permitted, with the exception of essential infrastructure.

Policy EN8 Air Quality is under review. The Council will work with partners and stakeholders to implement the Clean Air Plan and Transport Strategy, in order to achieve reductions in pollutant emissions and minimise public exposure to air pollution. The use of sustainable design solutions, buffer zones or sustainable transport modes will be promoted. All major planning applications will be expected to submit an Air Quality Assessment, which will demonstrate that there will be no increase in air pollution resulting from the proposed development.

- EN8 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN8RA1) This alternative would reduce the threshold to all developments in relation to air quality requirements and assessments.
- EN8 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN8RA2) This alternative would reduce the threshold to developments of 50 units or more, which would exclude a significant proportion of the District's housing supply and lead to a more ineffective policy.
- EN8 Reasonable Alternative 3 (EN8RA3) This alternative would reduce the detail of the policy.

Policy EN9 Environmental Protection is under review. The policy will protect public health and the environment through minimising pollution events and exposure to pollution sources. The policy particularly focuses on contaminated land and soils, nuisance (noise, odours, light and dust) and the water environment. Development proposals must identify potential sources of contamination and address them before they will be accepted.

- EN9 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN9RA1) – would keep the policy as the previous iteration, which does not explicitly reference soils and is not as clear on the goals to be achieved for water quality.

- EN9 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN9RA2) – would include a separate policy on the Water Environment. This would provide greater clarity on water resource issues, but would reduce the comprehensive nature of this policy.

Policy EN10 Energy is under review. The policy seeks to support the development of decentralised, renewable and low carbon sources of energy by identifying suitable areas for renewable energy developments and ensuring developments are designed to be the highest possible levels of sustainability. All proposals for renewable and low carbon energy generation must include a full assessment of the environmental, economic and social impacts and must integrate measures to minimise adverse impacts.

- EN10 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN10RA) The policy would be incorporated into SP9, which provides much of the background to the policy, but would result in less detail.
- EN10 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN10RA2) The alternative would reduce the policy coverage and rely on other measures to minimise the District's energy use and contribution to climate change. Including a specific policy would strengthen the District's position.
- EN10 Reasonable Alternative 3 (EN10RA3)- This policy would set out a series of broad areas of search for strategic scale energy sites, subject to a call for sites.
- EN10 Reasonable Alternative 4 (EN10RA4) This policy would provide a different range of standards, which may strengthen or weaken the position of the policy.

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| 1. Energy & GHGs | | EN1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN1RA1 | 0 | n/a | T/R | LT | L | EN1RA1 & EN4 & EN7 \rightarrow no discernible impact towards the causes of climate change. |
| | | EN1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EN1 and alternatives EN1RA2&3, EN2 and its alternatives, EN5, EN6 & EN3 \rightarrow protection & enhancement |
| | | EN2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | of greenfield land, greenspaces, vegetation, and tree canopy \rightarrow protection and enhancement of important carbon |
| | | EN2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | sinks, including soils, grasses and trees \rightarrow some degree of offsetting of Bradford's contributions |
| | | EN2RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EN8 \rightarrow employment of sustainable design + construction methods \rightarrow minimise pollutants \rightarrow reduction in contribution |
| | | EN3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | to climate change |
| | | EN4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN9 \rightarrow require developments to mitigate and offset emissions and impacts in accordance with the Low Emission |
| | | EN6 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | Strategy for Bradford. |
| | | EN6RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN6RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN10 & alternatives \rightarrow encourage the provision of renewable and low carbon energy \rightarrow reduce GHG emissions. |
| | | EN7 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Alternatives likely to have a less significant impact. |
| | | EN8 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN8RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EN6RA1 \rightarrow no policy on limiting development of countryside \rightarrow may lead to reduction in green cover and less carbon |
| | | EN8RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | removed from atmosphere |
| | | EN8RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: The Council could consider encouraging development proposals to show how the carbon sink |
| | | EN9 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | capacity of local green infrastructure, including trees, grasses and soils, would be protected and enhanced following |
| | | EN10 | | National | T/R | LT | M | development. EN10 could include more aspirational and defined targets for renewable energy generation and |
| | | EN10RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | consumption in Bradford. |
| | | EN10RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN10RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

| | trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------|-------|------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------------|-------|---|
| | | EN10RA4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 2. Waste | | EN1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Each policy and alternative \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN1RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN1RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN1RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN2RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN6 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN6RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN9 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 3. Land & buildings | | EN10RA4 EN1 | | n/a n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 5. Land & buildings | | EN1RA1 | | n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | H | |
| | | EN1RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN1RA2 EN1RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | EN2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | | | EN6RA1 \rightarrow no protection of countryside \rightarrow potential inefficient use of current brownfield sites + increased |
| | | EN2RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | | M | development on agricultural land |
| | | EN3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN3, EN3RA1, EN6 & EN6RA2 \rightarrow protection of GI \rightarrow prevent land lost to development. |
| | | EN3RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | μ is a μ protection of σ protection of σ prevent and lost to development. |
| | | EN4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | EN7 \rightarrow help to protect land from being lost to flooding. |
| | | EN5 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN6 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN1 & alternatives, EN4, EN6RA1, EN10, & EN8 & EN9 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN6RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 1 | |
| | | EN6RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN7 | | Bradford District | T/R | | L | |
| | | EN8 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN8RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA2 | | n/a | n/a | | H | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------------|---------------|--|
| | | EN8RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN9 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA4 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 4. Climate change | | EN1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN2 & alternatives \rightarrow preserve existing important ecological habitats \rightarrow maintain green and vegetated |
| vulnerability | | EN1RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | | areas \rightarrow maintain infiltration and interception of rainwater \rightarrow reduce flood risk. |
| | | EN1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN3 & alternatives \rightarrow preserve and enhance tree and woodland cover \rightarrow maintain and increase infiltration and |
| | | EN2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | interception of rainwater \rightarrow reduce flood risk. |
| | | EN2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN2RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN1, EN1RA2, EN1RA3, EN6 & EN6RA2 → protect & enhance extent of open/green spaces → protect & enhance |
| | | EN3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | natural flood risk alleviation & climate cooling services $ ightarrow$ benefits to climate change resilience. |
| | | EN3RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | EN7 \rightarrow proactively address flood risk \rightarrow increase flood storage + flood risk management + implementation |
| | | EN5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | of SuDS and GI $ ightarrow$ manage and reduce flood risk. |
| | | EN6 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | |
| | | EN6RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EN10 & alternatives \rightarrow Reduction in greenhouse gas emissions \rightarrow reduction in vulnerability. Alternatives likely to have a less significant impact. |
| | | EN6RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN7 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN8 $ ightarrow$ employment of sustainable design and construction methods + promoting sustainable transport options $ ightarrow$ |
| | | EN8 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | reduction in contribution to climate change. Alternatives to this policy would not result in as positive impacts. |
| | | EN8RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EN1RA1, EN4 & EN5 & EN9 → no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN8RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN8RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN6RA1 \rightarrow potential for development on agricultural land and countryside \rightarrow increased vulnerability to floods + |
| | | EN9 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | impacts of climate change |
| | | EN10 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | |
| | | EN10RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Recommendations: EN7 includes an aspiration of managing and reducing impacts of flooding within Bradford Beck |
| | | EN10RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | corridors in a manner than enhances their value for wildlife. This could be extended to all river corridors in Bradford |
| | | EN10RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | in order to enhance their biodiversity value whilst also enhancing the natural provision of a flood risk alleviation |
| | | EN10RA4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | service. |
| 5. Water resources | | EN1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN1RA1 EN1RA2 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | <u>н</u> Н | EN2 & alternatives $ ightarrow$ increased biodiversity $ ightarrow$ increase water filtration $ ightarrow$ improve water quality. |
| | | EN1RA2 EN1RA3 | | n/a n/a | | | | |
| | | ENTRA3 EN2 | 0+ | Bradford District | n/a T/R | n/a LT | | EN9 → safeguard ground and surface water quality + protect and improve quality, quantity and ecological status of water in Bradford. |
| | | EN2RA1 | + + | Bradford District | T/R | | M | |
| | | EN2RAT EN2RA2 | + + | Bradford District | T/R | | | _ EN4, EN5, EN10 → no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN2RAZ EN3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | LIV4, LIV5, LIVTO -7 TIO UISCETTIDIE ITTPACIS. |
| | | EN3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Recommendations: EN7 could include specific reference to the need to enhance biodiversity value along all river |
| | | EN4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | corridors and not just along the Bradford Beck, not only for the biodiversity value or flood risk alleviation service this |
| | | EN5 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | provides but also for the water quality improvements it can deliver. |
| | | EN6 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 1 | 1 |
| | | EINO | ++ | Diauloiu District | I/K | LI | L | I |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | urona | EN6RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN6RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | | L | |
| | | EN7 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN8 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN8RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN9 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN10 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | 1 |
| 6. Biodiversity & | | EN1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| geodiversity. | | EN1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | EN1, EN1RA2, EN1RA3 \rightarrow increase in green spaces and green infrastructure \rightarrow increase ecological connectivity \rightarrow enhance biodiversity |
| | | EN1RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN1RA3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN2 & alternatives → ensure the protection of important ecological sites + ensure developments deliver local and relevant net biodiversity gains → protect and enhance biodiversity and geodiversity. EN3 → protect and enhance tree and woodland cover → protect and enhance biodiversity value of sites + ecological connectivity. |
| | | EN2RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | EN4 \rightarrow protect registered parks, gardens and landscapes \rightarrow preserve habitats + protect biodiversity value. |
| | | EN2RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN7 \rightarrow inclusion of provision of SUDs and natural flood management \rightarrow contribute to landscape restoration and habitat connectivity \rightarrow enhance biodiversity |
| | | EN3RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN5 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN6 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN5 & EN6 & EN6RA2 → contribute to landscape restoration, habitat connectivity and enhancement of semi-natural |
| | | EN6RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | vegetation and natural greenspaces → protect and enhance biodiversity and geodiversity in keeping with landscape character. |
| | | EN6RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN6RA1 \rightarrow no policy on countryside protection \rightarrow destruction of habitats |
| | | EN8 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN8RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | $^-$ EN8 & alternatives $ ightarrow$ improvement in air quality standards across District $ ightarrow$ improved ecological health |
| | | EN8RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN8RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | - EN9 → reduce pollution and restore polluted water → increase biodiversity and enhance ecological status |
| | | EN9 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | - 149 7 reduce politiciti and residie political water 7 increase biodiversity and enhance ecological status |
| | | EN10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | EN10 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: EN2 could seek to clarify what development benefits would outweigh the protection of |
| | | EN10RA4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | designated sites. EN3 could seek to ensure there is a net increase in total tree canopy in Bradford and that trees, |

| SA Objective | Baseline | Policy or PA | Effoot | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Droh | Summary of effects |
|----------------------|----------|--------------|--------|---------------------|-----|------|-------|---|
| SA Objective | trend | PUICY ULKA | Ellect | Geographical extern | I/R | TIME | FIUD. | Recommendations for avoidance, miligation or enhancement |
| | | | | | | | | hedgerows and woodland are all joined together in one coherent network. EN7 could seek to ensure that biodiversity |
| | | | | | | | | value and wildlife habitats along all river corridors, not just the Bradford Beck, are protected and enhanced. |
| 7. Landscape & | | EN1 | | Bradford District | T/R | | | EN1, EN1RA2, EN1RA3 \rightarrow increase GI \rightarrow improve setting of urban heritage features |
| townscape | | EN1RA1 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN1RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN1RA1 \rightarrow no policy \rightarrow no impacts. |
| | | EN1RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN2 & alternatives & EN9 \rightarrow protection of ecological sites \rightarrow protection & enhancement of landscapes |
| | | EN2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | | M | |
| | | EN2RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | | Μ | EN4 \rightarrow protect and enhance the District's heritage \rightarrow protect a range of heritage assets (buildings, infrastructure, |
| | | EN3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | townscapes and landscapes) \rightarrow protect and enhance the historic character of landscapes and townscapes. |
| | | EN3RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | EN5 \rightarrow require landscape character assessments + manage and enhance the diversity of landscapes \rightarrow ensure the |
| | | EN4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | protection of landscapes and views towards landscapes + enhance landscapes. |
| | | EN5 | | Bradford District | T/R | | Μ | |
| | | EN6 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | EN6 & alternatives. \rightarrow prevention of development on designated countryside \rightarrow protection of landscape and |
| | | EN6RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | associated character |
| | | EN6RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | EN3 & alternatives \rightarrow protect and enhance tree and woodland cover + require the consideration of the value of trees |
| | | EN8 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | and woodland to character, setting and landscapes + protect ancient woodland and veteran trees \rightarrow ensure |
| | | EN8RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | protection of landscapes and the character and setting of settlements + enhance setting through inclusion of trees |
| | | EN8RA2 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | and woodland in developments. |
| | | EN8RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | EN7 \rightarrow enhanced wildlife along Beck corridors + inclusion of flood resilience measures \rightarrow positive impact on local |
| | | EN10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | character +preservation of landscape and townscape |
| | | EN10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA2 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | EN10 & EN8 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN10RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Recommendations: None. |
| 8. Cultural heritage | | EN1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN1RA1 | | n/a | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN1RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EN1, EN1RA2, EN1RA3 \rightarrow increase GI \rightarrow improve setting of urban heritage features |
| | | EN1RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | | | |
| | | EN2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | EN3 & alternative \rightarrow protection of Ancient Woodland and individual trees \rightarrow preservation of local ecological heritage |
| | | EN2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | EN2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | | M | EN4 \rightarrow Preservation and enhancement of District's heritage \rightarrow protect a range of heritage assets |
| | | EN3 | | Bradford District | T/R | | | |
| | | EN3RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | | M | EN5 & EN6 \rightarrow Protection of historic landscape elements + countryside \rightarrow preserve the setting of cultural and |
| | | EN4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | heritage assets. Not with EN6RA1. |
| | | EN5 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN6 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | EN7 – EN10 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN6RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN6RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | 4 |
| | | EN8RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | 1 |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|-----|--|
| | | EN8RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN9 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA4 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 9. Air quality | | EN1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN1RA1 | 0 | n/a | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN1RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN1, EN1RA2,EN1RA3, EN2 and reasonable alternatives → protect & enhance greenspaces & habitats → protect & |
| | | EN2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | enhance extent of vegetation \rightarrow increase in natural air filtration service \rightarrow improvements to air quality. |
| | | EN2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Impacts of EN4, EN5 & EN9 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN3RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | EN3, EN6 & EN7 \rightarrow protect and increase tree and woodland cover + countryside landscape \rightarrow protect trees, |
| | | EN5 EN6 | | n/a Bradford District | n/a T/R | n/a LT | | woodlands and green spaces which have air filtration properties → protects and improves air quality. Lesser extent with EN6RA2, negative effect with EN6RA1. |
| | | EN6RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | | IVI | WITTENORA2, negative effect with ENORAT. |
| | | EN6RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EN8 $ ightarrow$ implementing air quality buffer zones + encouraging sustainable transport + reducing emissions on site + |
| | | EN7 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | requiring Air Quality Assessments with planning applications \rightarrow improving air quality and reducing pollution. |
| | | EN8 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Alternatives would use a lower threshold and may not have the same significance of impact. |
| | | EN8RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | EN8RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 1 | EN10 \rightarrow encourage the provision of renewable and low carbon energy \rightarrow reduce air pollution from traditional forms |
| | | EN8RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | of energy generation \rightarrow improves air quality. EN10RA1 likely to be of a slightly lower magnitude. |
| | | EN9 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN10RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN10RA4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 10. Transport | | EN1 | | n/a | T/R | LT | Μ | EN8 \rightarrow encouraging use of sustainable transport methods \rightarrow positive effects |
| | | EN1RA1 | 0 | n/a | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN1RA2 | 0 | n/a | T/R | LT | L | Each Policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts on the Transport SA Objective |
| | | EN1RA3 | 0 | n/a | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Recommendations: Policies could seek to ensure there is good walking/cycling access to designated public |
| | | EN2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | greenspaces to encourage active/sustainable transport to recreation sites. Public open green spaces should provide |
| | | EN2RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | | people with safe places to lock bicycles. |
| | | EN3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN4 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | deographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------------|-------|--|
| | urchiù | EN6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN6RA1 | | n/a | n/a | | L | |
| | | EN6RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | | L | |
| | | EN7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8 | | Bradford District | T/R | | L | |
| | | EN8RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | | L | |
| | | EN8RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | | L | |
| | | EN8RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | | L | |
| | | EN9 EN10 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10 EN10RA1 | | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA4 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 11. Housing | | EN1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| - | | EN1RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN1RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN1RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN2RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN2RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN3RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN4 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN5 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | | All policy options and alternatives $ ightarrow$ no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN6 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All policy options and alternatives -> no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN6RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN6RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN7 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN8 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN9 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA4 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | | 0 | Ind | n/d | 11/d | 11 | |

| SA Objective | Baseline | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects |
|---------------------|----------|---------------|--------|--|------------|-----------|-------|---|
| 12. Range of | trend | | | | l/R | | | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
| accessible | | EN1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| services | | | | | | | | |
| | | EN1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN1RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN2 \rightarrow proposals required to evaluate impacts on social wellbeing caused by achieving biodiversity net |
| | | EN2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | gain $ ightarrow$ social cohesion likely to be protected as a result. |
| | | EN2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | N_{2} 8 N_{2} N protect important application behints. No power the protection of outdoor recording larges of a South |
| | | EN3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN2 & EN3 \rightarrow protect important ecological habitats \rightarrow ensure the protection of outdoor recreational areas e.g. South Pennine SPA/SAC. |
| | | EN3RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN4 EN5 | | Bradford District | n/a T/R | n/a LT | H | EN4 & EN5 \rightarrow protect and enhance the District's heritage \rightarrow protect a range of heritage assets (buildings, |
| | | ENS EN6 | | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | infrastructure, townscapes and landscapes) \rightarrow ensure the provision of culture and leisure facilities. |
| | | EN6RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | EN6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | | | EN6 $ ightarrow$ protection of PRoW and supporting expansion of network + supporting rural tourism $ ightarrow$ increasing access to |
| | | EN7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | countryside and green infrastructure services. EN6RA1 could reduce access to countryside; EN6RA2 would support |
| | | EN8 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | rural spaces but to a lesser extent to EN6. |
| | | EN8RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN8RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | EN1 + EN7 – EN10 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN8RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN9 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations. None. |
| | | EN10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 13. Social Cohesion | | EN1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN1RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN1RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN1RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EN5 & EN6 \rightarrow manage and enhance landscapes in the District \rightarrow ensure the provision of cultural and leisure spaces |
| | | EN2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | IVI | and facilities. |
| | | EN2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN2RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | EN2 & EN3 & their alternatives $ ightarrow$ protect and increase tree and woodland cover + protect ancient woodland and |
| | | EN3 EN3RA1 | | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R T/R | | M | veteran trees \rightarrow protect and enhance the availability of local cultural and leisure spaces + facilitate outdoor |
| | | EN3RA1 EN4 | | Bradford District | T/R | | M | socialisation + protect and enhance residential amenity. EN7 – EN10 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN4 EN5 | + + | Bradford District | T/R | | M | |
| | | ENS EN6 | | Bradford District | T/R | | M | Recommendations: Policies should ensure good walking/cycling access to designated public greenspaces |
| | | EN6RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | | L | to encourage active/sustainable transport to recreation sites and reduce recreational pressure on sensitive habitats. |
| | | EN6RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | EN7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN8RA1 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | | 0 | 1.1.0 | 11/0 | 11/0 | | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| | | EN8RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN9 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA2 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA3 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA4 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 14. Culture & Leisure | | EN1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN1RA1 | | n/a | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN1RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | 6.4 | EN1 EN1PA2 EN1PA2 \rightarrow ophonon and provide urban CL and outdoors appage \rightarrow facilitate leigure apparturities |
| | | EN2RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN1, EN1RA2, EN1RA3 \rightarrow enhance and provide urban GI and outdoors spaces \rightarrow facilitate leisure opportunities |
| | | EN3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN3 & EN2 \rightarrow protect and increase tree and woodland cover + protect ancient woodland and veteran |
| | | EN3RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | trees \rightarrow protect and enhance the availability of local cultural and leisure spaces + facilitate outdoor socialisation |
| | | EN4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | EN5 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | EN2&EN4 \rightarrow protect and enhance the District's heritage \rightarrow protect a range of heritage assets (buildings, |
| | | EN6 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | infrastructure, townscapes and landscapes) \rightarrow ensure the provision of culture and leisure facilities |
| | | EN6RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | | L | ······································ |
| | | EN6RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EN6 \rightarrow protection of Public Rights of Way and supporting expansion of network + supporting rural tourism \rightarrow |
| | | EN7 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | increasing access to countryside and green infrastructure services. EN6RA1 would not support this. |
| | | EN8 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | EN9 \rightarrow improvement of water bodies to good ecological/chemical status \rightarrow increased opportunities for watersports |
| | | EN8RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | and leisure opportunities |
| | | EN8RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN9 | + | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 15. Safe & secure | | EN1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All policies and alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None |
| | | EN1RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN2RA1 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN4 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|---|---|
| | | EN6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN6RA1 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | EN6RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | EN7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN9 | | n/a | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN10 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA2 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA4 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 16. Health | | EN1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN1, EN1RA2, EN1RA3 \rightarrow provide outdoor spaces and GI \rightarrow provide spaces for sport and exercise + natural air |
| | | EN1RA1 | - | n/a | T/R | LT | | filtration \rightarrow improved physical and mental health |
| | | EN1RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN1RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EN2 and alternatives \rightarrow protect important ecological habitats \rightarrow ensure the protection of outdoor recreational |
| | | EN2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | areas e.g. South Pennine SPA/SAC \rightarrow encourage uptake of physical activity \rightarrow benefits to mental and physical |
| | | EN2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | wellbeing. Impacts of EN2 likely to be of a slightly higher probability then their reasonable alternatives. |
| | | EN2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN5 & EN6 and alternatives $ ightarrow$ manage and enhance landscapes in the District $ ightarrow$ ensure the provision of cultural |
| | | EN3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | and leisure spaces and facilities \rightarrow encourage uptake of physical activity \rightarrow benefits to mental and physical wellbeing. |
| | | EN3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN3 \rightarrow protect and increase tree and woodland cover \rightarrow protect and increase the provision of cultural and leisure |
| | | EN4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | spaces + encourage uptake of physical activity \rightarrow benefits to mental and physical wellbeing. |
| | | EN5 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN6 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | | Μ | EN7 $ ightarrow$ provision of flood storage and flood defences $ ightarrow$ reduce chances of floods $ ightarrow$ reduce stress and improve |
| | | EN6RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | | L | health in population |
| | | EN6RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | | L | |
| | | EN7 | | Bradford District | T/R | | L | EN8 \rightarrow create buffer zones and reduce pollutants \rightarrow improve air quality \rightarrow reduce public exposure to air pollution \rightarrow |
| | | EN8 | | Bradford District | T/R | | Μ | benefit to physical wellbeing. Reduced thresholds in EN8 alternatives may lead to reduced impacts. |
| | | EN8RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | | L | |
| | | EN8RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | | | EN9 $ ightarrow$ seek to protect public health $ ightarrow$ managing and reducing public exposure to water contamination |
| | | EN8RA3 | | Bradford District | T/R | | L | |
| | | EN9 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | ENRA1, EN4, EN10 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN10 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA1 | 0 | 1.11 | n/a | n/a | | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN10RA2 | 0 | | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA3 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 17 Education | | EN10RA4 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Fach policy and alternative. A na diacomible impacts |
| 17. Education | | EN1 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Each policy and alternative \rightarrow no discernible impacts |
| | | EN1RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | deographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects |
|----------------|-------------------|---------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| | lrena | EN1RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
| | | ENTRAS EN2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None |
| | | EN2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN6 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN6RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN8 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN9 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN10RA4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 18. Employment | | EN1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | EN2 & alternatives, EN5 and EN3 \rightarrow protect and enhance the amenity and visual attractiveness of central areas in |
| | | EN1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Bradford \rightarrow increase footfall in retail areas. |
| | | EN1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN1RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | EN4 \rightarrow protect and enhance the District's heritage \rightarrow protect a range of heritage assets (buildings, infrastructure, |
| | | EN2 | + | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | townscapes and landscapes) $ ightarrow$ supports tourism in the region $ ightarrow$ supports employment and economic growth |
| | | EN2RA1 | + | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN2RA2 | + | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | EN10 \rightarrow investment in renewable and low carbon energies \rightarrow potential positive impact on employment opportunities |
| | | EN3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | and economic growth in energy sector. |
| | | EN3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All other policy options and alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN6 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN6RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | - |
| | | EN6RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | - |
| | | EN7 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | 4 |
| | | EN8 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | 4 |
| | | EN8RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | - |
| | | EN8RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | 4 |
| | | EN8RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | 4 |
| | | EN9 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | - |
| | | EN10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | 4 |
| | | EN10RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | 1 |

Appendix D – Policies Assessments

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| | | EN10RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN10RA3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN10RA4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 19. Economy | | EN1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | EN4 & EN5 \rightarrow protect and enhance the District's heritage \rightarrow protect a range of heritage assets (buildings, |
| | | EN1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | infrastructure, townscapes and landscapes) $ ightarrow$ supports tourism in the region $ ightarrow$ supports employment and economic |
| | | EN1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | growth. |
| | | EN1RA3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN7 $ ightarrow$ reduce occurrences of flood events in the district $ ightarrow$ reduce losses from insurance claims |
| | | EN2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EN9 \rightarrow improvement of water bodies \rightarrow increased local tourism for watersports and leisure opportunities |
| | | EN3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | All other policy options and alternatives \rightarrow no discorpible imports |
| | | EN3RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All other policy options and alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | All other policy options and alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN5 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN6 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN6RA1 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN6RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN7 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN8 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA2 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN8RA3 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN9 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN10 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA1 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA2 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA3 | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN10RA4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |

Summary of, and assumptions in the assessment of, Planning for Place: Minerals Policies EN11 – EN17

Policy EN11: Mineral Supply and Landbanks is under review. The policy would provide a steady and adequate supply of minerals, support for investment in the local quarrying industry and the future extraction of sandstone, sand & gravel, and clay in Bradford. EN11 would be highly likely to encourage new economic growth and enhanced employment opportunities within the quarrying industry. The provision of new aggregates would also be beneficial to repairs for buildings throughout Bradford, including historic buildings and monuments. EN11 includes the requirement for the applicant to demonstrate that demand for the type of aggregates intended to be produced cannot be met from the existing permitted reserves within West Yorkshire or by Recycled or Secondary Aggregates. Sandstone quarries can also be a significant source of GHG emissions, air pollution (including particulate matter) and pose a pollution or contamination risk to nearby natural waterbodies.

Policy EN12: Mineral Allocations The policy seeks to protect existing mineral extraction sites that will continue to contributes to mineral supply in Bradford District. It also identified one potential new site and location that may be suitable for sandstone extraction.

- EN12 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN12RA1) – This alternative would not include this site allocation policy. Councils are required by the NPPF to ensure the steady and adequate supply of minerals and materials. Removing the policy would remove the assurance that developers will give the wider environment due consideration.

Policy EN13: Mineral Safeguarding is not under review. The policy would allocate Minerals Safeguarding Areas (MSA) in Bradford to prevent the sterilisation of sandstone, coal and sand and gravel resources by other forms of development. The policy would not prevent development but would ensure that due consideration was given the potential for extracting the minerals prior to development. Policy EN13 contains the criteria and conditions by which development may occur in the MSAs. The policy would be highly likely to support and facilitate future management and extraction of mineral resources in the District and could therefore be seen as contributing towards the sustainability impacts associated with mineral extraction. Whilst the policy aims to minimise adverse environmental impacts, it is considered that avoiding or entirely mitigating the negative impacts of mineral extraction on the natural environment is highly unlikely.

EN14 - Mineral Areas of Search policy approach seeks to identify potential Areas of Search for sandstone and sand and gravel within the district. The policy would provide support for investment in the local quarrying industry and the future extraction of sandstone and sand and gravel in Bradford district. The policy would support new quarries within defined search areas , where the reserves would be primarily used for the production of high-quality building, roofing and/or paving stones. Policy EN14 would be highly likely to encourage new economic growth and enhanced employment opportunities within the quarrying industry.

- EN14 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN14RA1) – The policy would be removed for this alternative.

EN15 - Managing Development and Operation of Mineral Sites: The policy would support new investment in mineral extraction in the District. The policy sets out various criteria that proposals for new or expanded mineral extraction sites would need to conform with before being supported. The criteria include protection for South Pennine Moors SPA; specific criteria for different mineral types; avoiding unacceptable adverse impacts on people or the environment; avoiding a net loss in biodiversity; and specific criteria for extraction sites on previously undeveloped land. The policy seeks to discourage development on greenfield sites by encouraging developers to consider options for exhausting or extending existing works before developing new sites. Mineral extraction sites can result in significant effects on several themes of sustainability, particularly where it is open-cast mining. The first step in extracting sand and gravel, for example, is to clear the surface of vegetation and topsoil. This destroys any biodiversity value on the site whilst reducing local ecological connectivity. The ecologically valuable soils are permanently lost. Impacts on land and biodiversity can be particularly severe as new or expanded quarries are typically in relatively rural locations and for the same reason negative impacts on landscape character are highly likely. The process of extracting and transporting minerals can also be a significant source of GHG emissions and pollution, including particulate matter that is harmful to human health, whilst posing a major pollution or contamination risk to nearby natural waterbodies. Policy EN15 includes a need for proposals to 'not result in unacceptable adverse impacts on people or the environment in terms of pollution, flooding or land stability risks, or harm to amenity, heritage assets or their settings, or harm the character of the landscape.... However, it is unclear at what point negative effects on these topics is unlikely. Without innovative and significant efforts at reclamat

- EN15 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN15RA1) – The alternative would retain the existing Core Strategy Policy EN9 wording. This structure provides additional strength of position.

EN16 - Mineral Site Restoration and Aftercare The policy seeks to ensure that the proper restoration of mineral sites takes place in a timely manner when extraction and operations cease, and that the proposed after use is appropriate to the site and its surroundings. It also seeks to deliver environmental and community benefits. As such it is an important consideration in determining whether or not a proposal is acceptable. Developers will be encouraged to address restoration and after-use early in the planning process, including when submitting a planning application. Policy EN16 makes references to and scores positively particularly in respect to landscape character, biodiversity or nature conservation in seeking to deliver net-gains and show how it will contribute local ecological networks and habitat creation, retaining, conserving and handling soil resources and where proposals involve the best and most versatile (BMV) agricultural land, seeking to restore back to agricultural use, making contributions to managing

flood water and ensure flood risk is not increased, contributing to enhancement of the district's Green Infrastructure networks and promoting the reuse or use of recycled waste materials.

EN17 - Energy Minerals The policy would support the future extraction of coal, gas and oil in Bradford district. where future changes in extraction technology and commodity prices make extraction viable. The policy would be highly likely to support economic growth and enhance employment opportunities within the extraction industry in Braford. There are significant concerns about the environmental impacts of this policy, given the relationship between coal, oil and gas and the causes of climate change. Whilst the policy aims to minimise adverse environmental impacts, it is considered that the process of extracting fossil fuels, the transportation of these fuels and their ultimate consumption could be expected to be a significant source of GHG emissions and air pollution with consequences on a national scale. Not all extracted materials would be consumed, or result in their adverse impacts, in Bradford district. The extraction of the minerals listed in this policy is a process that poses a significant risk to local water quality, air quality, biodiversity and natural landscapes and avoiding or entirely mitigating these negative impacts would be highly unlikely.

- EN17 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN17RA1) this alternative would not include a policy. This would not be in line with the NPPF, which requires Local Plans to include a policy around hydrocarbon extraction.
- EN17 Reasonable Alternative 2 (EN17RA2) this alternative would include the policy within other EN policies, alongside other mineral extractions.

| SA Objective | Baseline Policy or trend RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, miligation or enhancement |
|------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| 1. Energy & GHGs | EN11 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Н | EN11, EN12 and EN13 → permit and encourage energy intensive extraction industry + increase in HGV traffic for |
| | EN12 | | National | P/I | LT | L | transportation of minerals \rightarrow increase in GHG emissions. |
| | EN12R A1 | | National | P/I | LT | L | EN17 \rightarrow future extraction of coal and hydrocarbons \rightarrow consumption of fossil fuels \rightarrow major source of GHGs. |
| | EN13 | | National | P/I | LT | Н | |
| | EN14 | | National | P/I | LT | L | Recommendations: Encourage provision of green infrastructure and minimise development on Green Belt to go |
| | EN14R A1 | | National | P/I | LT | L | some way to offset emissions (SP1, EN6, EN9) |
| | EN15 | | National | P/I | LT | L | |
| | EN15R A1 | | National | P/I | LT | L | |
| | EN16 | | National | P/I | LT | L | |
| | EN17 | | National | P/I | LT | L | |
| | EN17R A1 | | National | P/I | LT | L | |
| | EN17R A2 | | National | P/I | LT | L | |
| 2. Waste | EN11 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | EN11 promotes use of readily available minerals in the Bradford area or use of recycled material but policy also |
| | EN12 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | allows for new mineral extraction if this is not possible. |
| | EN12R A1 | - | National | P/I | LT | L | All policies \rightarrow new and expanded mineral extraction \rightarrow large quantities of waste materials produced. |
| | EN13 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | |
| | EN14 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | Recommendations: Provisions could be made throughout these policies to prioritise secondary or recycled |
| | EN14R A1 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | Traterials. |
| | EN15 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------|-------------------|-------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | | EN15R A1 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | М | |
| | | EN16 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | EN17 | - | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN17R A1 | - | National | P/I | LT | L | |
| | | EN17R A2 | - | National | P/I | LT | L | |
| 3. Land & buildings | | EN11 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | | EN16 \rightarrow ensure restoration of extraction sites \rightarrow minimise impact of land and soil resources. |
| | | EN12 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | EN12R A1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | Each other policy \rightarrow new and expanded mineral extraction on greenfield \rightarrow permanent loss of greenfield ecologically valuable soils. |
| | | EN13 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | EN14 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | EN14R A1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN15 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | EN15R A1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | Recommendations: Land to be restored in line with EN16. |
| | | EN16 | + | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN17 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | EN17R A1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | EN17R A2 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | |
| 4. Climate change | | EN11 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Each policy \rightarrow new and expanded extraction sites \rightarrow loss of vegetation and permeable surfaces \rightarrow exacerbated |
| vulnerability | | EN12 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | flood risk in the local area. |
| | | EN12R A1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN13 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN14 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: Ensure full restoration of site to minimise longevity of impacts (EN16). |
| | | EN14R A1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN15 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN15R A1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN16 | - | Bradford District | T/R | T/R | Μ | |
| | | EN17 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN17R A1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN17R A2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| 5. Water resources | | EN11 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | Each policy \rightarrow new and expanded extraction sites \rightarrow significant consumption of water at sites \rightarrow pressure on water resources |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | | EN12 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Each policy \rightarrow new and expanded extraction sites \rightarrow major pollution + contamination risk of natural waterbodies. |
| | | EN12R A1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | Recommendations: Encourage water resources are managed appropriately on site to minimise run off. Ensure |
| | | EN13 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | water is treated or recycled on site. A Construction Environmental Management Plan may be beneficial. |
| | | EN14 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | EN14R A1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | EN15 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN15R A1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | EN16 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN17 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN17R A1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | EN17R A2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| 6. Biodiversity & | | EN11 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | Each policy \rightarrow new and expanded extraction sites on previously undeveloped land in rural locations \rightarrow direct loss |
| geodiversity | | EN12 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | М | of habitat & topsoil + reduction in ecological connectivity. Each policy \rightarrow new and expanded extraction sites \rightarrow air and water pollution \rightarrow indirect on species & habitats. |
| | | EN12R A1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | м | EN15 \rightarrow The HRA screening process identified that likely significant effects are triggered. |
| | | EN13 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | Recommendations: Sites to be restored with 10% biodiversity net gain (EN16). |
| | | EN14 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | EN14R A1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | |
| | | EN15 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | М | |
| | | EN15R A1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN16 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN17 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | M | 4 |
| | | EN17R A1 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | М | |
| | | EN17R A2 | | Bradford District | P/I | LT | М | |
| | | EN11 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | Each policy $ ightarrow$ new and expanded extraction sites on previously undeveloped land in rural locations $ ightarrow$ |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|--|--|
| 7. Landscape and | | EN12 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | significantly discordant with character of natural landscapes + avoidance of impacts on townscapes. | | |
| townscape | | EN12R A1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | н | EN11 \rightarrow increased supply of scarce materials required for the repair of historic buildings or monuments \rightarrow | | |
| | | EN13 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | enhanced townscape. | | |
| | | EN14 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | | | |
| | | EN14 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | Recommendations: Ensure full restoration of extraction site to minimise longevity of impacts. | | |
| | | EN15 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | | | |
| | | EN15R A1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | | | |
| | | EN16 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | | | |
| | | EN17 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | | | |
| | | EN17R A1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | н | | | |
| | | EN17R A2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | н | | | |
| 8. Cultural heritage | | EN11 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | EN11 \rightarrow boosts to a traditional industry in Bradford and the wider region. | | |
| | | EN12 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Н | | | |
| | | EN12R A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | н | EN11 &12 \rightarrow increase supply of scarce materials required for the repair of historic buildings or monuments. | | |
| | | EN13 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | EN13, 14, 15, 16, 17 & alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impact. | | |
| | | EN14 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. | | |
| | | EN14R | | 17.4 | Π/α | n/a | | | | |
| | | A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | - | | |
| | | EN15 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | | | |
| | | En15R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | | | |
| | | EN16 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | | | |
| | | EN17 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | | | |
| | | EN17R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | | | |
| | | EN17R A2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | | | |
| 9. Air quality | | EN11 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN11, EN12, EN13, EN14, EN15 \rightarrow new and expanded extraction sites \rightarrow energy intensive extraction + increase | | |
| | | EN12 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | in HGV activity \rightarrow increased air pollution, including dust (PM2.5 & PM10) . | | |
| | | EN12 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | EN16 \rightarrow site restoration after lifetime of site \rightarrow opportunity for remediation and GI, providing natural air filtration. | | |
| | | EN13 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | EN17 \rightarrow extraction of fossil fuels \rightarrow consumption of fossil fuels \rightarrow air pollution. | | |
| | | EN14 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Recommendations: Ensure measures are taken during operation to minimise pollution. Off site mitigation may be | | |
| | | EN14R A1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | required to reach carbon zero targets. | | |

| SA Objective | Baseline Policy trend RA | or | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------|--------------------------|----|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | EN1 | 5 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN15 | | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN1 | | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | EN1 | _ | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | EN17 A1 | | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | EN17 A2 | ′R | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| 10. Transport | EN1 | 1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Each policy \rightarrow support quarrying/mineral extraction industry \rightarrow increased HGV activity \rightarrow potential impacts on |
| | EN1 | _ | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | congestion, particularly if HGV activity increases in relatively rural locations on narrow roads or country lanes. |
| | EN12 A1 | 2R | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | Recommendations: Development of Construction Traffic Management Plans may ensure reduced congestion. |
| | EN1 | | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | _ |
| | EN1 | | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | - |
| | EN14 A1 | | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN1 | | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | - |
| | EN15 A1 | ōR | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN1 | | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN1 | | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | - |
| | EN17 A1 | | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN17 A2 | | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN1 | | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN11&12 \rightarrow support local quarrying of high-quality building materials \rightarrow local materials for house construction. |
| 11. Housing | EN1 | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | EN13 \rightarrow MSAs temporarily reduce land availability for housing developments. |
| | EN12 A1 | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| | EN1 | | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN9 and EN11 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | EN1 | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: Phased release of housing development sites to ensure MSA do no impact on demand for |
| | EN14 A1 | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | housing (HO1). |
| | EN1 | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | - |
| | EN15 A1 | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| | EN1 | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN1 | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN17 A1 | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| | EN17 A2 | | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |

| SA Objective | Baseline F | Policy or | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Proh | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-------------------------|------------|---------------|--------|---------------------|-----|------|-------|--|
| | trend | RA | | | I/R | | | |
| 12. Range of accessible | | EN11 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Each policy \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| services | | EN12 EN12R | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| 00111000 | | A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN13 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN14 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | E | EN14R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| | | EN15 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | E | EN15R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN16 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN17 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | E | EN17R | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| | | | | | | | _ · · | |
| | | EN17R A2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| 13. Social Cohesion | | EN11 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | All policies \rightarrow support the quarrying and material/mineral extraction industries \rightarrow maintains/increases employment |
| | | EN12 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | in this sector in the District \rightarrow reduce levels of deprivation. |
| | E | EN12R A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN13 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN14 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | E | EN14R A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN15 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | E | EN15R | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | | A1 EN16 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN17 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN17R | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | F | A1 EN17R | | | | | | |
| | | A2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | EN11 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Each objective \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN12 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Decommendations, None |
| | E | EN12R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN13 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN14 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | E | EN14R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| | | EN15 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | E | EN15R | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| | | A1 | | | | | | 1 |

| SA Objective | Baseline Policy or trend RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | EN16 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN17 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN17R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN17R A2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| 15. Safe & secure | EN11 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Each objective \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | EN12 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN12R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | Recommendations: None. |
| | EN13 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN14 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN14R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| | EN15 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN15R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| | EN16 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN17 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN17R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| | EN17R A2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| 16. Health | EN11 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | Each policy \rightarrow permit quarrying and material/mineral extraction \rightarrow increased noise, odour and air pollution, |
| | EN12 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | including dust (PM2.5 & PM10), from extraction activities \rightarrow pollution and airborne particulate matter hazardous |
| | EN12R A1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | to the health of workers and the public. |
| | EN13 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | Each policy \rightarrow support employment \rightarrow reduces poverty and deprivation \rightarrow improves mental and physical well- |
| | EN14 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | being. |
| | EN14R A1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | Recommendations: Increase protections for workers, increase access to healthcare (CO2) |
| | EN15 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN15R A1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | EN16 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | EN17 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN17R A1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN17R A2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| 17. Education | EN10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |
| | EN11 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | EN11, EN12, EN13, EN14 \rightarrow new and expanded extraction sites \rightarrow enhanced employment opportunities in this |
| | EN12 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | sector - $ ightarrow$ potential opportunities for local people to learn new on-the-job skills. |
| | EN12R A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |

| SA Objective | Baseline Policy or | Effort | Geographical extent | P/T | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects |
|----------------|--------------------|--------|---------------------|-----|------|-------|--|
| | trend RA | | | I/R | | | Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
| | EN13 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All other policies $ ightarrow$ no discernible impacts. |
| | EN14 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN14R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | EN15 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN15R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| | EN16 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN17 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN17R A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | EN17R A2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 18. Employment | EN11 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN11, EN12, EN13 \rightarrow Support quarrying + mineral extraction \rightarrow maintains/increases employment in District |
| | EN12 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN12R A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN13 \rightarrow support the extraction of coal, gravel and sand where future changes in technology and commodity prices may make renewed extraction viable \rightarrow future employment. |
| | EN13 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN14 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | EN11 & EN12 & EN13 \rightarrow new and expanded extraction sites \rightarrow significant boost to this industry in Bradford \rightarrow |
| | EN14R A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | boost to local economy. |
| | EN15 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | Recommendations: None. |
| | EN15R A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN16 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN17 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN17R A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN17R A2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| 19. Economy | EN10 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | Each option \rightarrow boost to an unsustainable industry that is unlikely to remain competitive in the long-term given the |
| | EN11 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | UK's transition towards a low-carbon economy and energy sector. |
| | EN12 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN12R A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | Recommendations: None. |
| | EN13 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN14 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN14R A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN15 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN15R A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN16 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | EN17 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Pron | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|------|--|
| | | EN17R A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| | | EN17R A2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | |

Summary of and assumptions in Planning for Places and Communities EN18-21

Policy EN18 Waste Management Development – This policy is under review. The policy states that proposals for all waste management facilities will be permitted provided that it can be demonstrated that any impacts of development will not adversely affect people, land, infrastructure, natural resources or the historic environment. Proposals must be in line with Bradford's waste hierarchy and there must be an identified need for the waste facilities. The design and siting of the facility are to be appropriate to the location and landscape setting and must wherever possible enhance the surrounding environment and maximise opportunities to recover energy and make efficient use of heat and water resources. Proposals for landfill sites must be able to prove that waste cannot be handled in a more sustainable manner, and must be accompanied by proposals to provide high quality restoration.

EN18 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN1RA1) – the alternative is to retain existing waste management policies as set out in the Waste Management DPD.
 This would result in minor amendments to the policies and policy repetition.

Policy EN19 Waste Management Allocations – This policy is under review. The policy identifies potential future sites for waste management facilities

 EN19 Reasonable Alternative 1 (EN19RA1) – The policy would be removed, and potential facilities would rely on criteria to assess sites on a case-bycase basis.

Policy EN20 Safeguarding Waste Management Facilities – This policy is under review. This policy confirms the Council's commitment to safeguarding the facilities stated in EN19 by resisting the loss of existing facilities and allocated sites, unless proposals can demonstrate there is no longer any need for the facility in that location.

Policy EN21 Waste Management Within Development is under review. The policy supports the expansion of existing developments and construction of new developments where they demonstrate the use of secondary materials in construction, energy efficient design and appropriate measures are taken to ensure waste arisings are handled adequately. Proposals should maximise opportunities to mitigate climate change, and minimise environmental, social, or economic impacts.

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Pron | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|------|--|
| 1. Energy & GHGs | | EN18 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | | EN18RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | EN18 & EN18RA1 \rightarrow provision to be energy + heat efficient + BREEAM 'excellent' \rightarrow improve energy |
| | | EN19 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | efficiency + reduce energy consumption |
| | | EN19RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | EN21 \rightarrow encourage opportunities to minimise gas emissions + energy efficient design + on-site generation |
| | | EN20 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | of electricity where possible \rightarrow reduce contribution to GHG emissions + increase energy efficiency. |
| | | EN21 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | EN19 and EN20 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. Recommendations: None. |
| 2. Waste | | EN18 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | н | EN18 & EN18RA1 & EN20 \rightarrow provision of appropriate waste management facilities only when no alternative \rightarrow |
| | | EN18RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | н | minimise landfill waste |
| | | EN19 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | н | - ENI40 — ellection of works more another to another edequate facilities throughout Dien pariod |
| | | EN19RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | н | EN19 \rightarrow allocation of waste management sites \rightarrow ensure adequate facilities throughout Plan period. |
| | | EN20 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | н | EN19RA1 \rightarrow relies on site-by-site criteria for assessing proposals \rightarrow provide adequate facilities but not to same |
| | | EN21 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | Extent as EN19 EN21 \rightarrow encourage use of secondary materials \rightarrow minimise waste created All other policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. Recommendations: None. |
| 3. Land & buildings | | EN18 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| | | EN18RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | EN18 & EN18RA1→ site preferential sequence→ ensure fewer waste facility developments on Green Belt / |
| | | EN19 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | greenfield sites. |
| | | EN19RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All other policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN20 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN21 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: Prioritise facilities on brownfield / previously developed sites. |
| 4. Climate change vulnerability | | EN18 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| | | EN18RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All policies \rightarrow do not reduce or manage impacts of climate change |
| | | EN19 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN19RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN20 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN21 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| 5. Water resources | | EN18 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | EN18, EN19, EN20 \rightarrow no discernible impacts on water resources. |
| | | EN18RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | TN21 encourage water officient design, including water requeling and sustainship design as |
| | | EN19 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | EN21 \rightarrow encourage water efficient design, including water recycling and sustainable drainage \rightarrow safeguard of water resources and minimise opportunities for pollution |
| | | EN19RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN20 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | trond | EN21 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | М | Recommendations: None. |
| 6. Biodiversity & | | EN18 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| geodiversity | | EN18RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN19 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | EN18 & EN18RA1 \rightarrow proposals for landfills must ensure high quality restoration + ecological assessment \rightarrow return of land for biodiversity enhancement |
| | | EN19RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| | | EN20 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | Recommendations: Encourage biodiversity net gain from developments |
| | | EN21 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | н | |
| 7. Landscape & | | EN18 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | EN19, EN20 & EN21 \rightarrow provision of new waste management facilities \rightarrow potential to negatively impact |
| townscape | | EN18RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | character of District |
| | | EN19 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN19RA1 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All other policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN20 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | EN21 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| 8. Cultural heritage | | EN18 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | ENITO & ENITODAT |
| | | EN18RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | EN18 & EN18RA1 \rightarrow must minimise impacts, or enhance where possible, visual and landscape amenity \rightarrow improve condition of views |
| | | EN19 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN19RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All other policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN20 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN21 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: Encourage sites to fit character of District. |
| 9. Air quality | | EN18 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | EN18RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | EN21 \rightarrow must minimise contribution to air pollution \rightarrow air pollution occurs to a lesser degree. |
| | | EN19 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All other policies , no discorrible imposts |
| | | EN19RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All other policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN20 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN21 | +/- | Bradford District | T/R | LT | Μ | |
| 10. Transport | | EN18 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN18RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN19 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN19RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN20 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN21 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 11. Housing | | EN18 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| | | EN18RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN19 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN19RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| | | EN20 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN21 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 12. Range of | | EN18 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| accessible | | EN18RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | |
| services | | EN19 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN19RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN20 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN21 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 13. Social Cohesion | | EN18 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN18RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN19 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN19RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN20 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN21 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | EN18 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN18RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN19 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN19RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN20 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN21 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 15. Safe & secure | | EN18 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | <u> </u> | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN18RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN19 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN19RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN20 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 16. Health | | EN21 EN18 | | n/a n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | EN21 \rightarrow minimise air, noise, odour, dust pollution \rightarrow minimise impacts on human health |
| | | EN18 EN18RA1 | | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | | $\exists n z \rightarrow minimise an, noise, odour, dust polition \rightarrow minimise impacts on numan nearchAll other policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts.$ |
| | | EN18RA1 | | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | H | |
| | | EN19RA1 | | n/a | n/a n/a | n/a H Recommendations: None. | Recommendations: None. | |
| | | EN20 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN21 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | M | |
| 17. Education | | EN18 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN18RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | EN19 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | LINIA | 0 | i //a | II/d | n/d | | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|---|--|
| | | EN19RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN20 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN21 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations. None. |
| 18. Employment | | EN18 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN18RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN19 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN19RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN20 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN21 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 19. Economy | | EN18 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | EN18RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Pasamman dational Nana |
| | | EN19 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | EN19RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN20 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | EN21 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |

Summary of, and assumptions in, Planning for Places and Communities DS1-5

Policy DS1 Achieving Good Design – this policy is under review. The policy states that planning decisions, including plans, development proposals and investment decisions should contribute to achieving good design and high quality places. The policy outlines the Council's commitment to getting the process right through a collaborative approach to design, by working with key stakeholders and local communities and taking a comprehensive approach to redevelopment to ensure quality is prioritised. Where appropriate, schemes will be referred to design review and the recommendations of the review will be acted on. The policy supports guidance in the Homes and Neighbourhoods SPD.

- DS1 Reasonable Alternative 1 (DS1RA1) This option would not update the policy. This would leave less comprehensive policy support for the Homes and Neighbourhoods: a guide to designing in Bradford SPD.
- DS1 Reasonable Alternative 2 (DS1RA2) This alternative would extensively rewrite the policy to allow for easier cross-reference between the policy documents and the Homes and Neighbourhood SPD. This would likely lead to overlap with related policies and reduced clarity.

Policy DS2 Working with the Landscape – this policy is under review. The policy ensures proposals take advantage of existing features, integrating development into the wider landscape. Through this policy, developments should create new, quality green spaces and aim to retain existing landscape and ecological features. Opportunities to link developments to the wider landscape and extend local green and blue infrastructure should be taken wherever possible and should be visually attractive and fit for purpose.

- DS2 Reasonable Alternative 1 (DS2RA1) This alternative is not to update the policy. This would leave less comprehensive policy support for the Homes and Neighbourhoods: a guide to designing in Bradford SPD.
- DS2 Reasonable Alternative 2 (DS2RA2) This alternative would extensively rewrite the policy to allow for easier cross-reference between the policy documents and the Homes and Neighbourhood SPD. This would likely lead to overlap with related policies and reduced clarity.

Policy DS3 Urban Character – this policy is under review. The policy seeks to ensure development proposals create a strong sense of place and reflect the District's varied character. Designs should respond to existing patterns of development and complement the local context and identity. Designs can take the opportunity to create new public spaces and landscape features, including creating attractive streetscapes and skylines where appropriate. The architecture of designs should be of high quality and be consistent with the local environmental conditions and character.

- DS3 Reasonable Alternative 1 (DS3RA1) This alternative is not to update the policy. This would leave less comprehensive policy support for the Homes and Neighbourhoods: a guide to designing in Bradford SPD.
- DS3 Reasonable Alternative 2 (DS3RA2) This alternative would extensively rewrite the policy to allow for easier cross-reference between the policy documents and the Homes and Neighbourhood SPD. This would likely lead to overlap with related policies and reduced clarity.

Policy DS4 Streets and Movement – This policy is under review. The policy indicates that plans and proposals should take the opportunities to encourage people to walk, cycle and use public transport. The aim of the policy is to create a connected network of routes that are convenient for users by upgrading existing streets and cycle paths and introducing new routes. Car and cycle parking should be integrated into the design to provide a convenient and secure street scene and pedestrian environment.

- DS4 Reasonable Alternative 1 (DS4RA1) This alternative is not to update the policy. This would leave less comprehensive policy support for the Homes and Neighbourhoods: a guide to designing in Bradford SPD.
- DS4 Reasonable Alternative 2 (DS4RA2) This alternative would extensively rewrite the policy to allow for easier cross-reference between the policy documents and the Homes and Neighbourhood SPD. This would likely lead to overlap with related policies and reduced clarity.

Policy DS5 Safe and Inclusive Places – This policy is under review. The policy would require development proposals to design neighbourhoods, streets and spaces to be inclusive and accessible to all, including older people, children and those with disabilities. Developments should be designed to reduce the opportunity for crime as much as possible and should provide focal spaces to encourage interaction. Appropriate arrangements should be made for waste handling and recycling.

DS5 Reasonable Alternative 1 (DS5RA1) - This alternative is not to update the policy. This would leave less comprehensive policy support for the Homes and Neighbourhoods: a guide to designing in Bradford SPD.

DS5 Reasonable Alternative 2 (DS5RA2) This alternative would extensively rewrite the policy to allow for easier cross-reference between the policy documents and the Homes and Neighbourhood SPD. This would likely lead to overlap with related policies and reduced clarity.

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| 1. Energy & GHGs | liena | DS1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | DS1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | - |
| | | DS2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | DS2 & alternatives \rightarrow protection & enhancement of greenfield sites, greenspaces and urban tree |
| | | DS2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | cover \rightarrow protection and enhancement of important carbon sinks \rightarrow some degree of offsetting of |
| | | DS2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Bradford's GHG contributions |
| | | DS3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | DS3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | DS4 \rightarrow Promotion of sustainable transport methods \rightarrow reduction in car usage + emissions |
| | | DS3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | DS4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DS1, DS3, DS5 & all alternatives no discernible impact on causes of climate change |
| | | DS4RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: DS1 or DS3 could include provisions for designing in renewable energy solutions, |
| | | DS4RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | for example encouraging developments to provide solar panels. |
| | | DS5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 2. Waste | | DS1 | + | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | DS1 \rightarrow efficient design and use of materials \rightarrow reduction in material consumption and waste generation. |
| | | DS1RA1 | + | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS1RA2 | + | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | All other policies - no discernible effect on SA Objective |
| | | DS2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | DS2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | DS5 & alternatives \rightarrow provision of public waste arrangements \rightarrow increase volume of municipal waste sent to recycling |
| | | DS2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Sent to recycling |
| | | DS3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | DS3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS5RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 3. Land & buildings | | DS1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DS1& alternatives \rightarrow taking opportunities to transform areas + support regeneration \rightarrow make efficient |
| | | DS1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | use of previously developed land + may remediate contaminated land. |
| | | DS1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | All other policies $ ightarrow$ no discernible impact on land and buildings SA Objective. |
| | | DS2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | DS2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None. |

| SA Objective | Baseline Policy trend RA | or E | ffect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|------|-------|--------------------------|------------|------------|--------|--|
| | DS | | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | DS3R | A1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | DS3R | A2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | DS | 4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS4R | A1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS4R | A2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS | 5 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS5R | A1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS5R | A2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 4. Climate change | DS | 1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| vulnerability | DS1R | | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS1R | A2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS | | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DS2 & alternatives integrate green spaces + extend green infrastructure $ ightarrow$ maintain and increase |
| | DS2R | | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | infiltration and interception of rainwater $ ightarrow$ reduce flood risk. |
| | DS2R | | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | DS | _ | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | DS4 & alternatives expansion and improvement of paths, cycle route network and sustainable transport |
| | DS3R | _ | | n/a | n/a | n/a | | methods reduce emissions + contribution to climate change. |
| | DS3R | | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS | | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All other policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | DS4R | | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Recommendations: None. |
| | DS4R | | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | |
| | DS | - | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | DS5R | _ | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | DS5R | _ | | n/a n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| 5. Water resources | DS DS1R | _ | | n/a n/a | n/a | n/a n/a | H H | |
| | | _ | | n/a n/a | n/a | | | |
| | DS1R | | | n/a Bradford District | n/a T/R | n/a LT | H | |
| | DS2R | | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | DS2R | | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | DS2 & alternatives \rightarrow protect & enhance habitats and greenspaces \rightarrow protect & enhance quality of |
| | DSZI | | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | water resources within these spaces + preserve natural water filtration properties of green areas |
| | DS3R | _ | | n/a | n/a | n/a | | All other policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | DS3R | _ | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS | _ | | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Recommendations: None. |
| | DS4R | _ | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | DS4R | _ | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | DS | _ | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | DS5R | _ | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | DSSR | | 0 | 11/a | II/d | II/d | п | |

| SA Objective | Baseline P trend | olicy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| | D | S5RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 6. Biodiversity & | | DS1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| geodiversity | | S1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | S1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | S2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DS2 & alternatives \rightarrow protect & enhance habitats and greenspaces + introduce appropriate plant |
| | | S2RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | species \rightarrow increase in green spaces and green infrastructure \rightarrow increase ecological connectivity \rightarrow |
| | | DS3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | enhance biodiversity |
| | | S3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | S3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All other policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | DS4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: DS2 could include wording or provisions to enhance rather than retain existing |
| | | S4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | landscape and ecological features, for example aim for 10% BNG. |
| | | S4RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | S5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | S5RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 7. Landscape & | | DS1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| townscape | | S1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | S1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DS1 & alternatives \rightarrow taking opportunities to improve places + transform areas, supporting |
| | | DS2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | regeneration \rightarrow reduce quantity of derelict land / properties |
| | | S2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | S2RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DS2 & alternatives \rightarrow retain existing landscape and features + take opportunities to link development |
| | | DS3 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | to landscape \rightarrow protect and enhance natural and man-made landscape and townscape |
| | | 0S3RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DC2 & alternatives a enhance attracted and taunagene in keeping with town character a improve |
| | | DS3RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | DS3 & alternatives \rightarrow enhance streetscape and townscape in keeping with town character \rightarrow improve town setting |
| | | DS4 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | S4RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | All other policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | S4RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None. |
| | | S5RA1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | - |
| | | S5RA2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 8. Cultural heritage | | DS1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DS1 & alternatives \rightarrow taking opportunities to improve places + transform areas, supporting |
| | | S1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | regeneration \rightarrow reduce quantity of derelict land / properties \rightarrow improve setting of heritage assets |
| | D | S1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | regeneration streaded quantity of dereliet land sproperties simplove setting of nethage assets |
| | | D 00 | | | . | | | -DS2 & alternatives $ ightarrow$ integrating development into wider landscape + ensure visual attractiveness $ ightarrow$ |
| | | DS2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | improve setting of heritage assets and condition of views to/from Saltaire World Heritage Site. |
| | | S2RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | D | S2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

| SA Objective | Baseline Policy | | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------|-----------------|----|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| | DS | 3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DS3 & alternatives \rightarrow enhance streetscape and townscape in keeping with town character \rightarrow improve |
| | DS3R | A1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | town setting |
| | DS3R | A2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | DS | 4 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All other policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | DS4R | A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS4R | A2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | DS | 5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS5R | A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS5R | A2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 9. Air quality | DS | 1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS1R | A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS1R | A2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS | 2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DS2 & alternatives $ ightarrow$ improve and integrate green space and green infrastructure into development $ ightarrow$ |
| | DS2R | A1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | enhance extent of vegetation \rightarrow increase natural air filtration \rightarrow improvements to air quality |
| | DS2R | A2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | DS | 3 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | DS4 & alternatives \rightarrow promotion of sustainable transport methods \rightarrow reduce emissions \rightarrow improve air |
| | DS3R | A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | quality |
| | DS3R | A2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS | 4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All other policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | DS4R | A1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | DS4R | _ | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | DS | - | - | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | - |
| | DS5R | A1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS5R | A2 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 10. Transport | DS | 1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | _ |
| | DS1R | A1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | | DS4 & alternatives \rightarrow provide new sustainable transport routes \rightarrow increase proportion of people using |
| | DS1R | _ | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | sustainable transport methods |
| | DS | 2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS2R | A1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All other policies \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | DS2R | _ | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: DS5 could include provisions for improving the accessibility of transport systems |
| | DS | 3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | for vulnerable populations, for example ensuring minimum walking distance for a bus stop. |
| | DS3R | A1 | | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS3R | A2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | DS | 4 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | DS4R | A1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | DS4R | _ | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | DS | 5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|--|
| | | DS5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 11. Housing | | DS1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS1RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS1RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | -DS1 & alternatives \rightarrow taking opportunities to improve places + transform areas, supporting |
| | | DS2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | -regeneration \rightarrow reduce number of vacant/ empty properties. |
| | | DS3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | DS1 & alternatives \rightarrow achieve good design \rightarrow increase number of new dwellings meeting housing and |
| | | DS3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | space standards. |
| | | DS4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | DS4RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 12. Range of | | DS1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | DS5 & alternatives \rightarrow encourage inclusivity and accessibility for all to allow equal participation \rightarrow |
| accessible services | | DS1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | improve quality of life for vulnerable populations |
| Services | | DS1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | All other policies: no discernible impacts. |
| | | DS2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | DS2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations. None. |
| | | DS3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS5RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 13. Social Cohesion | | DS1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | DS2 & alternatives \rightarrow provision of quality green infrastructure \rightarrow improved access to open space \rightarrow |
| | | DS1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | facilitate outdoor socialisation |
| | | DS1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS2RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|----------|-------|---|
| | liona | DS2RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DS5 & alternatives \rightarrow encourage inclusivity and accessibility for all to allow equal participation + |
| | | DS3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | provide focal spaces for social interaction \rightarrow improve quality of life for vulnerable populations + improve |
| | | DS3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | social cohesion. |
| | | DS3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | DS1, DS3 & DS4 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | DS4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | DS5 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS5RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS5RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | DS1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | DS2 & alternatives \rightarrow enhance green infrastructure provision + ensure functionality \rightarrow facilitate leisure |
| | | DS1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | opportunities + increase access to green services |
| | | DS1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DS2 & alternatives \rightarrow extend blue infrastructure networks \rightarrow increased opportunities for water-based leisure activities |
| | | DS2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | DS1 & DS4 \rightarrow no discernible impacts. |
| | | DS3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | DS5 & alternatives \rightarrow provision of community focal space \rightarrow encourage activity and leisure activities |
| | | DS3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | - |
| | | DS4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None. |
| | | DS4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | DS4RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | - |
| | | DS5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | | | |
| | | DS5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R T/R | LT LT | | |
| 15. Safe & secure | | DS5RA2 | + | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | | DS1, DS2 , DS3 \rightarrow no discernible impact. |
| | | DS1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | $D31, D32, D33 \rightarrow 10$ discernible impact. |
| | | DS1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | DS4 & alternatives $ ightarrow$ increase provision and priority of cycle routes + paths $ ightarrow$ increased protection for |
| | | DS2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | cyclists and pedestrians \rightarrow fewer road traffic accidents |
| | | DS2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | DS2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | DS4 & alternatives $ ightarrow$ provision of safe and secure car and cycle parking $ ightarrow$ reduced theft of cars and |
| | | DS3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | bikes. |
| | | DS3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | DS3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | DS5 & alternatives \rightarrow reduce opportunities for crime \rightarrow reduce crime rates + increase proportion of |
| | | DS4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | people who feel safe in their communities |
| | | DS4RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | Recommendations: May need additional clarity for how the opportunities for crime might be reduced |
| | | DS4RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | in DS5. |
| | | DS5 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | | DS5RA1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS5RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 16. Health | | DS1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | DS2 & alternatives \rightarrow provide outdoor spaces and GI \rightarrow provide spaces for sport and exercise + |
| | | DS1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | natural air filtration \rightarrow improved physical and mental health |
| | | DS1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DS4 & alternatives \rightarrow provision of cycle routes and footpaths \rightarrow encourage active travel \rightarrow improve |
| | | DS2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | health of local population |
| | | DS2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | DS5 & alternatives \rightarrow provision of outdoors community space accessible for all \rightarrow encourage active |
| | | DS3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | lifestyle \rightarrow improve physical health |
| | | DS3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | DS5 & alternatives \rightarrow reduce opportunities for crime \rightarrow people feel safer in their communities \rightarrow reduce |
| | | DS4 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | stress + improve mental health |
| | | DS4RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS4RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None |
| | | DS5 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS5RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | DS5RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 17. Education | | DS1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | All policies no discernible impact on education SA Objective. |
| | | DS1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None |
| | | DS2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | DS3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 18. Employment | | DS1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | All policies and alternatives \rightarrow no discernible impact. |
| | | DS1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | DS1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | Recommendations: None |
| | | DS2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | DS2RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | 2021011 | 0 | ι // α | 11/0 | n/a | | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | e Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------------|---|---|
| | | DS2RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS3 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS3RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS3RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS4RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | DS5RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 19. Economy | | DS1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | DS2 & alternatives \rightarrow protect and enhance setting of District's heritage features \rightarrow support tourism in |
| | | DS1RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | | the District \rightarrow boost to local economy |
| | | DS1RA2 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | Н | DS5 & alternatives \rightarrow increase accessibility \rightarrow allow more access to services increase spending + |
| | | DS2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | boost local economy |
| | | DS2RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | - |
| | | DS2RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | H | DS1, DS3, DS4 \rightarrow no discernible impact. |
| | | DS3 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a | n/a | | |
| | | DS3RA1 | 0 | | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None |
| | | DS3RA2 | 0 | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | DS4 DS4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | H | |
| | | DS4RA1 | 0 | n/a | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | DS4RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | DS5RA1 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | |
| | | DS5RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

Summary of, and assumptions in Implementation, Delivery and Monitoring ID1-ID3

Policy ID1 Infrastructure Delivery – This policy is under review. Development will be expected to provide or contribute to the provision of essential infrastructure items as identified in the Local Infrastructure Plan, and to mitigate the impacts of the development. Essential infrastructure will have been identified on the Policies Map to safeguard its future provision. The Council will work with a range of partners to ensure infrastructure needs and services are met. Digital and utilities infrastructure, including ultrafast broadband, will be supported through this policy, subject to environmental and safety evaluation. All major developments shall deliver Fibre To The Premises (FTTP) wherever possible, or instead provide non-Next Generation Access technologies in excess of 24Mbps. Radio, mobile and electronic communications masts should be designed to be sympathetic to their wider environments and future proofed for consumer and network demands.

- ID1 Reasonable Alternative 1 (ID1RA1) This alternative would not include this policy.
- ID1 Reasonable Alternative 2 (ID1RA2) This alternative would split the policy into specific topic items. This may be more comprehensive, but the single policy option remains clear and provides quick access to material.
- ID1 Reasonable Alternative 2 (ID1RA3) Set an alternative standard for Non-Next Generation Access Technologies standard level has been set in light of technical advice

Policy ID2 Developer Contributions – Development proposals will be expected to contribute towards the cost of providing infrastructure and of meeting social and environmental requirements necessary. The contribution sought from the developer will be proportional to the scale and form of development, the potential impact for the development upon the surrounding area and economic viability. Where the development will have a significant impact on the Strategic Road Network, developer contributions will be sought through Section 278 agreements and contributions will be in line with the adopted Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL).

Policy ID3 Viability – This policy is under review. Viability is to be considered as part of the plan-making process through the Whole Plan Viability Assessment. The Council will only consider the viability of development proposals at the planning application stage, where circumstances may impact the ability of a project to meet planning policy requirements. Where a viability assessment is submitted at the planning application stage, an 'open book' approach should be followed to allow for a full review of evidence and transparency.

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| 1. Energy & GHGs | | ID1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All policies & alternatives \rightarrow provision of additional infrastructure \rightarrow increased demands on energy. |
| | | ID1RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: ID1 could include provisions for renewable energy infrastructure, meeting BREEAM standards |
| | | ID1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | or high energy efficiency in new developments. |
| | | ID2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | ID3 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 2. Waste | | ID1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effec | ct Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|--|------------|------------|--|---|
| | | ID1RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All policies & alternatives \rightarrow provision of new infrastructure \rightarrow increased production of waste associated with |
| | | ID1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | construction + operational phase of use |
| | | ID2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None |
| | | ID3 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 3. Land & buildings | | ID1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | ID1RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | All policies & alternatives \rightarrow provision of new infrastructure \rightarrow increased development on previously undeveloped |
| | | ID1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | land. |
| | | ID2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: Prevent the release of greenfield sites for development where possible (HO1, SP8) |
| | | ID3 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 4. Climate change | | ID1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | ID1 & alternatives \rightarrow support significant infrastructure development \rightarrow likely loss of greenfield \rightarrow increase in |
| vulnerability | | ID1RA1 | - | Bradford District | | L | impermeable surfaces could alter flood risk. | |
| | | ID1RA2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | ID2 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: Encourage sustainable construction methodology and provision of GI in masterplans (CO1, EN1, EN6) |
| | | ID3 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 5. Water resources | | ID1 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | All policies → no discernible impact. <i>Recommendations: None</i> |
| | | ID1RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | H | |
| | | ID1RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | ID2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | ID3 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 6. Biodiversity & geodiversity | | ID1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | ID1 & alternatives → support significant infrastructure development → likely loss of greenfield → likely loss of habitats and biodiversity |
| geouversity | | ID1RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | ID1RA2 | | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations , ID2 could be expanded to include visbility eccessments for environmental impacts to ensure |
| | | ID2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations : ID3 could be expanded to include viability assessments for environmental impacts to ensure no net loss of biodiversity. |
| 7 | | ID3 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | H | |
| 7. Landscape & townscape | | ID1 | +/- | | T/R | LT | | ID1 & alternatives \rightarrow development on brownfield site \rightarrow reduce quantity of vacant land properties |
| | | ID1RA1 | | | T/R | LT | | |
| | | ID1RA2 | | | T/R | LT | | ID1& alternatives \rightarrow development on greenfield site \rightarrow loss of area's natural character |
| | | ID2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | H | Recommendations: None |
| 8. Cultural heritage | | ID3 ID1 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a T/R | n/a LT | H | |
| o. Outural Hentage | | ID1 ID1RA1 | + | Bradford District Bradford District | T/R | LI | | ID1 & alternatives \rightarrow increase development of required infrastructure + reduce number of derelict buildings+ |
| | | ID1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | | requirement for telecommunications to be sympathetic to environment \rightarrow improve setting of heritage assets + |
| | | IDTRA2 | + | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | H | maintain views around Saltaire World Heritage Site. |
| | | ID2 ID3 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | H | Recommendations: None |
| 9. Air quality | | ID3 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a n/a | n/a n/a | | All policies |
| o. / III quality | | וטו | 0 | Brauloru District | n/a | n/a | | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impact. |

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effec | t Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | | ID1RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | ID1RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None |
| | | ID2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | ID3 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 10. Transport | | ID1 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | ID2 Section 278 agreements could result in improved roads network and reduction in road congestion |
| | | ID1RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | De service definers (D4 servici service recurstic) infractivativas in servitus servicis ha humanitis transmerte services |
| | | ID1RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations : ID1 could ensure new essential infrastructure is easily accessible by public transport systems and walking/cycle routes. |
| | | ID2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | ID3 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 11. Housing | | ID1 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | ID1RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impact. |
| | | ID1RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | ID2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | ID3 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 12. Range of | | ID1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | ID1 & alternatives $ ightarrow$ support of essential infrastructure $ ightarrow$ improve access to essential services |
| accessible services | | ID1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | ID3 \rightarrow viability assessments \rightarrow ensure new developments are financially viable \rightarrow improve range of successful |
| | | ID1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | services for local communities |
| | | ID2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | ID3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| 13. Social Cohesion | | ID1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | ID1 & alternatives \rightarrow support of essential infrastructure \rightarrow improve access to essential services + improve quality of |
| | | ID1RA1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| | | ID1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: ID3 could be expanded to ensure developments are viable in their location and will promote |
| | | ID2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | H | use by the local community. |
| | | ID3 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 14. Culture & leisure | | ID1 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | | All policies \rightarrow no discernible impact on culture and leisure in the District. |
| | | ID1RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None |
| | | ID1RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | ID2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | 4 |
| | | ID3 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 15. Safe & secure | | ID1 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | All policies →no discernible impact. |
| | | ID1RA1 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | ID1RA2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | ID2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | ID3 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| 16. Health | | ID1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |

Appendix D – Policies Assessments

| SA Objective | Baseline trend | Policy or RA | Effect | Geographical extent | P/T I/R | Time | Prob. | Summary of effects Recommendations for avoidance, mitigation or enhancement |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------|------|-------|---|
| | | ID1RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | ID1 & alternatives \rightarrow provision of essential infrastructure \rightarrow improved access to healthcare facilities |
| | | ID1RA2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | and essential infrastructure \rightarrow improve health of population |
| | | ID2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| | | ID3 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Η | Recommendations. None. |
| 17. Education | | ID1 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | ID1 & ID1RA2 \rightarrow improve access to high speed internet \rightarrow increase access to training opportunities. ID1RA1 would |
| | | ID1RA1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | not have the same impact. |
| | | ID1RA2 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | ID1 & alternatives \rightarrow development of essential infrastructure including educational facilities \rightarrow improved access to |
| | | ID2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | H | education. ID1RA1 would not have the same impact. |
| | | ID3 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | Recommendations: None. |
| 18. Employment | | ID1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | ID1 & ID1RA2 \rightarrow improve access to high speed internet \rightarrow increase access to employment + business |
| | | ID1R A1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | opportunities. ID1RA1 would not have the same impact. ID3 \rightarrow ensure viability of developments \rightarrow provide consistent jobs for local communities |
| | | ID1R A2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |
| | | ID2 | 0 | Bradford District | n/a | n/a | Н | |
| | | ID3 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | |
| 19. Economy | | ID1 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | ID1 & ID1RA2 \rightarrow improve access to high speed internet \rightarrow increase access to employment + business |
| | | ID1R A1 | - | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | opportunities \rightarrow boost to local economy. ID1RA1 would not have the same impact. |
| | | ID1R A2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | ID2 \rightarrow gain funding for large infrastructure projects \rightarrow maintain Council budgets for other projects. ID3 \rightarrow ensure financial viability for developments \rightarrow guarantee developments will be financially |
| | | ID2 | + | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | beneficial for local District. |
| | | ID3 | ++ | Bradford District | T/R | LT | L | Recommendations: None. |